# Comparing Auroral Processes at Different Planets

Exploration Agency

N. Achilleos<sup>1,2,4</sup>, with acknowledgement to C. S. Arridge<sup>3,4</sup>, P. Guio<sup>1,4</sup>, S. V. Badman<sup>2</sup> (1: UCL Physics and Astronomy; 2: Japanese Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA / ISAS); 3: Mullard Space Science Laboratory; 4: UCL Centre for Planetary Sciences)



Some remarks of relevance, independent of planet:

- Aurorae are radiative emissions, excited through the impact of precipitating particles onto the upper atmosphere.
- Some auroral patterns arise from relatively steady flows (and currents) imposed on the planet's ionosphere through an interaction with some external 'energy source' or driver.
- Other auroral features are *transient*, and are often a signature of time-dependent changes in this driver.



Some remarks of relevance, independent of planet:

- The most striking difference between planets is which driver produces the brightest, most persistent emission, i.e. the *auroral oval*.
- Earth: Magnetosphere-solar wind interaction
   Jupiter: Planetary rotation (source is inside)
   Saturn: Arguably Earth-like, with 'secondary' features.



(Image taken by the Spin-Scan Auroral Imaging instrument on board Dynamics Explorer - 1. Courtesy L. Frank, the University of Iowa, and NASA.)

#### Auroral Oval: Earth



Fig. 1. A typical northern polar-cap convection pattern as observed for IMF  $B_z < 0$ ,  $B_y > 0$ . Thick lines with arrowheads represent plasma flow streamlines. Antisunward convection occurs on open field lines (unshaded region) and sunward return flow on lower-latitude, closed field lines (shaded region).

#### From Hill (1994, JATP)

 Schematic view of flows across Northern polar cap, similar to those first proposed by *Dungey* (1961, PRL).

4/20

- Aurora occurs near the boundary between open and closed field lines, typically ~70 deg magnetic latitude.
- Precipitating electrons correspond to *upward*, *field-aligned currents*.
- How do such currents arise in this picture ?

#### Auroral Oval: Earth



Fig. 1. A typical northern polar-cap convection pattern as observed for IMF  $B_z < 0$ ,  $B_y > 0$ . Thick lines with arrowheads represent plasma flow streamlines. Antisunward convection occurs on open field lines (unshaded region) and sunward return flow on lower-latitude, closed field lines (shaded region).  Horizontal gradients in flow correspond to similar gradients in Efield (arrows), and current density J<sub>HORIZ</sub> in the ionosphere.

5/20

- To 'close' the current, we require FAC → aurora.
- Example: Pedersen current – FACs at 'sharp' changes in E.

#### Auroral Oval: Earth



Fig. 1. A typical northern polar-cap convection pattern as observed for IMF  $B_z < 0$ ,  $B_y > 0$ . Thick lines with arrowheads represent plasma flow streamlines. Antisunward convection occurs on open field lines (unshaded region) and sunward return flow on lower-latitude, closed field lines (shaded region).

 Near-circumpolar sheets of FAC arise poleward ('Region 1') and equatorward ('Region 2') of the auroral oval.

6/20

 Global auroral heat inputs, of order 10-100 GW (from particle precipitation and Joule heating). Auroral Oval Changes: Earth

- Changes in oval morphology due to changes in open magnetic flux (polar cap). How ?
- Dayside reconnection
- Substorms: episodes of tail reconnection (~0.3 GWb closure).



7/20

#### Auroral Oval: Jupiter

# 8/20 🛍 UCL



#### Auroral Oval: Jupiter



9/20 🚵

- Jupiter's main oval is also linked to *flow shear* but here, that 'shear' arises from the different rotation periods of the planet (~10 hr) and the *plasma disc* (~10 down to ~3 hr).
- The source of disc plasma for the disc is the moon, *Io* adds ~500-1000 kg/s of sulphur / oxygen plasma (e.g. *Bagenal and Sullivan, 1981, JGR*).
- The diagram shows the general sense of the *currents*.
- Usually, main oval emissions map to ~20-30 RJ in the equatorial plane, which is also the location of the strongest gradient in rotation rate ('breakdown in corotation' of plasma).

• Global energy dissipated is typically **90-200 TW** (Joule heating + precip'n), roughly 1000 times the energy range for the Earth.

#### Does the Jovian oval morphology change<sup>1</sup>?<sup>20</sup>

• Does Jovian oval morphology ever change ? Here's an example (*Grodent et al (JGR, 2008*), see also Bonfond et al (*GRL, 2012*))



- Main emission in the 'red' image is 'displaced' equatorward by up to ~5 deg, at least as far as the satellite footprint of Ganymede.
- Io footprint unaffected it is in the 'rigid' field of the inner magnetosphere.
- *Nichols' (2011)* results show that increased mass-loading of the disc is one way to displace both these features equatorward, but even very *high* massloading rates (4000 kg/s!!) cannot make them coincide.
- Work in progress by *Achilleos et al.* (2012) indicates that hot particle population enhancement, combined with mass loading increase, may work.

#### Auroral Oval: Saturn



30 hours before SW shock

10 hours after SW shock, up to 50 kR emissions

11/20 📤

• HST images of Saturn's southern UV aurora presented by Badman et al (JGR, 2005).

• Concurrent observations by Cassini  $\rightarrow$  planet's auroral response to the passage of a solar wind compression / shock.

• Polar cap boundary (main oval) strongly contracts to higher latitudes, an 'Earth-like' response.

• Compression  $\rightarrow$  magnetic reconnection on the nightside, which closes of order 10 GWb of open magnetic flux (~20x Earth value).

#### Auroral Oval: Saturn



Plasma flow lines

Ionospheric flows out to 30 deg co-latitude

• *Badman et al (JGR, 2005)* used the conceptual model shown here. Configuration: concurrent nightside and dayside reconnection.

12/20

• In contrast to the Earth, the flows are dominantly *rotational*, even across the nominal polar cap.

• 'Quiescent' oval can be modelled through gradients in flow velocity between outer magnetosphere (~0.8  $\Omega_{\rm S}$ ) and polar cap (~0.3  $\Omega_{\rm S}$ ) (Cowley et al, JGR, 2008). The energy dissipated is ~10-20 TW.

• Note that Saturn does have a 'Jupiter-like' aurora formed by internal rotation, but it is not the main emission (<~20% of main oval) (Stallard et al, Nature 2008)

Summary			13/20 🚵 UCL
	Earth	Jupiter	Saturn
Dipole moment	1µ <sub>E</sub>	18000µ <sub>E</sub>	550µ <sub>E</sub>
M'pause standoff distance R <sub>MP</sub>	1R <sub>MP,E</sub>	80R <sub>MP,E</sub>	20R <sub>MP,E</sub>
P <sub>ROT</sub> /(R <sub>MP</sub> /V <sub>SW</sub> )	~500	~2.5	~8
Auroral energy dissipated	~10s of GW	~100 TW	~20 TW
Main auroral 'oval' due to:	Solar wind- driven	Planetary rotation	Solar wind- driven*, with fainter rot'n oval
All main ovals involve spatial gradients in plasma flows			
Other examples of transient aurora	Transpolar arc (change in IMF $B_{\gamma}$ ) (e.g. Milan et al, 2005)	Polar dawn 'spots' <i>(tail</i> <i>reconn.) (Radioti</i> <i>et al, 2010)</i>	Oscillations in oval location ('camshaft' currents) (Nichols et al. 2010)

## **Auroral Features: Footprints**

Footprint locations, relative to the oval, are of interest because of this observation by *Grodent et al (2008)* (see also Bonfond et al (GRL, 2012))



• The main emission in the 'red' image is 'displaced' equatorward, at least as far as the satellite footprint of Ganymede.

14/20 🚵

- Io footprint unaffected it is in the 'rigid' field of the inner magnetosphere, which is relatively 'undistorted' by the ring current.
- *Nichols' (2011)* results show that increased mass-loading of the disc is one way to displace both these features equatorward, but even the *highest* massloading rates (4000 kg/s!!) cannot make them coincide.
- Can something else 'internal' be changing ? (Note the emission between lo footprint and main oval)

# A 'hot population' of plasma



• The Voyager and Galileo observations were compared by *Mauk et al. (JGR 1996)* who described a 'ring current depletion' in the Galileo era.

15/20

• We have extended the Voyager profile as shown in order to provide input to the **UCL Magnetodisc Model** (Achilleos et al, MNRAS, 2010)

• We have calculated disc models for 'Voyager-like' and 'Galileo-like' conditions, as well as different lo massloading rates.

• The model solves for magnetic field structure and plasma rotation rate in a selfconsistent manner (see also *Caudal, JGR, 1986*).

# Rotation Model: 'Cold' Disc (Galileo) <sup>16/20</sup>



## Rotation Model: 'Hot' Disc (Voyager) <sup>17/20</sup>



• Note the broad local minimum in magnetic field strength and angular velocity - why?

## Field Model: 'Cold' Disc (Galileo)



18/20 🛕

'Constant colour' surfaces are 'shells' of magnetic field lines
Colour can be interpreted as the location of that field line's *ionospheric footpoint*

## Field Model: 'Hot' Disc (Voyager)



19/20 🖮 🔽

• A *global* increase in hot pressure 'inflates' flux tubes, and pushes them outwards, until *force balance* with the magnetic field is re-established.

## Auroral Current: 'Cold' Disc (Galileo)<sup>20/20</sup>



• Unusually high mass-loading shifts aurorae equatorward, but not to the level where main oval and Ganymede spot coincide.

## Auroral Current: 'Hot' Disc (Voyager)<sup>21/20</sup>



• 'Easier' to make auroral features coincide with a global increase in hot plasma pressure. *Note* the 'filling in' emission between lo footprint and main oval is consistent with such an event.

## Conclusions



• Jupiter's auroral features - 'main oval' and satellite footprints - are a *diagnostic of magnetic field structure and plasma content of the system.* 

• Both of these properties influence the rate of *rotation* of the plasma, which in turn determines *strength and location* of main oval and satellite 'spot' aurorae.

• Models indicate that, if a global increase in hot plasma pressure accompanies a 'realistic' increase in lo mass-loading, *this could explain* the unusual 'coincidence' of the oval and the Ganymede footprint.

• Observations are consistent with a *hot plasma increase* (but not uniquely so).

• Increased lo mass-loading would plausibly require 'stronger' episodes of mass loss from the system ('mass balance') - we know that such episodes can be triggered by *magnetic reconnection on the night side*, which strongly heats the remaining plasma.

• Could additional modelling constrain changes in mass-loading, hot plasma as a *function of 'auroral shift'* (?)



- These results raise the intriguing possibility of using the auroral emission and satellite footprints as a 'monitor' of the *time-dependent* history of mass-loading.
- Additional 'refinement' is a treatment of the radial plasma transport.
- Calculations by Arridge (2012) use a diffusion equation (e.g. Thorne, in *'Physics of the Jovian Magnetosphere'*, 1981) to simulate profiles of cold plasma density (flux tube content), controlled by diffusion from a simulated source near lo.

$$\frac{\partial \left(NL^{2}\right)}{\partial t} = L^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial L} \left(\frac{D_{LL}}{L^{2}} \frac{\partial \left(NL^{2}\right)}{\partial L}\right) + SL^{2}$$

Where:

- NL<sup>2</sup> indicates number of ions per unit magnetic flux
- $D_{LL}$  is the diffusion coefficient, e.g. Delamere and Bagenal (2005)  $(D_{LL} \sim L^{-5.6})$
- S is the logenic source rate (ions/s)
- (Use ions per unit magnetic flux for 'Caudalian' disc)

## Flux tube content compared with Cauddal - UCL



## **Preliminary Results**



25/20 🚵 🗌 💽



#### Jovian Disc Models: Log Magnetic Potl



## Jovian Aurorae as a Diagnostic of Mass Loading 27/20 AUC



• Simulation of Jovian plasma disc, using the indicated lo mass-loading rates.

(G=Ganymede footprint)

• Quantitatively similar to *Nichols (2011) -* footprints for 'loaded' state extend 0.5-1 deg further in the UCL model.

If mass-loading only is responsible for shift, need enormous dM/dt to make Ganymede and 'main auroral' footprints 'touch' (as indicated by recent, unusual HST observations) !



 $R_{EQ}/R_{J}$ 

Mauk et al (JGR, 2004) examined the hot plasma pressure measurements of Voyager and Galileo -'ring current depletion' in region <~ 15 RJ, possibly due to increased lo neutral loading, charge exchange.

28/20 🚵

We also show a 'fake' profile, labelled 'VGR
+', to use as an additional 'test case'.

The data points represent many orbits, etc...

#### Voyager / Galileo Comparison





 Higher mass-loading produces a more slowly rotating disc.

 For these choices of dM/dt, relatively small change in equatorial field strength.



 As expected, higher mass-loading increases the radial 'stretch' of the field lines.

30/20 🚵

 For these models, main emission shifts
 by 2 deg but
 Ganymede footpoint
 by <1 deg. Note</li>
 Ganymede orbit is just
 outside the 'bump' in
 hot pressure.

#### Voyager / 'Voyager +' Comparison



Jovian (Caudalian) Disc Models 10<sup>0</sup> dM/dt = 250 kg/s, VGR HOT PRES dM/dt = 250 kg/s, VGR+ HOT PRES 10<sup>-2</sup> ⊢ BEq / B<sub>J</sub> 10<sup>-4</sup>  $10^{-6}$ 0.9  $\Omega_{\rm M}$  /  $\Omega_{\rm J}$ 0.8 0.7 20 30 50 60 70 10 40 R<sub>EQ</sub> / R<sub>J</sub>

 Here, dM/dt is fixed but hot pressure is enhanced in VGR+.

 Disc rotation not changed appreciably most mass is in the cold population, slight change due to hot pressure affecting field line shape.

#### Voyager / 'Voyager +' Comparison



32/20 🚵

### **Time-dependent studies**



Model-derived animation, courtesy C. S. Arridge:



## Summary

• The main Jovian auroral emission can 'shift' in location - this shift is observable (e.g. *Grodent et al (JGR, 2008), Bonfond et al (GRL, 2012)*)

34/20 🚠

- Using models such as *Nichols et al (2011)*, we can obtain information about the 'interior state' of the magnetosphere from such shifts.
- Preliminary calculations show that the observations may be due to the combination of an increase in Io mass loading, combined with hot pressure enhancement *particle energization ?*
- Have not mentioned:
- Progress beyond axisymmetry local time effects ? Can use a 2D model as a 'local time sector', e.g. Achilleos et al (GRL, 2010)
- Progress beyond isotropic pressure 'stability' of disc structure ? (e.g. Kivelson and Southwood, JGR, 2005)
- Influence of large field-aligned potential drops on M-I currents (e.g. Ray et al (JGR, 2010; JGR, 2012))

### **Time-dependent studies**

- The logenic source rate can be varied, and the consequent effect on magnetodisc field can be calculated (*Arridge, 2012, in prep.*)
- Example below: Double the source rate between days 300 and 310.



 A full, time-dependent treatment of disc structure (field and plasma) would need to use time-dependent / modified form of Hill-Pontius equation.

35/20

• Would observable auroral 'modulations' arise in response to a 'history' of massloading ?