Dehydrogenation of pure and Ti-doped Na₃AlH₆ surfaces from first principles calculations
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ABSTRACT

We have studied the dehydrogenation properties of pure and Ti-doped Na₃AlH₆ surfaces using density functional theory. For the clean surface dehydrogenation is a multistep process, and involves the desorption of AlH₄ complexes which disrupt the structure of the surface. By doping the system with Ti, we found that the segregation energy of Ti is negative, therefore favoring localization of the impurity to the surface of Na₃AlH₆. Here Ti doping is shown to kinetically favor H₂ desorption, by reducing the energy barrier that the molecule needs to climb to leave the surface.

1. Introduction

Sodium alanates have long been recognized as promising candidates for hydrogen storage purposes (see Sakintuna et al. [1] for a review and references therein). Among them, NaAlH₄ appeared appealing, having a theoretical H storage capacity of 7.5 wt% and low cost. However, irreversibility and poor kinetics precluded it as a possible on-board hydrogen storage material. This changed when Bogdanovic and Schwickardi [2] showed experimentally that by doping it with a transition metal catalyst – especially Ti – the processes of H absorption and desorption can be made reversible, and their kinetics improved under moderate conditions of temperature and pressure. The dehydrogenation kinetics of NaAlH₄ was also found to be further enhanced by improving the procedure used to dope the alanate with Ti [3]. However, although much work has been devoted to it (see Jensen and Gross [4] for a review), the hydrogenation/dehydrogenation kinetics in NaAlH₄ has not yet reached satisfactory levels. The search for an appropriate doping metal capable of meeting this target is still open, both theoretically and experimentally.

The dehydrogenation of NaAlH₄ occurs in three steps:

\[ \text{NaAlH}_4 \rightarrow \frac{1}{3}\text{Na}_3\text{AlH}_6 + 2/3\text{Al} + \text{H}_2, \]  

(1)

\[ \frac{1}{3}\text{Na}_3\text{AlH}_6 \rightarrow \text{NaH} + \frac{1}{3}\text{Al} + 1/2\text{H}_2, \]  

(2)

\[ \text{NaH} \rightarrow \text{Na} + 1/2\text{H}_2. \]  

(3)

The first two reactions occur at temperatures of 353 and 423 K, and release a total of 5.6 wt% of hydrogen. The third
reaction releases the last 1.9 wt% of hydrogen at nearly 700 K, and because of this high dehydrogenation temperature it is not considered to be useful for practical applications.

Due to its role in the decomposition of NaAlH$_4$, much interest has been given to sodium aluminum hexahydride (hexahydride from now on), Na$_3$AlH$_6$. Besides being formed during decomposition of NaAlH$_4$ upon dehydrogenation, it can also be synthesized by a direct process upon hydrogenation of Na and Al atoms [5]. In fact, even though Na$_3$AlH$_6$ has a much lower theoretical H storage capacity than NaAlH$_4$ (2.96 versus 5.6 wt% of H), the hydrogenation process (at about 200 °C or less) takes place at much lower H pressures (40–60 bar, instead of 130–150 bar for NaAlH$_4$) [2], making it more appealing for possible future commercial purposes. Furthermore, after Ti doping, Na$_3$AlH$_6$ can reversibly store and release hydrogen at a lower temperature and faster kinetics than NaAlH$_4$; the dehydrogenation, storage capacity and cycling stability are also improved with respect to the undoped Na$_3$AlH$_6$ sample [2].

Zidan et al. [6] showed experimentally that Zr is better than Ti for dehydrogenation of Na$_3$AlH$_6$, although Ti is better for the dehydrogenation of NaAlH$_4$ from which Na$_3$AlH$_6$ is formed. They therefore suggested a tandem doping technique of NaAlH$_4$ to be achieved with both Zr and Ti simultaneously, in order to have positive effects in both directions of the hydrogenation/dehydrogenation processes. However, this is not a necessary requirement, giving that Na$_3$AlH$_6$ obtained with mechanical alloying exhibits faster kinetics than the hexahydride obtained from the decomposition of NaAlH$_4$ [7]. It was also found that Ce-doped NaAlH$_4$ is characterized by fast kinetics and a stable hydrogen storage capacity around 4.7 wt% of H$_2$, compared to Ti-doped Na alanate [8]. Interestingly, it was found that dopants could form clusters on the alanate, and it was shown that small Ti$_{13}$ clusters ball milled with NaAlH$_4$ resulted in enhanced hydrogen exchange kinetics [9]. Another example of Ti-enhanced kinetics of absorption is that of Ti-doped Mg, where the presence of the transition metal helps hydrogen intake by lowering the H$_2$ dissociation barrier [10].

A large number of theoretical studies have been done on the crystal structure, lattice parameters and electronic properties of Na$_3$AlH$_6$ [11–21]. Overall, the structural parameters derived with theoretical calculations are in agreement with those found experimentally [14,22,23]. However, just a few theoretical papers have dealt with a Ti-doped sample [18–20]. Hydrogen diffusion in pure and Ti-doped Na$_3$AlH$_6$ was studied by Voss et al. [20], who reported a combined theoretical–experimental work showing promotion of diffusion by Ti doping.

To our knowledge, all previous works on pure and doped Na$_3$AlH$_6$ focused on bulk properties. However, dehydrogenation of the hexahydride is most likely affected by the behavior of its surfaces. In this work we therefore explicitly investigate the properties of both pure and Ti-doped Na$_3$AlH$_6$ (010) surfaces, and we show the effect that Ti has on the geometry, the electronic structure and the desorption energy of hydrogen from the surface. We find that the electronic structure of the surface is not very different from that of the bulk, and this is true both for the pure and the doped system. However, our calculations show that the stability of the Ti-doped hexahydride is higher than previously reported, as it is stabilized by the existence of a magnetic moment on the Ti atom not considered in previous studies. A study of the desorption mechanism shows that the presence of Ti reduces the energy barrier to H$_2$ desorption, thereby improving the kinetics of the dehydrogenation process.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly describe our first principles method of choice. Section 3 contains our results, and it is divided in subsections 3.1 and 3.2 which contain results of the pure Na$_3$AlH$_6$ and Ti-doped Na$_3$AlH$_6$ systems respectively, and subsection 3.3 which describes the electronic structure of the two systems. Conclusions follow in Section 4.

2. Techniques

The calculations are performed using density functional theory with the exchange-correlation functional of Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhof [24] (PBE) and the local density approximation (LDA). The interactions between the electrons and the nuclei are described with the projector-augmented-wave (PAW) formalism [25,26], as implemented in the VASP code [27]. We used 2p, 3s valence states for Na; 3s, 3p for Al; and 1s

![Fig. 1 – Relaxed Na$_{72}$Al$_{24}$H$_{144}$ slab. Na, Al and H atoms are shown as blue (dark gray), green (light gray) and small white balls respectively. Also shown are the corresponding positions in the bulk (shadowed balls). Surface relaxation is evident in the first layer, and quickly decays away in the deeper layers. The surface is perpendicular to the y direction, oriented almost vertically in the plane of the figure (slightly tilted towards the observer). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image)
for H. The plane wave cutoff was 324.5 eV. An efficient charge
density extrapolation was used to speed up the calculations
[28]. More specific, simulation dependent details are provided in
the following sections.

Figs. 1 and 5 have been made using the VMD software [29].

3. Results

3.1. Pure Na3AlH6

3.1.1. Bulk properties

Na3AlH6 has a monoclinic structure (see Fig. 1), with space
group symmetry P21/n (n 14) and a primitive cell containing 20
atoms, i.e., 2 formula units (f.u.). Crystal structure parameters
were optimized allowing for both atomic coordinates and cell
shape to change. Energy versus volume curves were fitted to
a Birch–Murnaghan equation of state [30]. Bulk structural
properties of pure Na3AlH6 were calculated using both the PBE
functional and the LDA.

The Brillouin zone was sampled using 4 x 4 x 3 k-point
grids. Tests with up to 7 x 7 x 5 grids showed that with a
4 x 4 x 3 grid the total energy is converged to within 1.5 meV.

The resulting lattice parameters, bulk modulus and Wyckoff positions of atoms for pure Na3AlH6 are listed in
Table 1, together with experimental values[14,22,23]. The unit
cell volume calculated with LDA is 213 Å3, while the PBE value
is 231 Å3, to be compared with the experimental value of
229.8 Å3 found by Ronnebro et al.[23] and Ozolins
et al. [14] for Na3AlD6 at room temperature, respectively. The

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LDA</th>
<th>5.220</th>
<th>5.427</th>
<th>7.520</th>
<th>89.99</th>
<th>213.0</th>
<th>34.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PBE</td>
<td>5.372</td>
<td>5.559</td>
<td>7.738</td>
<td>89.87</td>
<td>231.0</td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Expt.]</td>
<td>5.402[^{a}], 5.454[^{b}], 5.390[^{c}]</td>
<td>5.507[^{a}], 5.547[^{b}], 5.514[^{c}]</td>
<td>7.725[^{a}], 7.811[^{b}], 7.725[^{c}]</td>
<td>[89.49[^{a}], 89.83[^{b}], 89.86[^{c}]</td>
<td>[229.8[^{a}], 236.3[^{b}], 229.6[^{c}]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wyckoff positions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>0.451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>0.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>-0.010</td>
<td>0.454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>0.265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Expt.]</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
<td>[0.461]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>[0.091]</td>
<td>[0.041]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>[0.234]</td>
<td>[0.328]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>[0.165]</td>
<td>[0.266]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...
a slab calculation, this can be evaluated as the unit area required to form a surface from a bulk crystal. In zone. All atoms in the simulation cell were allowed to relax. We used 4
between periodic images of the slab are completely negligible. This is large enough that interactions corresponding to three BUs. This is large enough to be able to represent bulk behavior at its center. The geometry of the outer BU is significantly affected by the presence of the vacuum (see Fig. 1), and the relaxation of the surface reduces the formation energy by 0.21 J/m². In particular, the Al–H distances in the surface AlH₆ complexes range between 1.69 and 1.87 Å, with the shorter distances corresponding to the two hydrogens sticking out of the surface. The corresponding distances in the bulk are 1.77–1.78 Å.

The 010 surface is more problematic: leaving the slabs free to relax causes collective rotations of the AlH₆ complexes that extend to all depths of slabs of any thickness, making impossible to converge to bulk behavior in the center of the slabs. In this case the only way to reproduce bulk behavior is to freeze the geometry of the internal BUs. By doing so, we found that the surface formation energy of the 100 surface is 0.3 J/m² higher than that of the 010 surface, and therefore we decided to study only the latter.

of layers N in the slab, and therefore it needs to be evaluated in the limit of large N. However, if the bulk energy $E_{\text{bulk}}$ is obtained from a separate bulk calculation, then $E_{\text{surf}}(N)$ may not converge because small non-canceling errors between $E_{\text{slab}}(N)$ and $NE_{\text{bulk}}$, due to non-equivalent k-point sampling, will simply grow with N. Since for large values of N, $E_{\text{slab}}(N)$ is proportional to N, the right procedure to obtain a converging $E_{\text{surf}}(N)$ is to evaluate also the bulk energy from a slab calculation, or in other words fit $E_{\text{slab}}(N)$ to a straight line and obtain $E_{\text{bulk}}$ and $E_{\text{surf}}$ from the slope and the intercept respectively. In doing the fit of course one needs to discard the values for the lowest value of N for which the linear dependence of $E_{\text{slab}}(N)$ on N has not yet been obtained.

In Fig. 2 we show the surface formation energy as a function of the number of BUs included in the slab, obtained by fitting to a straight line only the energies of the $1 \times 3 \times 1$, $1 \times 4 \times 1$ and $1 \times 5 \times 1$ slabs. It is clear that convergence to less than 0.001 J/m² is obtained when 3 or more BUs are included in the slab. This is because with 3 or more BUs the central BU is well converged to its bulk geometry, but with 2 or 1 each BU is obviously a surface unit. The calculated 010 surface formation energy is 0.421 J/m². The geometry of the central units of the slabs is also well converged when 3 or more BUs are included. In fact, freezing the internal BU to the bulk geometry in the $1 \times 3 \times 1$ slab increases the total energy of the slab by 0.02 eV, which would change the estimate of the surface energy by only 0.003 J/m². This confirms that the $1 \times 3 \times 1$ slab is thick enough to be able to represent bulk behavior at its center. The geometry of the outer BU is significantly affected by the presence of the vacuum (see Fig. 1), and the relaxation of the surface reduces the formation energy by 0.21 J/m². In particular, the Al–H distances in the surface AlH₆ complexes range between 1.69 and 1.87 Å, with the shorter distances corresponding to the two hydrogens sticking out of the surface. The corresponding distances in the bulk are 1.77–1.78 Å.

The 100 surface is more problematic: leaving the slabs free to relax causes collective rotations of the AlH₆ complexes that extend to all depths of slabs of any thickness, making impossible to converge to bulk behavior in the center of the slabs. In this case the only way to reproduce bulk behavior is to freeze the geometry of the internal BUs. By doing so, we found that the surface formation energy of the 100 surface is 0.3 J/m² higher than that of the 010 surface, and therefore we decided to study only the latter.

the H₂ molecule we used the value $E_{\text{H₂}}^{\text{ZPE}} = 0.263$ eV computed in Ref. [37]. The ZPE corrected enthalpy of formation of Na₃AlH₆ is therefore $-1.547$ eV. The discrepancy with the experimental value of $-2.21$ eV [35,36] is probably due to inaccuracies of the PBE functional, and could be reduced with the use of more accurate electronic structure methods like quantum Monte Carlo [37,38].

3.1.2. Surface properties
The 010 surface has been modeled using 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 bulk units (BUs) ($1 \times 1 \times 1$, $1 \times 2 \times 1$, $1 \times 3 \times 1$, $1 \times 4 \times 1$ and $1 \times 5 \times 1$ slabs respectively), and a vacuum thickness of 16.7 Å, corresponding to three BUs. This is large enough that interactions between periodic images of the slab are completely negligible. We used $4 \times 1 \times 4$ k-point grids to sample the surface Brillouin zone. All atoms in the simulation cell were allowed to relax.

The surface formation energy is defined as the energy per unit area required to form a surface from a bulk crystal. In a slab calculation, this can be evaluated as $E_{\text{surf}}(N) = 1/2A [E_{\text{slab}}(N) - NE_{\text{bulk}}]$, where $E_{\text{slab}}(N)$ is the energy of a slab of N layers, $E_{\text{bulk}}$ the energy of the bulk and A is the area of the surface. The factor of 1/2 comes in because a slab has two surfaces. The surface energy $E_{\text{slab}}(N)$ depends on the number

Fig. 2 – Surface formation energy of Na₃AlH₆ as a function of the number of bulk units (BUs) included in the slab.

Fig. 3 – MEP for the desorption of hydrogen from the Na₃AlH₆ surface. Left panel: one H atom is removed from the surface. Right panel: a surface H atom is removed in presence of an additional H atom in the gas phase (see text).
3.1.3. Hydrogen desorption

The binding energy of hydrogen to the Na₃AlH₆ (010) surface can be calculated by removing one hydrogen from a surface AlH₆ complex and placing it in vacuum. To perform this calculation, we constructed a 2/C2 3/C2 2 slab (12 BU units, 240 atoms), and displaced one H atom from a surface AlH₆ complex 5/C2 3/A into the vacuum. We checked that the removal energy was converged with respect to the displaced distance by moving the H atom up to 8/C2 3/A, which showed an energy difference of less than 2 meV. The calculations were performed allowing spin polarization, which is necessary to correctly describe the hydrogen atom away from the surface. As a result of the H removal, the energy of the system increases by 3.074 eV. If referred to the energy of formation of the hydrogen molecule of 4.511 eV, then hydrogen desorption is endothermic, with each H₂ needing 1.635 eV to desorb.

If two H atoms are removed from the same surface AlH₆ complex, then the surface is further destabilized, and the desorption energy is reduced to 1.32 eV.

To investigate the possible presence of an energy barrier for the desorption of one hydrogen atom, we have performed two climbing-image nudged-elastic-band (NEB) calculations [39]. In the first case we considered the Na₃AlH₆ slab and removed one of the surface H atoms into the vacuum by a distance of 5 Å. The whole system was allowed to relax along the most efficient minimum energy path (MEP). This is displayed in the left panel of Fig. 3, and shows that the hydrogen needs to climb an energy of about 3 eV to be removed from the surface, with no additional barrier to desorption. The NEB calculations shown in Fig. 3 have been performed using 7 images. We also repeated the calculations using 21 images, and found essentially the same MEP. This high energy barrier shows that it is unlikely that dehydrogenation process may proceed with a sequence of isolated H desorption events.

In the second case the initial state was that of the fully relaxed slab plus one H atom at a distance of 5 Å from the surface, and the final state that of a fully relaxed slab with one H missing, and a hydrogen molecule in vacuum at 5 Å from the surface. As in the previous case, the whole system was allowed to relax along the MEP. This is displayed in the right panel of Fig. 3. In this case the extra hydrogen atom comes close to the surface and collects its companion from the slab, for a desorption with no energy barrier. Before desorbing, the newly formed hydrogen molecule has a small binding energy of 0.3 eV. This shows that in the presence of atomic hydrogen in the gas phase the desorption of further hydrogen from the surface is barrier-less and exothermic, and provides a possible mechanism for the release of further hydrogen from the hexahydride by exposing it to an atmosphere of atomic hydrogen.

Finally, we have performed a third NEB calculation in which we have allowed two hydrogen atoms from an AlH₆ surface cluster to desorb and form a hydrogen molecule in the vacuum. The MEP for this reaction is displayed in Fig. 4, and shows that there is a barrier of 1.61 eV for the desorption of the molecule. This is much lower than the barrier for atomic H desorption and shows that, unless atomic hydrogen is available in the gas phase, this is the mechanism that leads to the dehydrogenation of the system.

3.2. Ti-doped Na₃AlH₆

3.2.1. Bulk properties

We now come to the description of the Ti-doped Na₃AlH₆ system. In the bulk, there are two possible Na sites and one Al site which can be substituted for Ti. Ti may also substitute...
interstitially, but it was found by Lee et al. [19] that these sites are unfavorable, and for this reason we did not include them in our study.

The energetics, structural and electronic properties of Ti-doped bulk Na₃AlH₆ systems have been investigated by Li et al. [18], who found that the Al site is the most stable site for Ti, and that the hydrogen–metal distances increase from 1.76 Å in the AlH₆ complex in the pure system to 1.85 Å in the TiH₆ complex when Ti is substituted for a Al atom, while the Ti–H distances increase to 1.99 Å when Ti is substituted for a Na atom. They also found that the volume of their 80 atoms supercell increased slightly when one Ti atom was substituted for an Al atom, and that the geometry of the system is only affected locally by Ti. By contrast, the equilibrium volume of the system is slightly reduced when the Ti atom is substituted for a Na atom. Similarly, here we have studied the structural parameters of Ti-doped bulk Na₃AlH₆. We optimized both atomic coordinates and cell shape, using the PBE functional only. The structural parameters were determined by fitting energy versus volume curves to a Birch–Murnaghan equation of state [30]. We studied the Ti-doped systems both at high (20 atoms) and low (80 atoms) Ti concentration.

Table 2 lists the optimized lattice parameters and bulk modulus for Ti-doped Na₃AlH₆, when substituting at Al, Na(2b) and Na(4e) atomic sites. In agreement with Li et al. [18], we find that when Ti substitutes for an Al atom the unit cell volume increases, while it decreases when Ti is substituted for a Na atom. The volume effect is obviously bigger on the smaller 20 atoms cell. We also note that spin polarization is necessary to obtain accurate substitution enthalpies (see below), although the effect on the structural properties is small. We find that the Ti–Al distances in the TiH₆ complex increase to 1.86–1.87 Å when Ti is substituted for a Al atom, while they are between 1.99 and 2.01 Å when Ti is substituted for a Na atom. These values agree well with those found by Li et al. [18].

### Table 2 – Substitution enthalpies of Ti-doped Na₃AlH₆, calculated with (∆Hₚₚₚₚ) and without (∆H) spin-polarizations. Slab results are calculated using a 2 x 3 x 2 supercell and a vacuum thickness of 16.7 Å, bulk results using a 2 x 1 x 2 supercell. Al, Al₂ and Al₃ refer to the Al site in the first, second and third layer of the slab. For the bulk all the Al sites are equivalent. Na and Na₂ refer to the (4e) and (2b) Na sites in the first layer respectively, Na₃ and Na₄ to the corresponding sites in the second layer, and Na₅ and Na₆ to those in the third layer. Note the significant stabilizing effect of the inclusion of spin polarization in the calculations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ti → Al/Na</th>
<th>∆H (eV)</th>
<th>∆Hₚₚₚₚ (eV)</th>
<th>∆HBulk (eV)</th>
<th>∆HₚₚₚₚBulk (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂</td>
<td>0.803</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₃</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td>0.93, 0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>1.029</td>
<td>0.788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂</td>
<td>1.011</td>
<td>0.731</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₃</td>
<td>1.542</td>
<td>1.050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₄</td>
<td>2.098</td>
<td>1.207</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₅</td>
<td>1.770</td>
<td>1.583</td>
<td>2.059</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₆</td>
<td>2.487</td>
<td>1.576</td>
<td>2.435</td>
<td>2.42, 2.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Ref. [18].
b Ref. [20].

3.2.2. Surface properties

To study the Ti-doped surface we used a 2 x 3 x 2 slab, as in the pure case, which contains a total of 12 bulk units, or 240 atoms. All the atoms in the simulation cell were allowed to relax. In this slab there are 3 and 6 non-equivalent Al and Na sites, respectively (see details in caption of Table 3). The substitution enthalpies of the doped systems are calculated according to:

\[
\Delta H = E(\text{TiNa}_{72-2x}\text{Al}_{24-x}\text{H}_{144}) - E(\text{Na}_{72}\text{Al}_{24}\text{H}_{144}) - E(\text{Ti}) + xE(\text{Na}) + yE(\text{Al})
\]

where \(E(\text{TiNa}_{72-2x}\text{Al}_{24-x}\text{H}_{144})\) is the energy of the slab in which one Na or one Al atom has been replaced by a Ti atom, \(E(\text{Ti})\), \(E(\text{Na})\) and \(E(\text{Al})\) are the energies of Ti, Na and Al bulk, respectively, and \(x\) and \(y\) are integers equal to 1 or 0, with \(x + y = 1\).

We report the values of \(\Delta H\) for the 9 sites in Table 3. We also report the values of \(\Delta H\) for the bulk, from which we see that in agreement with Li et al. [18] the Al sites are those with the lowest substitution enthalpies. The calculations were performed by allowing spin polarization, which is essential to describe the magnetic moments localized on the Ti atom (with values of about 1 μB and above) and stabilizes the doped crystal significantly. We also notice that the substitution enthalpies of the sites in the center of the slab are very close to those calculated for the bulk, further confirming that the center of the slab is indeed bulk-like.

**Fig. 5** — Geometry of the relaxed TiNa₇₂Al₂₃H₁₄₄ slab near the Ti atom. Na, Al and H atoms are shown as blue (dark gray), green (light gray) and small white balls respectively. Ti atom is shown in red. Also shown are the corresponding positions of the pure Na₇₂Al₂₄H₁₄₄ slab (shadowed balls). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
Interestingly, the substitution enthalpies are much smaller when Ti is substituted for a surface atom, which means that Ti atoms will be localized on the surface at low temperature. In fact, since the enthalpy difference between surface and bulk sites is of the order of 0.4 eV, the segregation energy will remain negative (i.e. favor surface sites) to temperatures much higher than room temperature, and therefore the Ti atoms will remain localized on the surface even at moderately high temperatures.

Given the significantly lower value of $D_H$ for the Al surface site compared to both Na surface sites, we restricted our investigation to this particular site only. As for the bulk, we observe that the Ti–H distances are lengthened when compared to the Al–H distances, to 1.84–1.91 Å, and the geometry of the TiH$_6$ complex is also significantly distorted, as shown in Fig. 5. However, the distortion is localized around the Ti atom, and does not extend for more than two layers inside the bulk.

3.2.3. Hydrogen desorption
The hydrogen removal energy from the Ti-doped surface is 2.952 eV, which is about 0.1 eV lower than that from the pure surface, and therefore a desorption of two atoms to form an H$_2$ molecule would be endothermic with an energy penalty of 1.393 eV, slightly lower than the 1.635 eV calculated for the pure surface. However, if two H atoms are removed from the TiH$_6$ complex the desorption energy increases to 1.53 eV. This is slightly higher than the desorption energy on the pure system. However, as we shall see, the presence of Ti reduces the energy barrier to hydrogen desorption.

To investigate the dehydrogenation of the TiNa$_{22}$Al$_{23}$H$_{144}$ system we performed three NEB calculations, in a similar way as described for the pure case. In the first case we removed one H atom from a surface TiH$_6$ complex. The MEP shows that, as in the pure case, the energy increases monotonously to 2.95 eV, with no additional energy barrier (see Fig. 6). Also in this case the calculations were performed with 7 images and a check with 21 images did not show appreciable differences in the MEP.

In the second case we introduced a second H atom in the system, and as in the pure case we found that the extra H atom comes close to the surface and the desorption of the H$_2$ molecule proceeds with no energy barrier. As in the pure case, we observe that before desorbing the newly formed hydrogen molecule has a small binding energy of 0.37 eV.

The third calculation, in which we have allowed two hydrogen atoms from the TiH$_6$ surface cluster to desorb and form a hydrogen molecule in the vacuum, is shown in Fig. 7. Interestingly, in this case there is no barrier to desorption, even though the final energy is slightly higher than that for a molecule desorbing from an AlH$_6$ complex. This clearly shows that Ti doping can be beneficial, by improving the kinetics of the desorption process.

3.3. Electronic structure
The electronic structure of both pure and Ti-doped Na$_3$AlH$_6$ has been investigated by previous authors [14–16,18]. In this section we revisit the issue, mainly to highlight the effect of the introduction of spin polarization in the calculations for the Ti-doped system. In Fig. 8 we show the total and the partial density of states (PDOS) of bulk TiNa$_{24}$Al$_7$H$_{48}$ and the TiNa$_{22}$Al$_{23}$H$_{144}$ slab. For the bulk we also calculated the PDOS for the TiNa$_{22}$Al$_{23}$H$_{144}$ system, which showed no appreciable differences with the system with a larger concentration of Ti. The PDOS have been obtained by projecting the Kohn–Sham orbitals onto spherical harmonics with angular momentum 0.
1 and 2 (s, p, and d), inside spheres centered around the atoms. The radii of the spheres were 1.16, 1.32, 1.40 and 0.37 Å for Na, Ti, Al and H respectively. It is clear that there are only very small differences between the surface and the bulk PDOS, as expected for an ionic crystal.

The two spin components of the projections are essentially identical for H, Al and Na, and therefore in Fig. 8 we only show one of the two. The projections onto the Ti atom, however, are different, showing a splitting of the Ti d-PDOS around the Fermi energy, which is the cause of the insurgence of a magnetic moment localized on the Ti atom.

The resonance between the Ti egd orbitals and the H 1s orbitals can be interpreted as a sign of covalent bonding between Ti and H [11,18].

The ionicity of the crystal, and a slight change in ionicity in the region around the Ti atom in the doped crystal, is also confirmed by an analysis of the Bader charges [40,41]. For the pure Na3AlH6 system we find that the Na atoms donate 0.8–0.85 electrons (e), the Al atoms donate approximately 2.2e, and the H atoms gain approximately 0.78e. The charge distribution is hardly affected by the presence of the surface, in support of the discussion on the electronic density of states.

For the TiNa24Al7H48 system we find that the Na atoms donate 0.8–0.85 electrons (e), the Al atoms donate approximately 2.2e, and the H atoms gain approximately 0.78e. The charge distribution is hardly affected by the presence of the surface, in support of the discussion on the electronic density of states.

For the TiNa24Al7H48 system we find that the Na atoms donate 0.8–0.85 electrons (e), the Al atoms donate approximately 2.2e, and the H atoms gain approximately 0.78e. The charge distribution is hardly affected by the presence of the surface, in support of the discussion on the electronic density of states.

4. Conclusions

We have studied the dehydrogenation properties of both pure and Ti-doped Na3AlH6 systems, investigating in particular the role of the surface in the desorption of hydrogen. We found that for both the pure and the Ti-doped systems, atomic H desorption has a large energy barrier (3.07 and 2.95 eV for the pure and the Ti-doped systems, respectively), showing that this mechanism towards dehydrogenation is unlikely. A process with a lower energy barrier is that of molecular hydrogen desorption, with two H atoms detaching from the same surface AlH6 or TiH6 complexes. For the pure system, H2 desorption from a surface AlH6 complex is endothermic, with an energy penalty of 1.32 eV, and has an energy barrier of 1.61 eV. For the doped system, H2 desorption from a TiH6 complex is also endothermic, with a higher energy penalty of 1.53 eV, but there is no energy barrier, and therefore the kinetics of desorption should be improved as a result.

On both the pure and the Ti-doped surfaces the most efficient desorption process would be the one assisted by the presence of atomic hydrogen in the gas phase, which is barrier-less and exothermic.

By calculating the substitution enthalpy of Ti in various sites, we confirmed that between Al and Na the preferred sites are the Al ones. We found that the segregation energy is

![Fig. 8](image-url)
negative, and therefore Ti atoms will be localized on the surface. We also found that the Ti atom in the hexahydride carries a magnetic moment, and therefore allowing spin polarization in the calculations has the effect of reducing the energy of the doped systems, increasing its stability compared to what previously reported. This means that it is not so unfavourable for Ti to dissolve in the surface, and kinetics may allow it to remain in this metastable configuration for long time, particularly if the dopant concentration is low, and stability is helped by entropy. However, because of the positive enthalpy of formation, it is possible that for sufficiently large concentrations Ti clusters will form on the surface, and therefore it will be interesting in future work to investigate the effect of doping Na$_3$AlH$_6$ with small Ti clusters, which were found to be beneficial in NaAlH$_4$ [9]. It will be also interesting to study the properties of the hexahydride doped with different transition metals or even Ce, which was found to improve the kinetics of NaAlH$_4$ [8].
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