MSc in Environmental Economics: Answer Keys to Examination in Environmental Econometrics (GR03)

May 2008

Section 1 (Compulsory) [40 points]

Question 1

- 1 18.8% more. The value of t statistic is $0.188/0.008 = 23.5 > 1.96 = t_{\alpha/2}$. Thus, it is statistically significant.
- 2 Omitted variable bias. Positive correlation will lead to an upward bias.
- 3 R^2 measures the part of the variance in the dependent variable explained by the model. Here 45.1%. Remaining part of variance cannot be explained by existing explanatory variables.
- 4 17.7% more. 7% more. The values of t statistic are 0.177/0.009 = 19.67 and 0.070/0.019 = 3.68. Thus, they are statistically significant.

5 8.7% more.

Question 2

1

$$\Pr(H) = \frac{\exp(\beta_{H0} + \beta_{H1}Age + \beta_{H2}Gender + \beta_{H3}\log income)}{1 + \sum_{k=H,A}\exp(\beta_{k0} + \beta_{k1}Age + \beta_{k2}Gender + \beta_{k3}\log income)}$$

$$\Pr(A) = \frac{\exp(\beta_{A0} + \beta_{A1}Age + \beta_{A2}Gender + \beta_{A3}\log income)}{1 + \sum_{k=H,A}\exp(\beta_{k0} + \beta_{k1}Age + \beta_{k2}Gender + \beta_{k3}\log income)}$$

 $\mathbf{2}$

$$\log\left(\frac{\Pr\left(H\right)}{\Pr\left(F\right)}\right) = \beta_{H0} + \beta_{H1}Age + \beta_{H2}Gender + \beta_{H3}\log income$$

No, it is an implication of IIA.

- 3 If there is 1% increase of income, there would be 1.358% increase of the odds-ratio between H and F. $\Delta \log (\Pr(H) / \Pr(F)) = 1.358 \times 0.5 = 0.679$.
- 4 In the ordered probit model, choice variables are ordered, whereas not in the multinomial logit model. So a colleague's suggestion does not make much sense in this example.

Section 2 [60 points] Question 1 (Autocorrelation)

- 1 $Cov(u_t, u_{t-j}) \neq 0$ for some j. Unbiased but not BLUE any longer. need to correct the usual standard error.
- 2 See lecture notes.
- 3 $u_t = \mu + v_t + \lambda v_{t-1}$, where v_s iid mean zero and a variance σ^2 .

4 $Cov(u_t, u_t) = (1 + \lambda^2) \sigma^2; Cov(u_t, u_{t-1}) = \lambda \sigma^2; Cov(u_t, u_{t-2}) = 0.$

Question 2 (Measurement Error)

- 1 No. Cov(X, u + e) = 0.2 Yes. $Cov(\widetilde{X}, u - \beta_1 v) \neq 0.$
- 3 Z is an IV such that $Cov(Z, X) \neq 0$ and Cov(Z, u) = 0.
- 4

$$\widehat{\beta}_{1}^{IV} = \frac{\sum \left(Z_{i} - \overline{Z}\right) \left(Y_{i} - \overline{Y}\right)}{\sum \left(Z_{i} - \overline{Z}\right) \left(X_{i} - \overline{X}\right)}$$

Question 3 (Simultaneous Equations Model)

- 1 See lecture notes.
- 2 No. The parameters in the first equation of the second system can be identified.
- 3 Hauseman's exogeneity test (see lecture notes).

Question 4

- 1 If there is 1% increase of nitrogen oxide, there would be 0.954% decrease of housing price. -0.954/0.117 = 8.15 > 1.96 (yes). (-0.954 + 1)/0.117 = 0.39 < 1.96 (No).
- 2 If there is one unit increase of room, there would 25.5% increase of housing price. If there is one unit increase of stratio, there is 5.2% decrease of housing price. If there is 1% increase of Dist, there would be 0.134% decrease of housing price.

$$F = \frac{(0.581 - 0.402)/3}{(1 - 0.581)/(352 - 4 - 1)} = 49.41 > 2.60 = F_{\alpha}(3, 347)$$

Cannot accept the null.

4 Either large sample or small sample with normally distributed errors. Heteroskedasticity.

3