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The aim of today's lecture is to prove the following theorem modulo analytical details.

Theorem
There is an orthogonal decomposition

$$
\Omega^{k}(M)=\operatorname{im}(\Delta) \oplus \operatorname{ker}(\Delta)
$$

Moreover $\operatorname{ker}(\Delta)$ is finite-dimensional.

Here $\Omega^{k}(M)$ is the space of $k$-forms and $\Delta=d d^{*}+d^{*} d$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator. The forms satisfying $\Delta(\kappa)=0$ are the harmonic forms. The hope is to make rigorous the following argument by passing to Hilbert space completions:

## Nonsense

"The space of forms admits a direct sum decomposition into $\operatorname{im}(\Delta) \oplus \operatorname{im}(\Delta)^{\perp}$. If $\kappa$ is in $\operatorname{im}(\Delta)^{\perp}$ then $0=\langle\kappa, \Delta \omega\rangle=\langle\Delta \kappa, \omega\rangle$ for all $\omega$ because $\Delta$ is self-adjoint. Therefore $\Delta \kappa=0$ so $\operatorname{im}(\Delta)^{\perp}=\mathcal{H}$ and the Hodge decomposition follows."

We have the following nice lemmas.

## Lemma (Sobolev, (GiT, Corollary 7.11))

If $k-\frac{n}{2}>r$ then $L_{k}^{2} \subset \mathcal{C}^{r}$ where $\mathcal{C}^{r}$ denotes the space of $\mathcal{C}^{r}$-smooth p-forms.

## Lemma (Rellich, (GiT, Section 7.10)

For all $k, L_{k+1}^{2} \hookrightarrow L_{k}^{2}$ is a compact operator (i.e. the image of a bounded set has compact closure).

Given $\kappa \in L_{k+2}^{2}$ we can still define $\Delta \kappa \in L_{k}^{2}$.

## Proposition (Elliptic regularity)

Suppose we are given $u \in L_{1}^{2}$ for which there exists $v \in L_{k}^{2}$ satisfying

$$
\langle v, \omega\rangle=\langle u, \Delta \omega\rangle
$$

for all $\omega \in L_{2}^{2}$. Then $u \in L_{k+2}^{2}$ and

$$
\|u\|_{k+2} \leq C_{k}\left(\|v\|_{k}+\|u\|_{0}\right) .
$$

These theorems we will treat as magical black boxes. Either you've studied enough PDE to prove them by yourself or you haven't and you now have motivation to do so. Let's prove the Hodge theorem assuming this inequality. We will take as our Hilbert spaces

$$
\Delta: L_{3}^{2} \rightarrow L_{1}^{2}
$$

## Elliptic bootstrapping

## Observe that

## Lemma

An element $u \in \operatorname{ker}(\Delta) \subset L_{3}^{2}\left(\Omega^{k}(M)\right)$ is automatically in $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$

## Proof.

This is because $\Delta u=0 \in L_{k}^{2}$ for all $k$ so by the Proposition $u \in L_{k+2}^{2}$ for all $\ell$ and hence by the Sobolev lemma it's in $\mathcal{C}^{r}$ for all $r$. Neat.

This is an argument called elliptic bootstrapping. According to Wikipedia the origin of the word bootstrapping to describe a self-sustaining process is the notion that one could effect vertical displacement by pulling oneself up by one's bootstraps (small loop at the top of a boot). In our case the harmonic form turns out to be more and more differentiable just by dint of being harmonic.

## Finite-dimensionality

Let's now prove that $\operatorname{ker}(\Delta)$ is finite-dimensional. If $\Delta(u)=0$ then elliptic regularity implies

$$
\|u\|_{3} \leq C_{3}\|u\|_{0}
$$

It suffices to prove that the $L_{3}^{2}$-unit ball is compact, so choose a sequence $x_{m}$ with $\left\|x_{m}\right\| \leq 1$ and $\Delta x_{m}=0$. By Rellich's lemma, boundedness of $x_{m}$ implies there is a subsequence which is $L^{2}$-convergent. Now by the above inequality this subsequence is $L_{3}^{2}$-Cauchy and hence by completeness of $L_{3}^{2}$ there is a $L_{3}^{2}$-convergent subsequence, which proves compactness of the unit ball.

## The Hodge decomposition

We assume for a moment that $\Delta: L_{3}^{2} \rightarrow L_{1}^{2}$ has closed range and deduce the Hodge decomposition. Because $\Delta\left(L_{3}^{2}\right)$ is closed in $L_{1}^{2}$ we get an orthogonal decomposition $L_{1}^{2}=\Delta\left(L_{3}^{2}\right) \oplus \Delta\left(L_{3}^{2}\right)^{\perp}$. We know that $\operatorname{ker}(\Delta) \subset \Delta\left(L_{3}^{2}\right)^{\perp}$ and we want to show the converse inclusion. If $\kappa \in L_{1}^{2}$ is $L^{2}$-orthogonal to $\Delta\left(L_{3}^{2}\right)$ then it satisfies the hypotheses of the elliptic regularity theorem and hence is a smooth form, which is harmonic by integrating by parts.

## Closed range

To see that $\Delta$ has closed range, we first restrict the domain to the orthogonal complement of the kernel so that $\Delta$ is injective. Let $y=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \Delta x_{m}$ be in the closure of $\Delta\left(L_{3}^{2}\right)$.

- $x_{m}$ is $L_{3}^{2}$ bounded: Suppose not and take a subsequence with $\left\|x_{m}\right\|_{3} \rightarrow \infty$. Then $x_{m}^{\prime}:=x_{m} /\left\|x_{m}\right\|_{3}$ consists of elements with $L_{3}^{2}$-norm equal to 1 and $\Delta x_{m}^{\prime}$ converges to zero. By Rellich's lemma we can assume that $\left\|x_{m}^{\prime}\right\|_{0}$ converges so that $x_{m}^{\prime}$ is $L_{3}^{2}$-Cauchy by the elliptic inequality (Ex: Show that $x_{m}^{\prime}$ is $L_{3}^{2}$-Cauchy). Now extract a convergent subsequence $x_{m}^{\prime}$ with limit $\xi$ and observe that $\Delta \xi=0$. However, $\|\xi\|_{3}=1$ and $\Delta$ is injective so this is a contradiction.
- Boundedness of $x_{m}$ means (via Rellich compactness) that $x_{m}$ has an $L^{2}$-convergent subsequence. The elliptic inequality implies that this subsequence is also $L_{3}^{2}$-Cauchy and hence by completeness there is a convergent subsequence whose limit $x$ satisfies $\Delta x=y$. Therefore the range of $\Delta$ is closed!


## Principal bundles

With the Hodge theorem under our belt we are ready to move on to nonabelian gauge theory. The definition of a principal $G$-bundles for nonabelian $G$ generalises that of a $U(1)$-bundle given earlier in the course. We'll still spell out all the details because there are some subtleties that are not present in the abelian case. By the end of next lecture we will have written down the Yang-Mills equations.

## The setup

This time we let $G$ be any compact Lie group.

## Definition

- A principal $G$-bundle is a space $P$ with a free (right) $G$-action. Write $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ for the projection to the base space $M=P / G$.
- A (local) section is a map $\sigma: M \rightarrow P$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma=\mathrm{id}$ (defined over an open set).
- A gauge transformation is a G-equivariant diffeomorphism of $P$ living over the identity, i.e. a diffeomorphism $\phi: P \rightarrow P$ such that $\pi \circ \phi=\pi$ and such that $\phi(p) g=\phi(p g)$ for any $p \in P, g \in G$.


## Gauge transformations

Given a gauge transformation $\phi$ of $P$ we get a map $g: P \rightarrow G$ which measures the displacement of the point $p$ in the fibre $\pi^{-1}(\pi(p))=: G_{p}$, i.e.

$$
\phi(p)=p g(p)
$$

Equivariance $\phi(p) h=\phi(p h)$ now implies

$$
h g(p h) h^{-1}=g(p)
$$

When $G$ is abelian notice that this implies $g(p h)=g(p)$ so we were able to think of $\phi$ as a map $M \rightarrow G$.

## Remark

Note that confusingly the action of $G$ on $P$ is not via gauge transformations! If we set $\phi(p)=p g$ then $\phi(p h)=p h g \neq p g h$ unless $g \in Z(G)$, the centre of $G$. Therefore the only 'constant' gauge transformations are by elements of the centre of $G$.

## Tangent bundle

## Recall

Recall that the tangent space of $G$ at 1 is called the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and that one has a canonical trivialisation

$$
G \times \mathfrak{g} \cong T G
$$

which sends the point $(g, v)$ to the vector $g_{*} v$ at the point $g$ (where we think of $g$ as a diffeomorphism of $G$ and write $g_{*}$ for its derivative).

Now we want to understand the tangent space of a principal $G$-bundle $P$ at a point $p \in P$.

## Definition

The tangent vectors to fibres of $\pi$ give us a subbundle $V$ of the tangent bundle TP called the vertical tangent bundle.

Alternatively, at a point $p$ one obtains a vector $v \in T_{p} P$ for each $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ by considering the infinitesimal action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $P$ (i.e. differentiating the $G$-action $P \times G \rightarrow P$ to get $T P \times G \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T P$ and considering the image of $(p, 1, v))$. Of course, these vectors span the vertical tangent bundle, so we see immediately that we have a canonical vertical inclusion

$$
P \times \mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow V \subset T P
$$

Now we want to understand the quotient bundle $T P / V \rightarrow P$. I claim $T P / V \cong \pi^{*} T M$. Here $\pi^{*}$ denotes pullback:

## Definition

The pullback of a bundle $E \rightarrow Y$ along a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is just the bundle over $X$ whose fibre at $x$ is $E_{f(y)}$ (one can think of this as the subset

$$
f^{*} E \subset X \times E
$$

consisting of points $(x, e)$ such that $\left.e \in E_{f(x)}\right)$.

As usual...

## Definition

A connection is a G-equivariant choice of horizontal space $\mathcal{H}_{p}$ in each $T_{p} P$, i.e. a subspace which projects via $\pi_{*}$ to $T_{\pi(p)} M$ and such that $g_{*}\left(\mathcal{H}_{p}\right)=\mathcal{H}_{g(p)}$ for all $g \in G$. We write $\tilde{X}$ for the unique horizontal vector field which projects along $\pi_{*}$ to the vector field $X$ on $M$.

We see that this is the same as a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued 1 -form $\alpha$ on $P$, which projects tangent vectors onto their vertical part (i.e. $\operatorname{ker} \alpha=\mathcal{H}$ ) and takes vertical vectors $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ to themselves. $G$-equivariance of $\mathcal{H}$ translates into the equivariance

$$
\alpha\left(g_{*} v\right)=g_{*} \alpha(v)
$$

of $\alpha$.

