Random walk origins



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへで

Mathematical developments



George Pólya (1887–1985).

- While walking in a Zurich park in 1914, Pólya encountered the same couple several times on his walk.
- He asked: was this, after all, so unlikely?
- Some time later Pólya published his paper on an idealized version of the problem, now known as simple random walk (SRW).

Simple random walk

A random walker on the *d*-dimensional integer lattice \mathbb{Z}^d .



 X_n : position after *n* steps.

At each step, the walker jumps to one of the 2*d* neighbouring sites of the lattice, choosing uniformly at random from each.

Pólya's question: What is the probability that the walker eventually returns to his starting point? Call it p_d .

$$p_d = \mathbb{P}[X_n = X_0 \text{ for some } n \ge 1].$$

Pólya's question

Simulation of 10^5 steps of SRW on \mathbb{Z}^2 .



Recurrence and transience

 $p_d = \mathbb{P}[X_n = X_0 \text{ for some } n \ge 1].$

The random walk is transient if $p_d < 1$ and recurrent if $p_d = 1$.

Theorem (Pólya)

Simple random walk on \mathbb{Z}^d is

- recurrent for d = 1 or d = 2;
- transient for $d \ge 3$.

For example [McCrea & Whipple, Glasser & Zucker]:

$$p_3 = 1 - \left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{32\pi^3}\Gamma(\frac{1}{24})\Gamma(\frac{5}{24})\Gamma(\frac{7}{24})\Gamma(\frac{11}{24})\right)^{-1} \\ \approx 0.340537.$$

Recurrence and transience

Theorem (Pólya)

Simple random walk on \mathbb{Z}^d is

- recurrent for d = 1 or d = 2;
- transient for $d \ge 3$.

Equivalently:

- For $d \in \{1, 2\}$, X_n visits any finite set infinitely often.
- On the other hand, if d ≥ 3, X_n visits any finite set only finitely often.

"A drunk man will find his way home, but a drunk bird may get lost forever." —Shizuo Kakutani



Probabilities and potentials

Take two points in the lattice \mathbb{Z}^d , 0 and ϕ .

Let $p(x) = \mathbb{P}[SRW \text{ reaches } \phi \text{ before 0 starting from } x].$



Then p(0) = 0 and $p(\phi) = 1$. For $x \notin \{0, \phi\}$, by conditioning on the first step of the walk, for which there are 2*d* possibilities,

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{y \sim x} p(y),$$

where sum over $y \sim x$ means those *y* that are neighbours of *x*.

Rearranging, we get $\sum_{y \sim x} (p(y) - p(x)) = 0$.

Probabilities and potentials

There is an equivalent formulation in terms of a resistor network. In the first instance, this makes sense on a finite subgraph $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$.



Replace each edge of *A* with a 1 Ohm resistor.

Ground the point 0 and attach a 1 Volt battery across 0 and ϕ .

Let v(x) be the potential at point x.

Then v(0) = 0 and $v(\phi) = 1$. By Kirchhoff's laws, the net flow of current at *x* vanishes, and the flow across any edge is given by the potential difference, so



Probabilities and potentials

So both *p* and *v* solve the same boundary value problem

$$\sum_{y \sim x} (v(y) - v(x)) = 0$$

with the same boundary conditions.

The solutions are (discrete) harmonic functions.

The connections to classical potential theory run deep. For example, one can study recurrence and transience:

Theorem (Nash-Williams)

The SRW on \mathbb{Z}^d is recurrent if and only if the effective resistance of the resistance network on $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ tends to ∞ as $A \to \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Martingales and boundary value problems

The effectiveness of this connection to potential theory relies on certain symmetry properties of SRW. In particular, SRW is both a Markov chain and a space-homogeneous martingale (which means that the walk has zero drift).

The connection extends to a large class of processes in both discrete and continuous time.

For example, the continuous-time, continuous-space analogue of SRW is Brownian motion.

And in the continuous setting solving boundary value problems amounts to solving PDEs.

The stochastic approach provides a powerful tool for studying PDEs, and has applications in e.g.

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- quantum theory;
- mathematical finance;
- etc.