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## G1 Additional Tables

Table G1: Average Treatment Effect on Pre-College Achievement Score using IRT

|  | Standardized Achievement Score (IRT) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Treatment | $-0.084^{* *}$ | $-0.081^{* *}$ |
|  | $(0.040)$ | $(0.040)$ |
| Inverse Probability Weights | No | Yes |
| Observations | 6,054 | 6,054 |
| $R^{2}$ | 0.254 | 0.254 |

Note.- Coefficients are OLS estimates. Standard errors are clustered at the school level. Standard set of controls (see notes under Table 5) and with fieldworker fixed effects. Treatment is a dummy variable indicating whether a student is in a school that was randomly assigned to be in the PACE program. Scores are scaled using Item Response Theory models, and standardized to have mean zero and variance one. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.10 ;{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.05 ;{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.01$.

Table G2: Analysis of school transitions

|  | In-flow into <br> treated schools | Out-flow from <br> treated schools |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| SIMCE score in $10^{t h}$ grade (std) | 0.006 | -0.007 |
| Constant | $(0.012)$ | $(0.012)$ |
| Observations | $0.088^{* * *}$ | $0.115^{* * *}$ |
|  | $(0.017)$ | $(0.017)$ |
|  | 3,925 | 4,073 |

Note.- Probability to transition into or out of a school which was randomly assigned to be treated in 2016, in the experimental cohort under study. Coefficients are OLS estimates. Standard errors (clustered at school level) are displayed in parentheses. In column (1) the sample consists of all students who were enrolled in a treated school in 2016, the dependent variable is a dummy equal to one if, in 2015, the student was not enrolled in a school that was randomized to be treated in 2016. In column (2) the sample consists of all students who, in 2015, were enrolled in a school which was randomized to be treated in 2016. The dependent variable is a dummy equal to one if the student was not enrolled in a treated school in 2016. Both samples exclude students who in 2015 or in 2016 were enrolled in schools which participated in the PACE program but not as part of the randomized experiment. * $\mathrm{p}<0.1,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.05,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.01$.

Table G3: Teacher Grading

|  | $12^{\text {th }}$ grade core GPA (standardized) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ |
| Achievement Score | $0.335^{* * *}$ | $0.247^{* * *}$ |
|  | $(0.025)$ | $(0.025)$ |
| Achievement Score $\times$ Treatment | -0.031 | -0.052 |
|  | $(0.035)$ | $(0.034)$ |
| Baseline SIMCE test score | No | Yes |
| Observations | 6,046 | 6,046 |
| $R^{2}$ | 0.216 | 0.262 |

Note.- Coefficients are OLS estimates. Standard errors are clustered at the school level. Standard set of controls except for baseline SIMCE test score (see notes under Table 5). Inverse Probability Weights used. Core $G P A$ is the GPA in the core subjects, which are those tested on the PSU entrance exam. Treatment is a dummy variable indicating whether a student is in a school that was randomly assigned to be in the PACE program. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.10 ;{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.05 ;{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.01$.

Table G4: Survey of School Principals: Grading Methods and Support Classes

|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teachers discuss | Teachers adjust | Support (general) | Support PSU | Frequency support |
| Treatment | -0.019 | -0.020 | -0.056 | 0.042 | -0.113 |
|  | $(0.069)$ | $(0.078)$ | $(0.089)$ | $(0.082)$ | $(0.155)$ |
| Observations | 127 | 127 | 127 | 127 | 64 |

Note.- Coefficients are OLS estimates. Treatment is a dummy variable indicating whether a student is in a school that was randomly assigned to be in the PACE program. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.10 ;{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.05 ;{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.01$. Outcome variables: dummy variables indicating whether teachers meet at the end of the year to discuss the grades of each student (column 1), whether teachers adjusts grades based on students' motivation, effort or other reason (column 2), whether the school offered support classes in any subject (column 3) and support classes for PSU entrance exam preparation (column 4) to the cohort of students under study. The outcome in the last column is the number of support classes per week.

Table G5: Survey of School Principals: Assignment of Students to Classrooms

|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assignment Fixed | Ability Tracking | Random Assignment | Alphabetical Assignment |
| Treatment | 0.044 | -0.049 | -0.012 | 0.048 |
|  | $(0.071)$ | $(0.090)$ | $(0.078)$ | $(0.046)$ |
| Observations | 127 | 93 | 127 | 127 |

Note.- Coefficients are OLS estimates. Treatment is a dummy variable indicating whether a student is in a school that was randomly assigned to be in the PACE program. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.10 ;{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.05 ;{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.01$. The outcome variables are dummy variables indicating whether: a student must stay in the same class throughout high school (column (1)), the school allocate students to classrooms based on ability (column (2)), the school allocates students to classrooms at random (column (3)), the student allocates students to classrooms alphabetically (column (4)).

## G2 Additional Figures



Figure G1: Percentage of 18-19 year-old who are enrolled in college in Chile by family income quintile.


Figure G2: Evidence of grade compression: Histogram of $12^{\text {th }}$ grade GPA.


Sample restriction: students in control schools
Left panel trims students in top and bottom $1 \%$ of SIMCE distribution Method: smoothed values from kernel-weighted local polynomial regressions.

Figure G3: Evidence of grade compression: GPA does not discriminate between students as well as the achievement score does.

## G3 PISA score re-scaling

Figure 1 plots the histogram of tenth grade SIMCE test scores, and draws a line corresponding to the OECD mean for reference (at 0.49). Since the SIMCE tests are administered only nationally, we draw on data from PISA in Chile and in OECD countries to predict the SIMCE mean in OECD countries. This is the reasoning and procedure we follow:

- In 2015 the mean PISA scores of Chile were 447 in Science, 459 in Reading, 423 in Math.
- In 2015 the mean PISA scores of OECD were 493 in Science, 493 in Reading, 490 in Math.
- There is theoretically no minimum or maximum score in PISA; rather, the results are scaled to fit approximately normal distributions, with means around 500 score points and standard deviations around 100 score points.
- Therefore, OECD countries had a:
- mean Science score of $\frac{493-447}{100}=0.46$ standard deviations above the Chilean one;
- mean Reading score of $\frac{493-459}{100}=0.34$ standard deviations above the Chilean one;
- mean Mathematics score of $\frac{490-423}{100}=0.67$ standard deviations above the Chilean one;
- On average, OECD countries had mean PISA scores that were higher than the Chilean mean PISA score by $(0.46+0.34+0.67) / 3=0.49$ standard deviations.
- Sources: Link 1, Link 2

