Hydrogen dissociation and diffusion on Ni- and Ti-doped Mg(0001) surfaces
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It is well-known, both theoretically and experimentally, that alloying MgH₂ with transition elements can significantly improve the thermodynamic and kinetic properties for H₂ desorption, as well as the H₂ intake by Mg bulk. Here, we present a density functional theory investigation of hydrogen dissociation and surface diffusion over a Ni-doped surface and compare the findings to previously investigated Ti-doped Mg(0001) and pure Mg(0001) surfaces. Our results show that the energy barrier for hydrogen dissociation on the pure Mg(0001) surface is high, while it is small/null when Ni/Ti are added to the surface as dopants. We find that the binding energy of the two H atoms near the dissociation site is high on Ti, effectively impeding diffusion away from the Ti site. By contrast, we find that on Ni, the energy barrier for diffusion is much reduced. Therefore, although both Ti and Ni promote H₂ dissociation, only Ni appears to be a good catalyst for Mg hydrogenation, allowing diffusion away from the catalytic sites. Experimental results corroborate these theoretical findings, i.e., faster hydrogenation of the Ni-doped Mg sample as opposed to the reference Mg or Ti-doped Mg.

I. INTRODUCTION

Safe and efficient hydrogen storage is one of the biggest barriers to the more widespread usage of hydrogen as an energy carrier or fuel. Currently, commercial solutions are based on liquid or compressed gas storage methods, which are inefficient and have safety issues. Alternative storage methods include metal hydrides, which are formed by the interaction between a suitable metal and hydrogen. The relatively strong metal-hydrogen bonds provide an intrinsically safe storage medium. The release of hydrogen from the hydride is then achieved by heating the material above a certain decomposition temperature. There are a large number of metals in nature that form hydrides; however, only the lighter ones are thought to be suitable candidates for mobile hydrogen storage purposes (see Ref. 1 for an overview). Beside being lightweight, a hydride will need to have good cyclability (several hundred times with little loss of performance), fast adsorption/desorption kinetics (the hydride should form/decompose on a time scale of minutes), and low decomposition temperature (ideally between 20 and 100 °C).

Magnesium is a good case study due to its lightweight, low cost, cyclability, and the high H storage capacity of 7.6% by weight once the hydride MgH₂ is formed. However, its commercial application is still on hold for practical issues due to low H absorption/desorption kinetics and high working temperatures. The strong bond between Mg and hydrogen provides MgH₂ with high thermodynamic stability, which has an enthalpy of formation of about −76 kJ/mol (Ref. 4) and a decomposition temperature of more than 300 °C. The slow kinetics may be explained by the high energy barrier which needs to be overcome (see, for example, Ref. 6) to dissociate the H₂ molecule due to the tendency of Mg to repel the s electron of H because of the Pauli exclusion principle.

A step forward in improving hydrogen reaction kinetics has been achieved by the mechanical ball milling of MgH₂ with transition elements (see Ref. 8 and references therein). The hydrogen storage properties of mechanically milled powders improve because of the reduced powder size (see, for example, Refs. 9–12 and references therein), which shortens the diffusion distance of H into bulk Mg for the formation of the hydride. There are many experimental and theoretical papers in the literature showing that the hydriding properties of MgH₂ are further enhanced by the addition of traces of transition metals which act as a catalyst (see, for example, Refs. 12–16 and references therein). In particular, alloying Mg with Ni can slightly improve the thermodynamic properties of the hydride by favoring H₂ adsorption/desorption and consequent atomic hydrogen absorption/
While, from theoretical calculations, the destabilization effect of Ni on MgH₂ appears to be second only to Cu (see Ref. 15), experimentally, Ni shows the highest kinetics, with Cu falling behind. As suggested by Shang et al.,¹² Cu results are disadvantageous for H desorption probably because of the formation of a MgCu₂ compound. Recently, a new method of chemical fluid deposition in supercritical fluids has been used on metal hydrides.¹⁸ Even sparser literature exists for the activation barrier of hydrogen dissociation on a transition metal doped surface, which includes only the theoretical calculations made by Du et al.¹⁹–²¹ within density functional theory (DFT) [revised Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (RPBE)] for both the pure Mg(0001) and Ti and Pd incorporated Mg surfaces. Their results show that the dissociation barrier of hydrogen on the Ti-doped Mg surface is greatly reduced (in fact, there is no barrier at all) due to the strong interaction between the hydrogen s orbital and the Ti d orbital; however, strong binding of the two H atoms near the Ti site prevents easy diffusion, reducing, therefore, the efficacy of the catalyst for Mg hydrogenation.¹⁹ Palladium doping appears to lower both the dissociation barrier and the diffusion barrier, suggesting a better catalytic activity. Their findings are consistent with the experimentally observed trend of generally improved hydrogen absorption kinetics when Mg surfaces are doped with transition metals, as previously mentioned.

To our knowledge, so far, there is no published theoretical investigation of H₂ dissociation and corresponding activation barrier on a Ni-incorporated Mg surface nor a systematic investigation of the catalytic effect of other transition metal dopants apart from the above-mentioned studies on the Ti-doped and Pd-doped Mg surfaces presented by Du et al.¹⁹–²¹ There are a few theoretical papers about the dissociation of molecular hydrogen on a pure Mg surface where the corresponding activation barrier has been effectively calculated. These investigations were based on a jellium model or generalized gradient corrections (RPBE).²²–²⁶

For the purpose of a larger scale investigation, we have performed DFT calculations for hydrogen dissociation and diffusion on a Ni-doped Mg surface, accompanied by analogous calculations on a Ti-doped Mg surface for a consistency check with the recently reported theoretical values. This study should be regarded as a first step in order to build up a global picture of the dissociative chemisorption of hydrogen when doping the Mg surface with different transition metals. The main purpose of this article is to try to understand the observed large enhancement of the kinetics of hydrogen adsorption by Mg when it is doped with a small quantity of Ni but not when it is doped with Ti. The computational results are supported by experimental data where a Ni-doped Mg sample is hydrogenated substantially faster than the reference Mg or Ti-doped Mg.

II. COMPUTATIONAL METHOD

DFT calculations were performed with the ab initio simulation package VASP (Ref. 27) using the projector augmented wave (PAW) method²⁸,²⁹ and the PBE exchange-correlation functional.³⁰ An efficient charge density extrapolation was used to speed up the calculations.³¹ A plane-wave basis set was used to expand the electronic wave functions with a plane-wave energy cutoff of 270 eV, which guarantees convergence of adsorption energies within 1 meV. For completeness, Mg bulk parameters were also calculated using the LDA functional. Monkhorst-Pack k points were used to sample the Brillouin zone.³² A smearing function of the Methfessel-Paxton (MP) type (product of a Gaussian times a nth-order Hermite polynomial)³³ was used throughout. Figures 1, 3–9, and 13 have been made using the XCRYSDEN software.³⁴ The exact values of the various parameters used in the calculations will be reported below in the relevant sections.

Activation energies have been calculated using the nudged elastic band (NEB) method.³⁵ A sufficient number of replicas have to be used in order to predict accurately a minimum energy path (MEP); for most cases, we repeated the calculations with a different number of replicas until convergence of the activation energy and main features of the MEP were observed. The total number of images actually used in each case is reported where relevant in the following sections.

III. THEORETICAL RESULTS

A. Bulk Mg, Ti, and Ni and the Mg(0001) surface

Magnesium bulk crystal at ambient conditions has the hexagonal closed packed (hcp) structure. Several preliminary tests were first carried out using the PBE version of the PAW potential of magnesium, which only includes the 3s² electrons in valence and has a core radius of 1.1 Å. These included the energy dependence on the c/a ratio for different k-point meshes, from a minimum of 56 to a maximum of 880 k points in the irreducible wedge of the Brillouin zone (IBZ), different values of n for the MP smearing functions.
and different smearing widths, and different plane-wave cutoffs. To calculate the bulk structural properties of Mg, energy versus volume curves were fitted to a Birch-Murnaghan equation of state. We found that with an $18 \times 18 \times 12$ k-point mesh (259 points in the IBZ), $n=1$, and a smearing width of 0.2 eV, the zero pressure equilibrium volume $V_0$ and bulk modulus $B_0$ of bulk Mg were converged to within 0.2% and 0.3%, respectively. Similar convergence results were obtained with the standard LDA potential, which also has only the 3$s^2$ electrons in valence and a core radius of 1.1 Å. Results are summarized in Table I, together with results from previous theoretical calculations. The well-known trend of LDA to overestimate the bulk modulus and underestimate the lattice parameter is apparent.

Finally, we have tested PBE and LDA PAW potentials with $2p^6$ and $3s^2$ electrons in valence. These potentials still have a core radius of 1.1 Å but require an higher energy cutoff value of 350 eV. The structural parameters obtained with these potentials are essentially identical to those obtained with the previous potentials. Therefore, in the rest of the work, we only used the standard Mg potentials.

From our PBE calculations (see Table I), we derive a lattice constant of 3.19 Å, in error of just 0.6% with respect to the experimental value. The zero pressure bulk modulus $B_0$ is 36.8 GPa and the value of $c/a$ at the equilibrium volume is 1.621, both in very good agreement with the experimental values (we note, however, that these calculations do not include room temperature thermal expansion, which is present in the experimental data).

Titanium is also a hcp crystal. We used the standard version of the PBE PAW pseudopotential for Ti, which has a core radius of 1.5 Å, and we used an $18 \times 18 \times 12$ k-point grid. The resulting values for the structural parameters were $a_0=2.923$ Å and $B_0=120$ GPa, and the value of $c/a$ at the equilibrium volume was 1.583, in good agreement with those previously found with theoretical and experimental investigations (see Refs. 47–49 and references therein).

To study bulk Ni, we also used the standard version of the PBE PAW potential, which has a core radius of 1.2 Å. Ni bulk has a face centered cubic crystal structure, with a small magnetic moment of 0.61μB under ambient conditions, so we performed spin polarized total energy calculations. The calculations were performed with a $13 \times 13 \times 13$ grid of k points. We found a lattice parameter $a_0=3.524$ Å and a bulk modulus $B_0=194$ GPa, which compare well with the experimental data of 3.524 Å and 186 GPa, respectively. The zero pressure magnetic moment is 0.63μB, which is also close to the experimental value of 0.61μB. The values we found for $a_0$, $B_0$, and μB are in agreement with those from other GGA and PBE calculations.

Surfaces have been modeled using periodic slabs, with several atomic layers (from 3 to 13) and a large vacuum thickness (5–18 Å), defined as the distance between two opposite facing surfaces. We used an $18 \times 18 \times 1$ k-point grid for the $1 \times 1$ surface primitive cell. The positions of the atoms in the three topmost layers were allowed to relax, while the rest were kept at the bulk interatomic distances. Good convergence in the calculated surface energies and relaxations of the topmost atomic layers was achieved with five layer slabs (corresponding to a slab thickness of about 13 Å) and a vacuum region thickness of about 10 Å. We found that the topmost layer has an inward relaxation of about 1.4%, in good agreement with the inferred experimental zero temperature value of 1.7%.

### Table I. Bulk and surface properties of pure Mg.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ads sites</th>
<th>$E_{ad}$(eV)</th>
<th>$E_{ad}$(eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow (hcp)</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow (fcc)</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II. Hydrogen adsorption energies ($E_{ad}$) in different adsorption sites on the pure Mg surface, for the $2 \times 2$ and the $3 \times 3$ surface unit cells.
We found that with five atomic layers, the surface energy is converged to within 2 meV to the value of 0.30 eV/atom. This compares well with the experimental findings which are in the range of 0.28–0.33 eV/atom.\textsuperscript{45,46}

B. H\textsubscript{2} dissociation and H diffusion on the pure Mg and the Ti-doped Mg surfaces

Hydrogen adsorption energies on the Mg(0001) surface were determined at low coverage in four possible sites: top, bridge, hollow fcc, and hollow hcp (see Fig. 1). These adsorption energies are defined as \( E_{\text{ads}}(\text{H}) = E_{\text{slab}}(\text{MgH}) - E_{\text{slab}}(\text{Mg}) + (1/2)E(\text{H}_2) \), where \( E_{\text{slab}}(\text{MgH}) \) is the energy of the slab with one H adsorbed on the surface, \( E_{\text{slab}}(\text{Mg}) \) is the energy of the bare slab, and \( E(\text{H}_2) \) the energy of the isolated hydrogen molecule, calculated by placing the \( \text{H}_2 \) molecule in a large cubic box with sides of 13.5 Å. Calculations have been performed on \( 2 \times 2 \) (corresponding to 0.25 ML coverage, ML denotes monolayer) and checked against results obtained from \( 3 \times 3 \) (corresponding to 0.11 ML coverage) surface unit cells, with differences between the two sets of calculations of less than 0.01 eV, thus implying that the results effectively correspond to those for an isolated \( \text{H}_2 \) molecule. The two sets of calculations have been performed with equivalent grids of \( \mathbf{k} \) points, 9 \( \times \) 9 \( \times \) 1 and 6 \( \times \) 6 \( \times \) 1 for the \( 2 \times 2 \) and the \( 3 \times 3 \) surface unit cells, respectively.

The values for the adsorption energies of atomic hydrogen in different adsorption sites on the pure Mg surface are reported in Table II. These compare well with previous theoretical results.\textsuperscript{25} It is clear that there is a strong preference for the hollow sites, with a small preference for the fcc hollow site.

We performed NEB calculations for \( \text{H}_2 \) dissociation over two possible sites (bridge and top). These have been accompanied by careful tests on supercell size, number of layers in the slab, and number of replicas in the NEB calculation to obtain the minimum energy path and the activation barrier. We found that a \( 2 \times 2 \) supercell, five layers, and five replicas are enough to obtain activation energies converged to within 0.02 eV. The first MEP is rather featureless, initial state (IS) \( \rightarrow \) transition state (TS) \( \rightarrow \) final state (FS), and it is well approximated also by five replicas; although in Fig. 2 we report the MEP obtained with 17 replicas.

Of the two sites investigated, we found that \( \text{H}_2 \) prefers to dissociate over a bridge site (see Fig. 3) with an activation energy of about 0.87 eV (about 0.6 eV lower than that obtained for dissociation over a top site), in agreement with previous DFT calculations\textsuperscript{20,24–26} and experimental findings,\textsuperscript{6} as reported in Table III. The small difference between our

![FIG. 2. Minimum energy path for \( \text{H}_2 \) dissociation and diffusion on a pure Mg(0001), Ni-doped Mg(0001), and Ti-doped Mg(0001) surfaces.](image)

![FIG. 3. \( \text{H}_2 \) (black) dissociation on the pure Mg (grey) surface as viewed from the side (top figures) and the top (bottom figures). Figures show positions at IS (left-hand panel), TS (central panel), and FS (right-hand panel).](image)

### TABLE III. Activation energy (\( E_a \)) for hydrogen dissociation on the pure Mg, Ni-doped, and Ti-doped Mg surfaces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( E_a ) (pure Mg)</th>
<th>( E_a ) (Ni-doped Mg)</th>
<th>( E_a ) (Ti-doped Mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Expt.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.87,\textsuperscript{a} 0.4,\textsuperscript{b} 0.5,\textsuperscript{c} 1.15,\textsuperscript{d} 1.05,\textsuperscript{e} 0.95,\textsuperscript{f}</td>
<td>1.0,\textsuperscript{g}</td>
<td>1.0,\textsuperscript{g}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_a ) (Ni-doped Mg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_a ) (Ti-doped Mg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}This work.

\textsuperscript{b}Reference 22 for a jellium system.

\textsuperscript{c}Reference 25, from DFT LDA calculations and PES. This lower value as compared to other calculations is explained as due to the well-known LDA overbinding.

\textsuperscript{d}Reference 23 for a jellium system and PES.

\textsuperscript{e}Reference 24 for a jellium system and PES.

\textsuperscript{f}Reference 26 from DFT RPBE.

\textsuperscript{g}Reference 20, from DFT PAW RPBE calculations (see also discussion in main text).

\textsuperscript{h}Reference 55 from PES calculations.

\textsuperscript{i}Reference 6.
findings and those of Vegge et al.\textsuperscript{16} and Du et al.\textsuperscript{20} are due to their use of RPBE instead of PBE and different k-point meshes.

We then performed a second NEB calculation to obtain the MEP for the diffusion of one of the H atoms on the surface from one fcc to a second fcc site (FS$\rightarrow$TS2 $\rightarrow$ low state LS$\rightarrow$TS3$\rightarrow$FS2, see Fig. 4). This MEP (calculated with 17 replicas) is also displayed in Fig. 2, as a continuation of the dissociation MEP, and shows that the highest energy barrier for surface diffusion is only $\sim$0.18 eV, which agrees very well with the calculations of Du et al.\textsuperscript{19} This low energy barrier clearly indicates fast diffusion even at room temperature.

Before repeating the calculations on the Ti-doped surface, we tested all four possible sites for H adsorption after dissociation (see Fig. 5) and found that the atomic hydrogen prefers to adsorb into two of the possible three hollow-fcc sites around the Ti atom (see Fig. 5, top-right corner). The dissociation activation barrier was calculated using 9 and 17 replicas, with 9 being enough to display the main features of the MEP (IS$\rightarrow$FS, see Fig. 6); although in Fig. 2, we display the results obtained with 17 replicas. Our findings are very similar to the previous results of Du et al.,\textsuperscript{19,20} i.e., there is no barrier for hydrogen dissociation on a Ti-doped Mg surface and a barrier of almost 0.8 eV for diffusing away from the Ti sites (FS$\rightarrow$TS2$\rightarrow$FS2, see Fig. 7), which, therefore, becomes the rate limiting step in the reaction.\textsuperscript{19}

\section*{C. H$_2$ dissociation and diffusion on a Ni-doped Mg surface}

Having benchmarked our calculations on the pure Mg and the Ti-doped Mg surfaces, we now come to the main purpose of the paper, which is to study the effect of Ni doping of the Mg(0001) surface on the activation barriers for H$_2$ dissociation and diffusion on the surface.

On the Mg(0001) surface, we found that Ni is nonmagnetic, so all calculations have been performed without including spin polarization. After dissociating on top of a Ni atom, the two H atoms can adsorb into four different hollow sites, as shown in Fig. 5. The most stable final state is found to be the one where the H atoms adsorb into two nearby hollow-hcp sites (see Fig. 5, bottom-right corner). (We also found that the configuration on the bottom-left corner was unstable, with the hydrogen atoms repelled by the nickel atom and squeezed between nearby Mg atoms.) Figure 8 shows the dissociation of the hydrogen molecule over the Ni atom as viewed from side (top panel figures) and top (bottom panel figures) positions at the IS, TS, and FS. Note that on the Ni-doped Mg surface, the molecule at the TS is much higher than on the pure Mg (0001) surface (see, respectively, Figs. 8 and 3), being at $\sim$2 and $\sim$1 Å over the two surfaces, respectively.

NEB calculations were run with different numbers of replicas, and we found that nine replicas are enough for a precise calculation of the energy barrier to within 1 meV. The resulting activation barrier for H$_2$ dissociation on a Ni-incorporated Mg surface is only 0.06 eV, against 0.87 eV found for the pure Mg surface. In Fig. 2, we display the MEP obtained from a calculation with 17 replicas (FS$\rightarrow$TS$\rightarrow$FS).
The NEB diffusion calculation was also performed with 17 replicas (FS → TS2 → FS2, see Fig. 9) and shows an energy barrier of only 0.27 eV, which is only slightly higher than the diffusion barrier on the pure Mg surface, and would also allow fast diffusion even at room temperature. We note that this barrier is similar to the one found on the Pd-doped surface by Du et al., although in that case, the rate limiting step is the dissociation of the H₂ molecule with an energy barrier of 0.305 eV. This suggests that Ni should be an even better catalyst than Pd for the hydrogenation of Mg.

As a final note, we would like to point out an interesting analogy with H₂ dissociation on pure transition metal surfaces. In particular, on the pure Ni(111) surface, Kresse calculated an energy barrier of only 0.015 eV using DFT PAW GGA. This is similar to our value of 0.06 eV on the Ni-doped Mg surface; however, we note that when the same 4 × 4 × 1 k-point sampling grid is used, we find an energy barrier of 0.014 eV on the Ni-doped Mg surface, which is, therefore, very close to the value found by Kresse. These calculations are also consistent with potential energy calculations of Arboleda et al., also performed with a 4 × 4 × 1 k-point grid. The small barrier for hydrogen dissociation on Ni(111) is also confirmed by experiments.

Analogously, the behavior of H₂ dissociation over the Ti-doped Mg surface appears to be similar to that obtained on the pure Ti(111) surface: the null activation barrier we find with a smaller 4 × 4 × 1 grid compares with theoretical results found over a Ti (0001) surface with an analogous grid. In other words, this seems to suggest that the value of the activation barrier for hydrogen dissociation over a transition metal doped Mg surface is similar to the activation barrier for H₂ dissociation over the corresponding pure transition metal surface.

D. Electronic structure

To study the electronic properties of the system, we projected the electronic density of states onto spherical harmonic functions of type s, p, and d, centered on Mg, Ni, Ti, and H atoms. It is well-known that the catalytic reactivity of a surface is correlated to the position of the d band (i.e., in this case, the projection of the electronic density of states onto d type spherical harmonics) with respect to the Fermi energy \( E_F \). In particular, it was shown by Hammer and Norskov (see also Ref. 59) that a convenient parameter to monitor the catalytic reactivity is the first energy moment of the d band, or d-band center, defined as

\[
E_d = \frac{\int_{E_F}^{\infty} dE \rho_d(E)}{\int_{E_F}^{\infty} dE ho(E)},
\]

where \( \rho_d(E) \) is the density of states projected onto spherical harmonic of type d centered on some specified atom, and \( E_0 \) is some cutoff energy which we chose to be at 7 eV above the Fermi energy. Then, if the center of the band is close to \( E_F \), it follows that there are many d electrons available for donation, as well as a significant number of empty d levels available for back-donation, and the results of this is that the system is very reactive. The d electrons of Ni on Mg(0001) form a band which is relatively close to the Fermi energy and for this reason the system is very reactive. By contrast, Mg has no d electrons (although in the solid state, a projection onto d type spherical harmonics is not zero) and, therefore, its reactivity is much reduced by comparison.

Ni is a late transition metal with almost all the d orbitals available for donation, as well as a significant number of empty d levels available for back-donation, and the results of this is that the system is very reactive. The d electrons of Ni on Mg(0001) form a band which is relatively close to the Fermi energy and for this reason the system is very reactive. By contrast, Mg has no d electrons (although in the solid state, a projection onto d type spherical harmonics is not zero) and, therefore, its reactivity is much reduced by comparison.
filled with electrons; by contrast, Ti only has two electrons in the d orbitals. It is, therefore, clear that the position of the d-band center will be much higher in Ti than in Ni, which explains the higher reactivity of Ti. Our calculated values for $E_d$ on the Ni/Ti-doped Mg surfaces are $−0.79$ and $+1.08$ eV for Ni and Ti, respectively (see Table IV).

Using DFT RPBE, Vegge et al. calculated the d-band center position for MgTM (TM denotes transition metal) alloys, allowing an expansion of the alloy lattice to accommodate the hydrogen atoms. They found $−0.82$ and $+0.48$ for TM=Ni and Ti, respectively. Although the value we find for Ti is much larger, the same trend is observed in the case of our Ni/Ti-doped Mg surfaces.

TABLE IV. The d-band center position with respect to the Fermi energy $E_d$, H s peak shift between the initial and transition state ($H_{TS−IS}$), activation barrier ($E_a$), and energy difference between the final and initial state ($E_{FS−IS}$) for hydrogen dissociation on the pure Mg surface as opposed to the Ni/Ti-doped Mg surfaces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface</th>
<th>$E_d$ (eV)</th>
<th>$H_{TS−IS}$ (eV)</th>
<th>$E_a$ (eV)</th>
<th>$E_{FS−IS}$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mg pure</td>
<td>−1.43</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-doped Mg</td>
<td>−0.79</td>
<td>−0.77</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>−0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti-doped Mg</td>
<td>+1.08</td>
<td></td>
<td>Null</td>
<td>−1.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures 10–12 show the projected density of states (PDOSs) found for the pure Mg, Ni-doped, and Ti-doped Mg surfaces, respectively. The PDOSs are given for a number of configurations along the MEP: the IS, the TS, the replica just after the transition state (TS+1), and the FS. For the Ti-doped Mg surface, the PDOSs are given for IS and FS only since there is no transition state in the dissociation process. For simplicity of notation, we call here the transition state and the final state simply TS and FS, as we only refer to the part of the MEP which deals with the dissociation of the $H_2$ molecule.

In the IS, the hydrogen molecule is still far from the metal surface and there is no overlap between the $H_2$ molecular orbitals and the orbitals of the metal surface. At the transition state, instead, when gaseous hydrogen has started dissociating over the surface, there is clear interaction between the H s orbital and the Mg s and p orbitals on the pure Mg surface (see Fig. 10, top-right corner). On the Ni-doped...
surface, the overlap appears to be nonzero with all the Ni $s$, $p$, and $d$ orbitals (see Fig. 11, top-right corner). In the final state, it is evident that the magnitude of the Mg $p$ electron peaks below the Fermi level are increased in the Ni/Ti-doped surfaces (see, respectively, Fig. 11, bottom-right corner, and Fig. 12, right) with respect to the pure Mg surface (see Fig. 10, bottom-right corner).

Interestingly, we note that there appears to be a clear negative shift of the position of the hydrogen $s$ orbital in going from the initial state to the transition state, which is more pronounced for the pure Mg surface as opposed to the Ni-doped Mg surface.

Besides the $d$-band center positions, in Table IV, we also report the corresponding activation barriers ($E_a$), the energy difference between the initial and final states ($E_{FS-IS}$) for hydrogen dissociation, and the $H$ $s$ peak shift between the initial and transition states ($H_{TS-IS}$). The correlation between the position of the $d$ band and the height of the activation barrier is evident, as well as the correlation with $E_{FS-IS}$; i.e., the $d$-band center position is smaller for larger values of the former and smaller values of the latter.

Furthermore, from the results obtained for the pure Mg and Ni-doped Mg surfaces, another interesting correlation emerges. In fact, as shown in Table IV, it appears that $H_{TS-IS}$ correlates with both $E_a$ and $E_{FS-IS}$; i.e., it is smaller for smaller values of the former and for larger values of the latter, following a reversed trend with respect to that noticed for the $d$-band center position. In other words, this means that the shift of the hydrogen $s$ orbital between initial state and transition state is larger when the bond between the dop-ant and H atoms is weaker.

E. Charge distribution

To conclude our analysis, we decided to have a look at the charge distributions in the systems as the dissociation processes take place on the pure Mg and metal-doped Mg surfaces. To do this, we calculated the total charge at each step of the MEP and for convenience, we subtracted the charge densities obtained from calculations which included only the substrate and only the H$_2$ fragments, respectively, with the atoms in exactly the same positions. This charge difference obviously integrates to zero and has the advantage of showing point by point where the charge is being transferred to. The analysis reveals some interesting effects. In particular, on the pure Mg(0001) surface, we find that at the transition state, there is a significant charge transfer from the
Mg substrate to the H atoms (see Fig. 13, left). This extra charge fills the H antibonding orbitals which eventually leads to dissociation and builds up on the molecule because the Mg surface is unwilling to accept back-donation of electrons from the H atoms. The Coulomb energy of this charge transfer is probably the main contribution for the energy barrier.

By contrast, on the Ni-doped surface, there is almost no charge transfer from the substrate to the molecule at the transition state (see Fig. 13, right). This is because while some Ni d charge fills up the H₂ antibonding orbitals, charge from the molecular bonding orbital is back-donated to the empty d states available on the surface. As a result, the energy barrier is reduced to almost zero.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL

A. Sample preparation

The three samples prepared were MgH₂, 2% Ni/MgH₂, and 2% Ti/MgH₂. Three batches of 25 g samples were prepared by ball milling the different compositions for 2 h under 4 bars of hydrogen. The MgH₂ used for all is Goldschmidt 98% pure. The Ni used (99.9% pure) was from Alfa Aesar 0.8–0.03 μm diameter as was the Ti used (<20 μm and 93% pure). 25 g of both 2% Ni/MgH₂ and 2% Ti/MgH₂ were mixed in a tubular mixer before milling for 1 h. Samples were then milled using the Fritsch planetary ball mill pulverisette 5. The milling pots have a special stainless steel jacket with an o-ring fitted on the top seal; this can allow a gas atmosphere through a feeding valve, to be used during the milling process of up to 5 bars. 25 g of sample were milled using agate pots (around 300 cc volume) and 15 balls of the same material. The milling process was 2 h using 350 rpm in a 15 min mill 10 minute pause sequence.

B. Sample testing

The rig used for testing the sample has a 10 cc reactor pot containing 1 g of sample. The main lines of the rig are a hydrogen line regulated to a 7 bar gauge, an argon line, and a vacuum line. The inert gas line and a vacuum line are used for purging the system. The reactor is connected to an inlet flow controller, a pressure transducer to read the internal pressure, and a mass flowmeter outlet. A thermocouple in close contact with the powder load reads the sample temperature. A heating jacket cartridge is attached to the reactor, allowing the system to operate in isothermal conditions or be temperature programmed from a control box which uses the sample thermocouple as a reference value. An interface card records inlet flow, outlet flow, temperature, and pressure every second.

Volumetric hydrogenation and dehydrogenation cycles were possible to monitor using this arrangement. Hydroge-
nations were performed at 300 °C using 25 cc min⁻¹ of hydrogen from a regulator set at 7 bar gauge. During the hydrogenation, the pressure increases to a point where the sample starts absorbing and forms a plateau pressure; once the sample is fully hydrogenated, the reactor keeps building pressure until 7 bars are reached. Dehydrogenations were recorded by flowing 25 cc/min of hydrogen through the reactor using an inlet flow controller. The system is then open to vent for a chosen temperature or heating slope, and any hydrogen evolving from the sample is recorded as an increase in the 25 cc min flow by an outlet flowmeter.

C. Experimental results

The hydrogenation plots of the 2%Ni/Mg sample at different temperatures in the range between 290 and 320 °C are shown in Fig. 14. The hydrogenation of the 2%Ti/Mg sample in the temperature range between 290 and 310 °C is shown in Fig. 15. Both graphs of hydrogenation show P millibar gauge versus time in seconds. The hydrogenation of pure Mg gave results close to the Ti-doped samples and is, therefore, not shown.

The catalytic activation of Mg by Ni during hydrogenation is clear. The plateau pressures for each temperature hydrogenation are lower for the 2%Ni/Mg sample than those of Mg and 2%Ti/Mg. The fact that, at 290 °C, the hydrogenation curve for 2%Ni/Mg is still lower than the hydrogenation in the same conditions at 300 °C confirms this. At 290 °C both Mg and 2%Ti/Mg showed a higher hydrogenation pressure than at 300 °C, suggesting that Ti catalysis of Mg hydrogenation is not evident.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a DFT study of hydrogen dissociation and diffusion over Ni-doped and Ti-doped Mg(0001) surfaces and compared these with dissociation and diffusion on pure Mg(0001). Our results show that the energy barrier for hydrogen dissociation is high on the pure Mg surface (0.87 eV) and it is small (0.06 eV) or even null when Ni or Ti is used as dopant. We also found that although on the pure Mg(0001) surface, the binding energy of the H fragments is nearly zero, on the Ni/Ti-doped surfaces, this binding energy is significant, being 0.66 and 1.34 eV, respectively, with diffusion energy barriers of ~0.18, ~0.27, and ~0.8 eV on the pure Mg, Ni-doped, and Ti-doped surfaces, respectively.

Interestingly, the activation barriers for H₂ dissociation over the Ni/Ti-doped Mg surface are similar to the values found on the corresponding pure Ni/Ti surfaces. More insight in the behavior of these systems can be gained by inspecting the partial density of states and by looking at the electronic charge density distributions. In particular, the higher reactivity of Ti with respect to Ni can be understood in terms of a lower position of the d-band center, which correlates both with the height of the energy barriers for the dissociation of the H₂ molecule and with the binding energy of the H fragments when adsorbed on the surface.

The charge density distributions on the different systems also show some interesting behavior. In particular, we argued that the presence of a barrier on the pure Mg(0001) surface may be understood in terms of the buildup of extra charge on the H₂ molecule as it moves closer to the surface. This happens because the closed shell Mg surface is unwilling to accept back-donation of charge from the H₂ molecule. One consequence of this is that the molecule needs to arrive very close to the surface before starting to dissociate. By contrast, Ni and even more so Ti have many available empty d states, and this avoids significant charge transfer from the substrate to the molecule. In this case, the dissociation of the molecule begins much further away from the surface.

The low dissociation barrier coupled with the low diffusion barrier make Ni a very useful promoter for the hydrogenation of Mg. By contrast, the high dissociation barrier on the pure Mg surface and the high diffusion barrier on the Ti-doped surface are responsible for the slow kinetics of hydrogenation on both systems.

Our experimental findings show faster hydrogenation for the 2%Ni/Mg sample with respect to the reference Mg or the 2%Ti/Mg, in good agreement with our theoretical results of a lower activation energy for the dissociation-diffusion process in the 2%Ni/Mg system. The behaviors of Mg and 2%Ti/Mg upon hydrogenation are found to be very similar, again agreeing very well with the theoretical findings of large and similar activation energies: a dissociation energy barrier of 0.87 in the pure Mg system and a diffusion energy barrier of 0.8 eV in the 2%Ti/Mg system, making the dissociation-diffusion process similarly difficult in both cases.

We deliberately chose to study Ni and Ti as dopants because they are at the two ends of the first row of transition metals and so their behavior may be expected to be representative of a range of properties. In fact, we are now extending our investigations to other transition metals, and we plan to report on these new results in the near future.
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