

# EULER SYSTEMS

DAVID LOEFFLER / SARAH ZERBES

These are the notes from my talk at the Harris conference at MSRI in December 2014.

## 1. A CONJECTURE OF PERRIN-RIOU

Let  $M$  be a motive over  $\mathbb{Q}$  which is not the trivial motive. Denote by  $L(M, s)$  the  $L$ -function of  $M$ .

**Assumption 1.1.**  $L(M, s)$  has analytic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$  and satisfies a functional equation.

Denote by  $M_p$  the  $p$ -adic realisation of  $M$ , so  $M_p$  is a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector space with a continuous action of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ . Denote by  $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}, M_p)$  the Bloch-Kato Selmer group of  $M_p$ , which is a subgroup of  $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, M_p)$ , cut out by local conditions

- the unramified local condition at  $\ell \neq p$ ;
- the  $H_f^1$ -local condition at  $p$ .

The Bloch-Kato conjecture predicts the size of  $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}, M_p^*(1))$  in terms of the  $L$ -function of  $M$ :

**Conjecture 1.2.** (Bloch-Kato)

$$\text{ord}_{s=0} L(M, s) = \dim H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}, M_p^*(1)) - \dim H^0(\mathbb{Q}, M_p^*(1)),$$

together with an explicit formula for the leading term up to a  $p$ -adic unit.

**Definition.** The motive  $M$  is effective if all Hodge numbers (= steps in the Hodge filtration of  $M_{\text{dR}}$ ) are  $\geq 0$ .

*Example.* The trivial motive  $M = \mathbb{Q}$  and the motive  $M(f)$  attached to a modular form of weight  $\geq 2$  are effective, but  $M = \mathbb{Q}(1)$  is not effective.

**Fact 1.3.** If  $M$  is effective and  $M \neq \mathbb{Q}$ , then

- the  $H_f^1$ -local condition at  $p$  is the relaxed local condition unless the local  $L$ -factor vanishes;
- $\text{ord}_{s=0} L(M, s) = \dim(M_{\text{Betti}})^{c=1}$ , where  $c$  denotes complex conjugation  
 $\Rightarrow$  the Bloch-Kato conjecture predicts that

$$\dim H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}, M_p^*(1)) = \dim(M_{\text{Betti}})^{c=1} =: d^+.$$

Euler systems are a tool for proving special cases of the Bloch-Kato conjecture for effective motives:

**Conjecture 1.4.** (Perrin-Riou [PR98]) If  $M$  is effective, there exists a non-trivial system of elements  $(z_m)_{m \geq 1}$ ,

$$z_m \in \bigwedge^{d^+} H^1(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)^+, M_p^*(1)),$$

such that

$$\text{cores}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)^+}^{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{m\ell})^+}(z_{m\ell}) = \begin{cases} z_m & \text{if } \ell|m \text{ or } M_p^*(1) \text{ is ramified at } \ell \\ P_{\ell}(\sigma_{\ell}^{-1})z_m & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $P_{\ell}(X) = \det(1 - X\sigma_{\ell}^{-1}|M_p)$  and  $\sigma_{\ell}^{-1}$  denotes the arithmetic Frobenius at  $\ell$ .

**Definition.** Such a system of elements  $(z_m)_{m \geq 1}$  is called a rank  $d^+$  Euler system for  $M_p$ .

*Remark.* If  $M$  is defined over a number field  $K$ , then an Euler system for  $M$  should have classes over all the abelian extensions of  $K$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** (*Kolyvagin, Rubin [Rub00], Perrin-Riou [PR98]*) *If such a rank  $d^+$  Euler system exists and  $z_1 \neq 0$ , then (under some technical hypotheses) the Selmer group  $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}, M_p^*(1))$  is  $d^+$ -dimensional, as predicted by the Bloch-Kato conjecture.*

*Remark.* In proving this theorem, it is very important that the  $H_f^1$ -local condition at  $p$  is the relaxed one.

*Examples.* (rank  $d^+ = 1$  Euler systems)

- $M = \mathbb{Q}$  (cyclotomic units)
- $M = M(f)$ , where  $f$  is a modular form of weight  $\geq 2$  (Kato's Euler system, see [Kat04])
- $M = \mathbb{Q}$  over an imaginary quadratic field (elliptic units)

*Remark.* There exist other Euler systems attached to self-dual motives (i.e.  $M \cong M^*(1)$ ) satisfying a sign condition, but these don't give access to non-central  $L$ -values.

*Problem.* There are no non-trivial examples for  $d^+ > 1$ ! (Unless one assumes the 'prectic conjecture' of Nekovar-Scholl, which gives access to certain settings related to totally real fields.)

## 2. A NEW EULER SYSTEM

**Theorem 2.1.** (*Lei-LZ [LLZ14], Kings-LZ [KLZ14]*) *Let  $f, g$  be modular forms of weights  $k+2, k'+2 \geq 2$ , and let  $0 \leq j \leq \min\{k, k'\}$ . Then there exists a rank 1 Euler system for  $M = M(f) \otimes M(g)(1+j)$ , related to the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -value  $L_p(f, g, 1+j)$ .*

The existence of this Euler system does not fit the setting of Perrin-Riou's conjecture:

- $d^+(M) = 2$ , but  $M$  is *not* effective: its Hodge numbers are
 
$$-1-j, \quad , k' - j, \quad k - j, \quad k + k' + 1 - j;$$
- $\text{ord}_{s=0} L(M, s) = \text{ord}_{s=1+j} L(f, g, s) = 1$ ;
- the  $H_f^1$ -local condition at  $p$  is not the relaxed one;
- the Euler system classes take values in the Bloch-Kato Selmer group.

We therefore need a generalisation of Perrin-Riou's conjecture for motives which are not effective. We first generalize the notion of *effective*:

**Definition.** Let  $r \geq 0$ . Then  $M$  is  *$r$ -critical* if the Archimedean  $\Gamma$ -factor  $L_\infty(M, s)$  has a pole at  $s = 0$  of order  $r$ , and  $L_\infty(M^*(1), 0) \neq \infty$ .

*Remarks.* (1) 0-critical is precisely Deligne's definition of critical;

(2) if  $M$  is  $r$ -critical, then  $\text{ord}_{s=0} L(M, s) \geq r$  (and this lower bound should be sharp);

(3)  $M$  is  $r$ -critical if and only if  $d^+ - r$  Hodge numbers are  $< 0$   
 $\Rightarrow M$  is effective if and only if it is  $d^+$ -critical.

**Conjecture 2.2.** *If  $M$  is  $r$ -critical, there exists a non-trivial rank  $r$  Euler system  $(z_m)_{m \geq 1}$ ,*

$$z_m \in \bigwedge^r H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)^+, M_p^*(1)).$$

*Note.* The Euler system in Theorem 2.1 is an example of an Euler system for a 1-critical motive.

*Remarks.* • Conjecture 2.2 reduces to Perrin-Riou's conjecture when  $r = d^+$ . (Note that in this case the  $H_f^1$ -local condition is relaxed, so the condition that the Euler system take values in  $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)^+, M_p^*(1))$  is pretty much automatic.)

- A rank 0 Euler system should be thought of as a  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function. I will elaborate on this later.

**Theorem 2.3.** (*LZ*) *If  $M$  is 1-critical and  $(z_m)_{m \geq 1}$  is a rank 1 Euler system with  $z_1 \neq 0$ , then (under some technical hypotheses)  $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}, M_p^*(1))$  is 1-dimensional, as predicted by the Bloch-Kato conjecture.*

*Remark.* For proving this theorem, we need to adapt the Euler system machine to take into account the non-relaxed local condition at  $p$ .

Here are some examples of 1-critical motives:

- (1)  $M = M(f) \otimes M(g)(1+j)$ , where  $f, g$  are modular forms of weights  $k+2, k'+2 \geq 2$  and  $0 \leq j \leq \min\{k, k'\}$ ;
- (2)  $M = M_{\text{Asai}}(f)(1+j)$ , where  $f$  is a quadratic Hilbert modular form of weights  $(k+2, k'+2)$  and  $0 \leq j \leq \min\{k, k'\}$ ;
- (3)  $M = M_{\text{Spin}}(F)(1+j)$ , where  $F$  is a genus 2 Siegel modular form of weights  $(k+3, k'+3)$ ,  $k \geq k' \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq j \leq k'$ ;
- (4)  $M(1)$ , where  $M \subset h^2(\text{Sh}(U(2,1)))$  is a rank 3 motive over an imaginary quadratic field.

*Remark.* There are lots more examples: if  $M$  is any motive with distinct Hodge numbers, then some twist of it will be 1-critical.

For the examples above, Conjecture 2.2 predicts the existence of a rank 1 Euler system: case (1) is

Theorem 2.1, and cases (2), (3) and (4) are joint work in progress with  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Antonio Lei} \\ \text{Francesco Lemma} \\ \text{Chris Skinner} \end{array} \right.$ .

In other words, we can construct some examples of Euler systems for 1-critical motives. However, the interesting cases are those twists of the motives which are 0-critical. We can get at those using *p-adic deformation*.

### 3. EULER SYSTEMS IN *p*-ADIC FAMILIES

**Definition.** Let  $A$  be a complete local  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebra, and let  $X = \text{Spf}(A)$ . A family of motivic Galois representations is a finite free  $A$ -module  $V$  with an  $A$ -linear action of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  such that for a Zariski dense set  $X_{\text{cl}} \subset X$ , we have

$$V_x \cong M_{x,p} \quad \text{for some motive } M_x.$$

The key example is that of the *cyclotomic deformation* of a motivic Galois representation:

*Example.* Let  $A = \Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times})$  and  $V = M_p \otimes A$ , where  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  acts on  $A$  via multiplication by the canonical character  $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \hookrightarrow A^{\times}$ . Note that  $V$  specializes to  $M_p(j)$  for all  $j$ .

In order to vary a rank  $r$  Euler system in a *p*-adic family, we need to make an auxiliary choice called an *r-refinement*.

**Definition.** An *r*-refinement of  $V$  is an  $A$ -direct summand  $W \subset V$  which is  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable together with a Zariski-dense set of points  $X_{\text{cl},W} \subset X_{\text{cl}}$ , such that  $\forall x \in X_{\text{cl},W}$ ,

- $M_x$  is *r*-critical,
- $W_x$  has all Hodge-Tate weights  $\geq 1$ , and  $V_x/W_x$  has all Hodge-Tate weights  $\leq 0$  (*Panchishkin condition*).

**Conjecture 3.1.** *Let  $V$  be a family of motivic Galois representations, and let  $W \subset V$  be an *r*-refinement. Then there exists a non-trivial rank  $r$  Euler system  $(z_m)_{m \geq 1}$ ,*

$$z_m \in \bigwedge^r H^1(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)^+, V)$$

such that

- $\text{loc}_p(z_m) \in \text{im}(H^1(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)^+, W) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)^+, V))$ ,
- $\forall x \in X_{\text{cl},W}$ , the specialisation of the Euler system at  $x$  agrees with the Euler system from Conjecture 2.2.

*Remark.*  $\forall x \in X_{\text{cl},W}$  (generically), one has

$$H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)^+, V_x) = \text{im}(H^1(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)^+, W_x) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)^+, V_x)),$$

so  $z_{m,x} \in \bigwedge^r H_f^1$  as required.

**Example:** Rankin-Selberg convolutions

Let  $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}$  be Hida families. Then there exists a 3-parameter of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -representations

$$V(\mathbf{f})^* \hat{\otimes} V(\mathbf{g})^* \hat{\otimes} \Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)$$

(two Hida parameters and one cyclotomic parameter) interpolating  $M_p(f_k)^* \otimes M_p(g_{k'})^*(1+j)$  for varying  $k, k', j$ . We have the following refinements:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{2-refinement:} & W_2 = \{0\}, & X_{\text{cl}, W_2} = \{k, k' \geq 0, j \leq -1\} \\ \text{1-refinement:} & W_1 = \mathcal{F}^+V(\mathbf{f})^* \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}^+V(\mathbf{g})^* \hat{\otimes} \Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times), & X_{\text{cl}, W_1} = \{0 \leq j \leq \min\{k, k'\}\} \\ \text{0-refinements:} & W_{0a} = \mathcal{F}^+V(\mathbf{f})^* \hat{\otimes} V(\mathbf{g})^* \hat{\otimes} \Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times), & X_{\text{cl}, W_{0a}} = \{k' + 1 \leq j \leq k\} \\ & W_{0b} = V(\mathbf{f})^* \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}^+V(\mathbf{g})^* \hat{\otimes} \Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times), & X_{\text{cl}, W_{0b}} = \{k + 1 \leq j \leq k'\} \end{array}$$

Here,  $\mathcal{F}^+V(\mathbf{f})$  denotes the rank 1 submodule as constructed by Wiles in [Wil88].

**Theorem 3.2.** (*Kings-LZ*, [KLZ14])

- (1) *Our Euler systems from Theorem 2.1 for  $M(f_k)^* \otimes M(g_{k'})^*(1+j)$  interpolate along  $W_1$ ;*
- (2) (*Explicit reciprocity law*) *There exist rank-lowering operators corresponding to the inclusions*  

$$W_1 \hookrightarrow \begin{cases} W_{0a} \\ W_{0b} \end{cases} \quad \text{mapping the rank 1 Euler system to Hida's two 2-variable } p\text{-adic } L\text{-functions};$$
- (3) *if a rank 2 Euler system exist, then it maps to our Euler system under the rank-lowering operator.*

*Remarks.* • The rank-lowering operators are multi-variable analogues of Perrin-Riou's regulator map, as constructed in [LZ14].

- (KLZ, in progress) The Hida families can be replaced by Coleman families. In this case, the subrepresentations are replaced by sub- $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules over the Roba ring.

In general, we expect there to be a *hierachy of Euler systems*, governed by inclusion of refinements, and related to each other via rank-lowering operators: if  $W'$  and  $W$  are  $r'$ -, resp.  $r$ -refinements of  $V$ , then Conjecture 3.1 predicts the existence of rank  $r'$ , resp. rank  $r$  Euler systems. If  $W' \subset W$ , then these Euler systems should be related to each other via a rank-lowering operator

$$\bigwedge^r H^1(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)^+, V) \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{r'} H^1(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)^+, V).$$

#### REFERENCES

- [Kat04] Kazuya Kato, *P-adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms*, Astérisque **295** (2004), ix, 117–290, Cohomologies  $p$ -adiques et applications arithmétiques. III. MR 2104361
- [KLZ14] Guido Kings, David Loeffler, and Sarah Zerbes, *Rankin-Selberg Euler systems and  $p$ -adic interpolation*, preprint, 2014.
- [LLZ14] Antonio Lei, David Loeffler, and Sarah Livia Zerbes, *Euler systems for Rankin-Selberg convolutions of modular forms*, Ann. of Math. **180** (2014), no. 2, 653–771.
- [LZ14] David Loeffler and Sarah Livia Zerbes, *Iwasawa theory and  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions for  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ -extensions*, Int. J. Number Theory (to appear) (2014).
- [PR98] Bernadette Perrin-Riou, *Systèmes d'Euler  $p$ -adiques et théorie d'Iwasawa*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **48** (1998), no. 5, 1231–1307. MR 1662231 (99m:11124)
- [Rub00] Karl Rubin, *Euler systems*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 147, Princeton University Press, 2000. MR 1749177
- [Wil88] A. Wiles, *On ordinary  $\lambda$ -adic representations associated to modular forms*, Invent. Math. **94** (1988), no. 3, 529–573. MR 969243