MARKOV ADDITIVE FRIENDSHIPS

Leif Döring Lukas Trottner Alex Watson

🎂 18 July 2022

LÉVY PROCESSES AND THE THEORY OF FRIENDS



---ξ, a Lévy process



 $-\xi$, a Lévy process

 $--- \bar{\xi}_t = \sup_{s \le t} \xi_s$, the running supremum



- ---ξ, a Lévy process
- $--- \bar{\xi}_t = \sup_{s \le t} \xi_s$, the running supremum
- $---H_t^+$, the ascending ladder height process: suprema 'stitched together'



- $---\xi$, a Lévy process
- $--- \bar{\xi}_t = \sup_{s \le t} \xi_s$, the running supremum
- --- H_t^+ , the ascending ladder height process: suprema 'stitched together'



- ----ξ, a Lévy process
- $---- \bar{\xi}_t = \sup_{s \le t} \xi_s$, the running supremum
- --- H_t^+ , the ascending ladder height process: suprema 'stitched together'
- $---H_t^-$, the descending ladder height process



- $---\xi$, a Lévy process
- $---- \bar{\xi}_t = \sup_{s \le t} \xi_s$, the running supremum
- $---H_t^+$, the ascending ladder height process: suprema 'stitched together'
- $---H_t^-$, the descending ladder height process

H[±] are subordinators (increasing Lévy processes).

Let Ψ be the characteristic exponent of ξ (i.e., $\mathbb{E}e^{i\vartheta\xi_t} = e^{t\Psi(\vartheta)}$)

Let Ψ be the characteristic exponent of ξ (i.e., $\mathbb{E}e^{i\vartheta\xi_t} = e^{t\Psi(\vartheta)}$)

Let Ψ[±] be characteristic exponents of H[±]

Let Ψ be the characteristic exponent of ξ (i.e., Ee^{iθξt} = e^{tΨ(θ)})
Let Ψ[±] be characteristic exponents of H[±]
Then

$$\Psi(\vartheta) = -\Psi^{-}(-\vartheta)\Psi^{+}(\vartheta).$$

THE INVERSE PROBLEM



Let H^{\pm} be a pair of subordinators with CEs Ψ^{\pm}

THE INVERSE PROBLEM

Let H^{\pm} be a pair of subordinators with CEs Ψ^{\pm}

When is there a Lévy process ξ with CE Ψ such that $\Psi(\vartheta) = -\Psi^{-}(-\vartheta)\Psi^{+}(\vartheta)$?

THE INVERSE PROBLEM

Let H^{\pm} be a pair of subordinators with CEs Ψ^{\pm}

When is there a Lévy process ξ with CE Ψ such that $\Psi(\vartheta) = -\Psi^{-}(-\vartheta)\Psi^{+}(\vartheta)$?

When such ξ exists, we call H^{\pm} friends and ξ the bonding process



d[±] = drift of H[±]
Π[±] = Lévy measure of H[±]

- \blacktriangleright $d^{\pm} = \text{drift of } H^{\pm}$
- \square Π[±] = Lévy measure of *H*[±]

→ H^{\pm} are **compatible** if $d^{\mp} > 0$ implies Π^{\pm} is absolutely continuous and its density $\partial \Pi^{\pm}$ is the tail of a signed measure

- \blacktriangleright $d^{\pm} = drift of H^{\pm}$
- Π[±] = Lévy measure of H[±]

H[±] are compatible if d[∓] > 0 implies Π[±] is absolutely continuous and its density ∂Π[±] is the tail of a signed measure

Theorem (Vigon)

Define

$$Y(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{(0,\infty)} \left(\Pi^{-}(y,\infty) - \Psi^{-}(0) \right) \Pi^{+}(x+dy) + d^{-} \partial \Pi^{+}(x), & x > 0, \\ \int_{(0,\infty)} \left(\Pi^{+}(y,\infty) - \Psi^{+}(0) \right) \Pi^{-}(-x+dy) + d^{+} \partial \Pi^{-}(-x), & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

 H^{\pm} are friends **if and only if** they are compatible and Y is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ and increasing on $(-\infty, 0)$.

Then, Y is a.e. the right/left tail of the Lévy measure of the bonding process.

PHILANTHROPY



Philanthropy

Let $H_t^+ = d^+t$. A subordinator H^- is called a **philanthropist** if it is a friend of H^+ .

Equivalently, a subordinator is called a philanthropist if its Lévy measure admits a decreasing density.

PHILANTHROPY



Equivalently, a subordinator is called a philanthropist if its Lévy measure admits a decreasing density.

Theorem (Vigon)

Any two philanthropists can be friends.



Let H⁺ be a (killed) pure drift

Let H⁻ be a philanthropist

Let H⁺ be a (killed) pure drift

Let *H*⁻ be a philanthropist

Then H[±] are friends and the bonding process is a spectrally negative Lévy process

- Let H⁺ be a (killed) pure drift
- Let *H*⁻ be a philanthropist
- Then H[±] are friends and the bonding process is a spectrally negative Lévy process
- All spectrally negative Lévy processes are of this form

A process (ξ, J) with state space $(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\partial\}) \times \{1, ..., N\}$ is a Markov additive process (MAP) if

given $\{J_t = i\}$, $(\xi_{t+s} - \xi_t, J_{t+s})$ is independent of the past up to t, and has the same distribution as (ξ_s, J_s) under $\mathbb{P}^{0,i}$

A process (ξ, J) with state space $(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\partial\}) \times \{1, ..., N\}$ is a Markov additive process (MAP) if

given $\{J_t = i\}$, $(\xi_{t+s} - \xi_t, J_{t+s})$ is independent of the past up to t, and has the same distribution as (ξ_s, J_s) under $\mathbb{P}^{0,i}$

Equivalently, a Markov additive process is a regime-switching Lévy process:

A process (ξ, J) with state space $(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\partial\}) \times \{1, ..., N\}$ is a Markov additive process (MAP) if

given $\{J_t = i\}$, $(\xi_{t+s} - \xi_t, J_{t+s})$ is independent of the past up to t, and has the same distribution as (ξ_c, J_c) under $\mathbb{P}^{0,i}$

Equivalently, a Markov additive process is a regime-switching Lévy process:
ξ⁽ⁱ⁾ is a Lévy process for each i

A process (ξ, J) with state space $(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\partial\}) \times \{1, ..., N\}$ is a Markov additive process (MAP) if

given $\{J_t = i\}$, $(\xi_{t+s} - \xi_t, J_{t+s})$ is independent of the past up to t,

and has the same distribution as (ξ_s, J_s) under $\mathbb{P}^{0,i}$

Equivalently, a Markov additive process is a regime-switching Lévy process:

- $\xi^{(i)}$ is a Lévy process for each *i*
- J is a Markov chain with transition matrix Q

A process (ξ, J) with state space $(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\partial\}) \times \{1, ..., N\}$ is a Markov additive process (MAP) if

given $\{J_t = i\}$, $(\xi_{t+s} - \xi_t, J_{t+s})$ is independent of the past up to t,

and has the same distribution as (ξ_s, J_s) under $\mathbb{P}^{0,i}$

Equivalently, a Markov additive process is a regime-switching Lévy process:

- $\xi^{(i)}$ is a Lévy process for each *i*
- J is a Markov chain with transition matrix Q
- when J is in state *i*, run $\xi^{(i)}$

A process (ξ, J) with state space $(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\partial\}) \times \{1, ..., N\}$ is a Markov additive process (MAP) if

given $\{J_t = i\}$, $(\xi_{t+s} - \xi_t, J_{t+s})$ is independent of the past up to t,

and has the same distribution as (ξ_s, J_s) under $\mathbb{P}^{0,i}$

Equivalently, a Markov additive process is a regime-switching Lévy process:

- $\xi^{(i)}$ is a Lévy process for each *i*
- J is a Markov chain with transition matrix Q
- when J is in state *i*, run $\xi^{(i)}$

• when J moves to j, make a jump from distribution F_{ij} and run $\xi^{(j)}$

Let Π_{ii} be the Lévy measure of $\xi^{(i)}$ and $\Pi_{ij} = q_{ij}F_{ij}$ when $i \neq j$

- Let Π_{ii} be the Lévy measure of $\xi^{(i)}$ and $\Pi_{ij} = q_{ij}F_{ij}$ when $i \neq j$
- Call $\Pi = (\Pi_{ij})_{i,j=1,...,N}$ the matrix Lévy measure of ξ

- Let Π_{ii} be the Lévy measure of $\xi^{(i)}$ and $\Pi_{ij} = q_{ij}F_{ij}$ when $i \neq j$
- Call $\Pi = (\Pi_{ij})_{i,j=1,...,N}$ the matrix Lévy measure of ξ
- Matrix characteristic exponent Ψ : $\mathbb{E}^{0,j}[e^{i\vartheta\xi_t}; J_t = j] = (e^{t\Psi(\vartheta)})_{ij}$

Let Π_{ii} be the Lévy measure of ξ⁽ⁱ⁾ and Π_{ij} = q_{ij}F_{ij} when i ≠ j
Call Π = (Π_{ij})_{i,j=1,...,N} the matrix Lévy measure of ξ
Matrix characteristic exponent Ψ: E^{0,i}[e^{iθξ}t; Jt = j] = (e^{tΨ(θ)})_{ij}
Structure:

$$\Psi(\vartheta) = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1(\vartheta) + q_{11} & \widehat{\Pi}_{12}(\vartheta) & \cdots \\ \widehat{\Pi}_{21}(\vartheta) & \Psi_2(\vartheta) + q_{22} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

where Ψ_i is CE of $\xi^{(i)}$ and $\widehat{\Pi}_{ij}$ is the characteristic function of Π_{ij}
MARKOV ADDITIVE PROCESSES (NOTATION)

Let Π_{ii} be the Lévy measure of ξ⁽ⁱ⁾ and Π_{ij} = q_{ij}F_{ij} when i ≠ j
Call Π = (Π_{ij})_{i,j=1,...,N} the matrix Lévy measure of ξ
Matrix characteristic exponent Ψ: E^{0,i}[e^{iθξt}; J_t = j] = (e^{tΨ(θ)})_{ij}
Structure:

$$\Psi(\vartheta) = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1(\vartheta) + q_{11} & \widehat{\Pi}_{12}(\vartheta) & \cdots \\ \widehat{\Pi}_{21}(\vartheta) & \Psi_2(\vartheta) + q_{22} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

where Ψ_i is CE of $\xi^{(i)}$ and $\widehat{\Pi}_{ij}$ is the characteristic function of Π_{ij}

• Let π be the invariant measure of J

(H^+ , J^+) and (H^- , J^-): ladder height processes of (ξ , J)

(H^+ , J^+) and (H^- , J^-): ladder height processes of (ξ , J)

 \blacktriangleright They are MAP subordinators (increasing MAPs) with matrix exponents Ψ^{\pm}

- (H^+ , J^+) and (H^- , J^-): ladder height processes of (ξ , J)
 - They are MAP subordinators (increasing MAPs) with matrix exponents Ψ^\pm
 - Path picture is the same

- (H^+ , J^+) and (H^- , J^-): ladder height processes of (ξ , J)
 - They are MAP subordinators (increasing MAPs) with matrix exponents Ψ^\pm
 - Path picture is the same

Theorem (Dereich, Döring and Kyprianou)

$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = -\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}^{-1} \Psi^{-} (-\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{\pi}} \Psi^{+} (\boldsymbol{\vartheta}),$$

where Δ_{π} is the diagonal matrix containing π .

MARKOV ADDITIVE FRIENDSHIP

THE INVERSE PROBLEM



THE INVERSE PROBLEM



Two MAP subordinators (H^{\pm}, J^{\pm}) are π -friends if there is a MAP for which they satisfy the above matrix equation

Are there necessary and sufficient conditions for friendship?

THE INVERSE PROBLEM

- Two MAP subordinators (H^{\pm}, J^{\pm}) are π -friends if there is a MAP for which they satisfy the above matrix equation
- Are there necessary and sufficient conditions for friendship?
- Is there a theory of philanthropy?

 (H^{\pm}, J^{\pm}) are π -compatible if

► $d_i^{\mp} > 0$ implies \prod_i^{\pm} is absolutely continuous and its density is the tail of a signed measure

(H^{\pm}, J^{\pm}) are π -compatible if

- d[∓]_i > 0 implies Π[±]_i is absolutely continuous and its density is the tail of a signed measure
- Elements of the vector $-\Delta_{\pi}^{-1}\Psi^{-}(0)^{T}\Delta_{\pi}\Psi^{+}(0)\mathbf{1}$ are non-positive (row sums of *Q*-matrix of the putative bonding MAP)

(H^{\pm}, J^{\pm}) are π -compatible if

- → d[∓]_i > 0 implies Π[±]_i is absolutely continuous and its density is the tail of a signed measure
- Elements of the vector $-\Delta_{\pi}^{-1}\Psi^{-}(0)^{T}\Delta_{\pi}\Psi^{+}(0)\mathbf{1}$ are non-positive (row sums of *Q*-matrix of the putative bonding MAP)
- ...and an additional positivity condition involving intensity of zero-jumps

(H^{\pm}, J^{\pm}) are π -compatible if

- → d[∓]_i > 0 implies Π[±]_i is absolutely continuous and its density is the tail of a signed measure
- Elements of the vector $-\Delta_{\pi}^{-1}\Psi^{-}(0)^{T}\Delta_{\pi}\Psi^{+}(0)\mathbf{1}$ are non-positive (row sums of *Q*-matrix of the putative bonding MAP)
 - ...and an additional positivity condition involving intensity of zero-jumps

Compatibility is a **necessary** condition for π -friendship!

THE THEOREM OF FRIENDS

Theorem

Define the matrix-valued function

$$\mathbf{\Upsilon}(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{0+}^{\infty} \Delta_{\pi}^{-1} \Big(\mathbf{\Pi}^{-}(y,\infty) - \mathbf{\Psi}^{-}(0) \Big)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta_{\pi} \mathbf{\Pi}^{+}(x+\mathrm{d}y) + \Delta_{\mathbf{d}}^{-} \partial \mathbf{\Pi}^{+}(x), & x > 0, \\ \int_{0+}^{\infty} \Delta_{\pi}^{-1} \Big(\mathbf{\Pi}^{-}(-x+\mathrm{d}y) \Big)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta_{\pi} \Big(\mathbf{\Pi}^{+}(y,\infty) - \mathbf{\Psi}^{+}(0) \Big) + \Delta_{\pi}^{-1} \Big(\Delta_{\mathbf{d}}^{+} \partial \mathbf{\Pi}^{-}(-x) \Big)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta_{\pi}, & x < 0, \end{cases}$$

Two MAP subordinators (H^{\pm}, J^{\pm}) are π -friends **if and only if** they are π -compatible and Y_{ij} is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ and increasing on $(-\infty, 0)$.

Then, Υ is a.e. the right/left tail of the matrix Lévy measure of the bonding process.

EXAMPLES



Only known MAP factorisation is from the deep factorisation of the stable process



Only known MAP factorisation is from the deep factorisation of the stable process
The MAP in question is the Lamperti-Kiu transform of the stable process

Only known MAP factorisation is from the deep factorisation of the stable process
The MAP in question is the Lamperti-Kiu transform of the stable process
The factorisation is obtained using detailed knowledge of the stable process

Only known MAP factorisation is from the deep factorisation of the stable process
The MAP in question is the Lamperti-Kiu transform of the stable process
The factorisation is obtained using detailed knowledge of the stable process
No simpler proof is known; verifying the conditions of friendship appears difficult

Let
$$(H^+, J^+)$$
 be a pure drift, i.e., $H_t^+ = \int_0^t d_{J_c^+}^+ ds$

Theorem

A MAP subordinator (H^-, J^-) is π -friends with a pure drift if and only if they are π -compatible and

$$-\Delta_{\pi}^{-1} \Psi^{+}(0)^{T} \Delta_{\pi} \Pi^{-}(x, \infty) + \Delta_{\mathbf{d}^{+}} \partial \Pi^{-}(x), \quad x > 0,$$

is decreasing.

Let
$$(H^+, J^+)$$
 be a pure drift, i.e., $H_t^+ = \int_0^t d_{J_e^+}^+ ds$

Theorem

A MAP subordinator (H^-, J^-) is π -friends with a pure drift if and only if they are π -compatible and

$$-\Delta_{\pi}^{-1} \Psi^{+}(0)^{T} \Delta_{\pi} \Pi^{-}(x, \infty) + \Delta_{\mathbf{d}^{+}} \partial \Pi^{-}(x), \quad x > 0,$$

is decreasing.



Let
$$(H^+, J^+)$$
 be a pure drift, i.e., $H_t^+ = \int_0^t d_{J_e^+}^+ ds$

Theorem

A MAP subordinator (H^-, J^-) is π -friends with a pure drift if and only if they are π -compatible and

$$-\Delta_{\pi}^{-1} \Psi^{+}(0)^{T} \Delta_{\pi} \Pi^{-}(x, \infty) + \Delta_{\mathbf{d}^{+}} \partial \Pi^{-}(x), \quad x > 0,$$

is decreasing.

Allows us to construct spectrally negative MAPs

When the Π⁻_i have completely monotone density, can make conditions more explicit

Let
$$(H^+, J^+)$$
 be a pure drift, i.e., $H_t^+ = \int_0^t d_{J_e^+}^+ ds$

Theorem

A MAP subordinator (H^-, J^-) is π -friends with a pure drift if and only if they are π -compatible and

$$-\Delta_{\pi}^{-1} \Psi^{+}(0)^{T} \Delta_{\pi} \Pi^{-}(x, \infty) + \Delta_{\mathbf{d}^{+}} \partial \Pi^{-}(x), \quad x > 0,$$

is decreasing.



14

Let (H^{\pm}, J^{\pm}) be MAP subordinators with exponential jumps in every state

Let (H[±], J[±]) be MAP subordinators with exponential jumps in every state
Given some balances between coefficients, such processes can be friends

Let (H[±], J[±]) be MAP subordinators with exponential jumps in every state
Given some balances between coefficients, such processes can be friends
The bonding MAP has double exponential jumps within and between every state

Let (H[±], J[±]) be MAP subordinators with exponential jumps in every state
Given some balances between coefficients, such processes can be friends
The bonding MAP has double exponential jumps within and between every state
May be second example of two-sided MAP with known ladder processes?

WORK IN PROGRESS OPEN PROBLEMS

We have studied the matrix equation $\Psi(\vartheta) = -\Delta_{\pi}^{-1}\Psi^{-}(-\vartheta)^{T}\Delta_{\pi}\Psi^{+}(\vartheta)$

We have studied the matrix equation $\Psi(\vartheta) = -\Delta_{\pi}^{-1}\Psi^{-}(-\vartheta)^{T}\Delta_{\pi}\Psi^{+}(\vartheta)$

To be sure that (H^{\pm}, J^{\pm}) really are the ladder processes, we need uniqueness

- We have studied the matrix equation $\Psi(\theta) = -\Delta_{\pi}^{-1}\Psi^{-}(-\theta)^{T}\Delta_{\pi}\Psi^{+}(\theta)$
- To be sure that (H^{\pm}, J^{\pm}) really are the ladder processes, we need uniqueness
- > We have partial results, for instance under absolute continuity conditions

- We have studied the matrix equation $\Psi(\theta) = -\Delta_{\pi}^{-1}\Psi^{-}(-\theta)^{T}\Delta_{\pi}\Psi^{+}(\theta)$
- To be sure that (H^{\pm}, J^{\pm}) really are the ladder processes, we need uniqueness
- > We have partial results, for instance under absolute continuity conditions
- Surprisingly, this does not seem to be known in full generality even for Lévy processes





COMPLEX ANALYTIC STRUCTURE

 In the 'meromorphic' Lévy processes (Lamperti-stable, simple/double hypergeometric), the factorisation can be deduced from the poles and zeroes of the CE

COMPLEX ANALYTIC STRUCTURE

 In the 'meromorphic' Lévy processes (Lamperti-stable, simple/double hypergeometric), the factorisation can be deduced from the poles and zeroes of the CE



Is there such an approach for MAPs?

COMPLEX ANALYTIC STRUCTURE

- In the 'meromorphic' Lévy processes (Lamperti-stable, simple/double hypergeometric), the factorisation can be deduced from the poles and zeroes of the CE
- Is there such an approach for MAPs?
- > This would give an alternative avenue of attack for 'deep factorization' type processes
FURTHER READING

L. Döring, L. Trottner and A. R. Watson Markov additive friendships In preparation (working title)

FURTHER READING

L. Döring, L. Trottner and A. R. Watson Markov additive friendships In preparation (working title)

Thank you!