Environmental Econometrics

Syngjoo Choi

Fall 2008

Environmental Econometrics (GR03)

Fall 2008 1 / 37

∃ ▶ ∢

• This is an introductory econometrics course which

- assumes no prior knowledge on econometrics;
- focuses on both theoretical results and practical uses of econometrics in (environmental) economic problems;
- teaches a statistical software, STATA, in tutorial classes.
- Lecture and tutorial timetables
 - Lectures: every Monday (starting from Oct. 6 and ending on Dec. 8) , 9~11 am, B03 (Drayton House).
 - Tutorials: every Tuesday (starting from Oct. 7 and ending on Dec. 9), 9~11 am, B17 (computer room).
 - The tutorial classes will be given by TA, Jelmer Ypma (j.ypma@ucl.ac.uk).
 - Office hour: Monday, 3~4 pm and by appointment.

→ 3 → 4 3

- Main Textbook
 - J. Wooldridge (2008), *Introductory Econometrics: A Modern Approach*, 4th Ed., South-Western.
- Course materials
 - Lecture notes and exercises are available in my teaching webpage, http://www.homepages.ucl.ac.uk/~uctpsc0/Teaching.html.
 - Sample data for STATA exercises are also available.
 - The final exam and its answer keys from previous years are available.

- 1 Linear regression models Wooldridge Ch. 2~5 and 7
 - simple regression to multiple regression, ordinary least squares (OLS) estimation and goodness of fit.
 - hypothesis testing and large sample properties of OLS
- 2 Heteroskedasticity and Autocorrelation Wooldridge Ch. 8, 10 and 12
 - consequences of heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation
 - testing for heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation
 - generalized least squares (GLS) estimation

Course Outline II

- 3 IV estimation and simultaneous equations models *Wooldridge Ch.* 15 and 16
 - endogeneity, instrumental variables (IV) estimation and two-stage least squares
 - simultaneity bias, identification and estimation of simultaneous equations models
- 4 Limited dependent variable models Wooldridge Ch 17
 - problems of using OLS for binary response models
 - maximum likelihood estimation, logit and probit models
 - ordered probit model, poisson regression model
 - censored dependent variables and Tobit models
- 5 Some simple panel data analysis Wooldridge Ch 13
- 6 Time series analysis Wooldridge Ch. 12 and 18
 - stationarity and nonstationarity; AR and MA processes; unit root
 - VAR; Granger causality

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

- Statistical tools applied to economic problems
 - estimate economic relationships;
 - test economic theories modeling the causality of social and economic phenomena;
 - evaluate the impact and effectiveness of a given policy;
 - forecast the impact of future policies.
- It aims at providing not only a *qualitative* but also a *quantitative* answer.

- Measuring the extent of global warming
 - When did it start? How large is the effect?
 - Has it increased more in the last 50 years?
- What are the causes of global warming?
 - Does carbon dioxide cause global warming?
 - Are there any other determinants?
- What would be average temerature if carbon dioxide concentration is reduced by 10%?

Average Temperature in Central England (1700~1997)



Atmospheric Concentration of Carbon Dioxide (1700~1997)



- Measuring the effect of air pollution on housing prices
 - Does air pollution matter in determining housing prices?
 - If so, how much?
- Are there other determinants?
 - physical features of houses (e.g., number of rooms)
 - distance from workplaces
 - the quality of education in community

Median Housing Prices and Nitrogen Oxide (A sample of 506 communites in the Boston Area)



Median Housing Prices and Room Numbers



- We often observe that two variables are correlated.
 - Higher education leads higher income.
 - Individual smoking is related to peer smoking.
- If Y is *causally related* to X, then chaning X will lead to a change in Y.
- Correlation may not be due to causal relationships.
 - Some common factor may affect both variables.

- The notion of *ceteris paribus* (holding other variables constant) plays an important role in *causal analysis*.
 - Holding innate ability constant, how much does an increase in education increase in income?
 - Holding the individual taste of smoking, how much does an increase in peer smoking increase in individual smoking?
- This course will introduce how to deal with the issue of causality and ways of doing causal analysis.

• The simplest form in the regression model is the *two variable linear* regression model, called the *Simple Linear Regression Model*.

$$Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + u_i,$$

- Y_i: dependent variable (explained variable; regressand)
- X_i: independent variable (explanatory variable; regressor)
- u_i : error term
- i = 1, ..., N: the number of observation
- The error term or disturbance, *u*, represents all other factors affecting *Y* other than *X*.



 $Temp_i = \alpha + \beta Year_i + u_i,$ $Hprice_i = \alpha + \beta Nox_i + u_i.$

• X has a linear effect on Y if all other factors are held constant.

$$\Delta Y = \beta \Delta X$$
 if $\Delta u = 0$.

- The linearity implies that a one-unit change in X has the same effect on Y, regardless of the intial value of X.
- The slope parameter in the relationship between Y and X is meant to capture the effect of X on Y.
- In order to interpret so, we need to make an assumption regarding how u and X are UNrelated.

$$E(u|X)=0.$$

It says that for any given value of X, the average of the error term u is equal to 0.

E(u|X)=0.

It says that for any given value of X, the average of the error term u is equal to 0.

• Thus, observing a high or a low value of X does not imply a high or a low value of u. That is, X and u are uncorrelated.

E(u|X)=0.

It says that for any given value of X, the average of the error term u is equal to 0.

- Thus, observing a high or a low value of X does not imply a high or a low value of u. That is, X and u are uncorrelated.
- Example wage equation

E(u|X)=0.

It says that for any given value of X, the average of the error term u is equal to 0.

- Thus, observing a high or a low value of X does not imply a high or a low value of u. That is, X and u are uncorrelated.
- Example wage equation
 - Assume that *u* only reflects innate ability.

E(u|years of education) = 0

E(u|X)=0.

It says that for any given value of X, the average of the error term u is equal to 0.

- Thus, observing a high or a low value of X does not imply a high or a low value of u. That is, X and u are uncorrelated.
- Example wage equation
 - Assume that *u* only reflects innate ability.

E(u|years of education) = 0

• The zero-conditional-mean assumption requires that the average level of ability is the same regardless of years of education. Is it reasonable?

• The zero conditional mean assumption implies that the population regression function, E(Y|X), is a linear function of X.

$$E(Y|X) = \alpha + \beta X$$

 For any given value of X, the distribution of Y is centered around E (Y|X). (figure)

Regression Problem - Least Squares

- Consider a set of data $\{(X_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^N$ and we want to obtain estimates of the intercept and slope.
- The most popular method in econometrics is the *least squares estimation*.
 - choose $\widehat{\alpha}$ and \widehat{eta} to minimize the sum of squared residuals

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \widehat{u}_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(Y_i - \widehat{\alpha} - \widehat{\beta} X_i \right)^2.$$

- The estimates given from this minimization problem is called the *ordinary least squares* (OLS).
- Using the OLS estimation, we have the estimated regression line for the unknown population regression function (figure).

An Example: Global Warming

• The OLS estimated regression line is given by

 $Temp_i = 6.45 + 0.0015 \times Year_i$.



Model Specification

Linear model

$$Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + u_i$$

• When X goes up by 1 unit, Y goes by β units.

Log-log model (constant elasticity model)

$$\ln Y_i = \alpha + \beta \ln X_i + u_i$$

• When X goes up by 1%, Y goes up by β %.

Log-linear model

$$\ln Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + u_i$$

• When X goes up by 1 unit, Y goes up by $100\beta\%$.

Example 1: Housing Prices and Air Pollution

• The estimated regression line is given

 $\ln Hprice_i = 11.71 - 1.04 \times \ln Nox_i.$



Example 2: Wage Equation

• The estimated regression line is given

 $\ln Wage_i = 0.58 + 0.0083 \times Educ_i.$



Times Series Data

• Data on variables observed time. Examples include stock prices, consumer price index, annual homicide rates, GDP, and temperature changes cross time.

Cross Section Data

- Data at a given point in time on individuals, households or firms. Examples are data on expenditures, income and employment (say, in 1999).
- Panel or Longitudinal Data
 - Data on a time series for each cross-sectional member.

Continuous

- temperature; wage; housing prices.
- Categorical/Qualitative
 - ordered
 - years of schoolding; survey answers such that small/medium/large.
 - unordered
 - decisions such as Yes/No; gender(male/female).
- The course will explain later how to deal with qualitative dependent variables.

Properties of OLS

Environmental Econometrics (GR03)

イロト イロト イヨト イ

• The least squares problem, as a reminder, is

$$\min_{\widehat{lpha},\widehat{eta}}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\widehat{u}_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(Y_{i}-\widehat{lpha}-\widehat{eta}X_{i}
ight)^{2}$$
 ,

• The first-order conditions (FOC) are given by

$$\frac{\partial \sum_{i=1}^{N} \widehat{u}_{i}^{2}}{\partial \widehat{\alpha}} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(Y_{i} - \widehat{\alpha} - \widehat{\beta} X_{i} \right) = 0,$$
$$\frac{\partial \sum_{i=1}^{N} \widehat{u}_{i}^{2}}{\partial \widehat{\beta}} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(Y_{i} - \widehat{\alpha} - \widehat{\beta} X_{i} \right) X_{i} = 0.$$

The OLS Estimator

 Solving the two FOC equations, we have the OLS estimators for the intercept and the slope parameters:

$$\widehat{lpha} = \overline{Y} - \widehat{eta} \overline{X},
onumber \ \widehat{eta} = rac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(X_i - \overline{X}
ight) \left(Y_i - \overline{Y}
ight)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(X_i - \overline{X}
ight)^2},$$

where $\overline{Z} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} Z_i / N$. We need a condition that $\sum_{i=1}^{N} (X_i - \overline{X})^2 > 0$.

- The estimate of the slope coefficient is simply the sample covariance between X and Y divided by the smaple variance of X.
- (Diagression) An *estimator* is a random variable and an *estimate* is a realization of an estimator.

Algebraic Properties

• Property 1: The sum of OLS residuals in zero.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \widehat{u}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(Y_i - \widehat{\alpha} - \widehat{\beta} X_i \right) = 0.$$

Property 2: The sample covariance between the independent variable X and the OLS residual û is zero.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i} \widehat{u}_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i} \left(Y_{i} - \widehat{\alpha} - \widehat{\beta} X_{i} \right) = 0.$$

 Property 3: The OLS estimates decompose each Y_i into a fitted value Ŷ_i and a residual û_i.

$$Y_i = \widehat{Y}_i + \widehat{u}_i \Longrightarrow \overline{Y} = \overline{\widehat{Y}}.$$

Goodness of Fit I

- We want to measure how well the model fits the data.
- The *R-squared* of the regression is defined as the ratio of the explained sum of squares to the total sum of squares.
 - Total sum of squares (TSS): $TSS = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (Y_i \overline{Y})^2$.
 - Explained sum of squares (ESS)

$$ESS = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\widehat{Y}_{i} - \overline{Y}\right)^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\widehat{\beta}\left(X_{i} - \overline{X}\right)\right]^{2}.$$

- Residual sum of squares (RSS): $RSS = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2$.
- The R-squared of the regression is

$$R^2 = \frac{ESS}{TSS} = 1 - \frac{RSS}{TSS}.$$

- The R-squared is a measure of how much of the variance of Y is explained by th regressor X.
- The value of R-squared is always between 0 and 1. If R-squared is equal to 1, then OLS provides a perfect fit to the data.
- A low R-squared is not necessarily an indication that the model is wrong. It is simply that the regressor has low explanatory power.

Variable	Coefficient
In Nox	-1.043
constant	11.71
Model sum of squares	22.29
Residual sum of squares	62.29
Total sum of Squares	84.58
R-squared	0.26
Number of observation	506

Image: Image:

- ∢ ≣ ▶

- Given a specific sample of data $\{(X_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^N$, $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ are realized values of the OLS estimator in the simple linear regression model.
- It means that if we have a different sample from the same population, then we may have different values of the slope and intercept estimates.
- We want the estimators to have desirable properties:
 - Unbiasedness
 - Efficiency

Assumptions on the Simple Linear Regression Model

• Assumption 1: Zero Conditional Mean

 $E\left(u_{i}|X\right)=0.$

• Assumption 2: Homoskedasticity

$$Var(u_i|X) = E[u_i - E(u_i|X)|X]^2 = \sigma^2.$$

Assumption 3: No correlation among error terms

$$Cov(u_i, u_j|X) = 0, \forall i \neq j.$$

• Assumption 4: Sufficient variation in X

• Definition: Estimators $\widehat{\alpha}$ and $\widehat{\beta}$ are unbiased if

$$E\left(\widehat{lpha}
ight)=lpha$$
 and $E\left(\widehat{eta}
ight)=eta.$

- Unbiasedness does NOT mean that the estimate we get with a particular sample is equal to the true value.
- If we could *indefinitely* draw random samples of the same size N from the population, compute an estimate each time, and then average these estimates over all random samples, we would obtain the true value.

An Example

• Suppose the true model is

$$Y_i = 1 + 2X_i + u_i, \ u_i \sim iid \ N(0,1).$$

• We generate a set of random samples, each of which contains 14 observations.

	$\hat{\alpha}$	\widehat{eta}
Random Sample 1	1.2185099	1.5841877
Random Sample 2	0.8250200	2.5563998
Random Sample 3	1.3752522	1.3256603
Random Sample 4	0.9216356	2.1068873
Random Sample 5	1.0566855	2.1198698
Random Sample 6	1.0482750	1.8185249
Random Sample 7	0.9140797	1.6573014
Random Sample 8	0.7885023	2.9571939
Random Sample 9	0.6581880	2.2935987
Random Sample 10	1.0852489	2.3455551
Average across 10 random samples	0.9891397	2.0765179
Average across 500 random samples	0.9899374	2.0049863

Unbiasedness of OLS Estimator

• Recall that the OLS estimators of the intercept and the slope are

$$\widehat{\alpha} = \overline{Y} - \widehat{\beta}\overline{X}$$

and

$$\widehat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right) \left(Y_i - \overline{Y} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right)^2}.$$

• First note that within a sample

$$\overline{Y} = \alpha + \beta \overline{X} + \overline{u}.$$

Hence, for any i = 1, ..., N,

$$Y_i - \overline{Y} = \beta \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right) + u_i - \overline{u}.$$

• Substitute this in the expression for β :

$$\widehat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\beta \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right)^2 + \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right) (u_i - \overline{u}) \right]}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right)^2}$$
$$= \beta + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right) (u_i - \overline{u})}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right)^2}.$$

The second part of the right-hand side is called the sampling error. If the estimator is unbiased, then this error will have expected value zero.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}\left(\widehat{\beta}|X\right) &= \beta + \mathbf{E}\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(X_{i} - \overline{X}\right)\left(u_{i} - \overline{u}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(X_{i} - \overline{X}\right)^{2}}|X\right] \\ &= \beta + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(X_{i} - \overline{X}\right)\mathbf{E}\left[\left(u_{i} - \overline{u}\right)|X\right]}{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(X_{i} - \overline{X}\right)^{2}} \\ &= \beta, \quad \text{using which assumption?} \end{split}$$

• Now,

$$\widehat{\alpha} = \overline{Y} - \widehat{\beta}\overline{X} \\ = \alpha + \left(\beta - \widehat{\beta}\right)\overline{X} + \overline{u}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}\left(\widehat{\alpha}|X\right) &= \alpha + \mathbf{E}\left[\left(\beta - \widehat{\beta}\right)|X\right]\overline{X} + \mathbf{E}\left(\overline{u}|X\right) \\ &= \alpha. \end{split}$$

Variances of the OLS Estimators

- We have shown that the OLS estimator is unbiased under the assumptions.
- But how sensitive are the results to random changes to our sample? The variance of the estimators is a measure for this question.
- The definition of the variance is $Var\left(\widehat{\beta}|X\right) = \mathbf{E}\left[\left(\widehat{\beta} - \mathbf{E}\left(\widehat{\beta}\right)\right)^2 |X\right].$
- Recall that

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{eta}}
ight)=\mathbf{eta}$$

and

$$\widehat{\beta} - \beta = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right) \left(u_i - \overline{u} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right)^2}$$

• Thus,

$$Var\left(\widehat{\beta}|X\right) = \mathbf{E}\left[\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(X_{i} - \overline{X}\right) \left(u_{i} - \overline{u}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(X_{i} - \overline{X}\right)^{2}}\right)^{2}|X\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(X_{i} - \overline{X}\right)^{2}\right]^{2}}$$
$$\times \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(X_{i} - \overline{X}\right) \left(X_{j} - \overline{X}\right)}{\times \mathbf{E}\left[\left(u_{i} - \overline{u}\right) \left(u_{j} - \overline{u}\right)|X\right]}\right]$$

• From Assumption 2 (homoskedasticity) and Asssumption 3 (no autocorrelation),

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\left(u_i - \overline{u}\right)^2 | X\right] = \sigma^2$$

 and

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\left(\left(u_{i}-\overline{u}\right)\left(u_{j}-\overline{u}\right)\right)|X\right]=\mathbf{0}, \ \forall i\neq j.$$

• Then,

$$Var\left(\widehat{\beta}|X\right) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{i=1}^N \left(X_i - \overline{X}\right)^2} \\ = \frac{1}{N \sqrt{\alpha r(X)}}.$$

• Properties of the variance of $\hat{\beta}$

- The variance increases with the variance of the error term, σ^2 .

- The variance decreases with the variance of X, Var(X).

- The variance decreases with the sample size, N.

- The standard error is the square root of the variance:

$$SE\left(\widehat{\beta}|X\right) = \sqrt{Var\left(\widehat{\beta}|X\right)}.$$

In practice, we do not know the variance of the error term, σ², which needs to be estimated. Using the residuals, û_i, we have an unbiased estimator of σ²:

$$\widehat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{u}_i^2}{N-2} = \frac{RSS}{N-2}.$$

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Err.
log <i>Nox</i>	-1.043	0.078
constant	11.71	0.132
R-squared		0.26
Number of o	observation	

<ロト </p>

Efficiency

- An estimator is *efficient* if given the assumptions we make, its variance is the smallest possible in the class of estimators we consider.
- We consider the class of linear unbiased estimators. An estimator is *linear* if and only if it can be expressed as a linear function of the data on the dependent variable.
- Note that the OLS estimator is a *linear* estimator:

$$\widehat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right)^2} Y_i - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right) \overline{Y}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right)^2}$$

• Consider another linear estimator of the slope. Define $Z_i = X_i^2$ and a slope estimator as

$$\widetilde{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(Z_i - \overline{Z} \right) \left(Y_i - \overline{Y} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(Z_i - \overline{Z} \right) \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right)}.$$

Then,

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\widetilde{eta}|X
ight]=eta.$$
 (why?)

• It can be also shown that

$$Var\left(\widehat{\beta}|X\right) \leq Var\left(\widetilde{\beta}|X\right).$$

 In fact, the OLS estimator has the smallest variance among the class of linear unbiased estimators, under the assumptions we made.

- Given the assumptions we made, the OLS estimator is a **Best Linear Unbiased Estimator (BLUE)**.
- The means that the OLS estimator is the most *efficient (least variance)* estimator in the class of *linear unbiased* estimator.