

MATH1102 Analysis

Solutions to examination paper for the academic year 2010/2011

Dmitri Vassiliev

September 29, 2011

Solution to question 1

(a) The function f is said to be *differentiable* at the point x_0 if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0}$$

exists. In this case the limit is denoted by $f'(x_0)$ (or $\frac{df}{dx}(x_0)$ or $\left. \frac{df}{dx} \right|_{x=x_0}$) and is called the *derivative* of f at x_0 . **[3 marks; bookwork]**

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x_0) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sqrt{x_0 + h} - \sqrt{x_0}}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\sqrt{x_0 + h} - \sqrt{x_0})(\sqrt{x_0 + h} + \sqrt{x_0})}{h(\sqrt{x_0 + h} + \sqrt{x_0})} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\sqrt{x_0 + h})^2 - (\sqrt{x_0})^2}{h(\sqrt{x_0 + h} + \sqrt{x_0})} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_0 + h} + \sqrt{x_0}} \stackrel{\text{by the Algebra of Limits}}{=} \frac{1}{\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \sqrt{x_0 + h} + \sqrt{x_0}} \\ &\stackrel{\text{by the continuity of } \sqrt{x}}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_0} + \sqrt{x_0}} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x_0}}. \end{aligned}$$

[4 marks; question from an exercise sheet; however, solutions to this question were not assessed so many students may have skipped it]

(c)

Theorem 1 *If f is differentiable at the point x_0 , then f is continuous at the point x_0 .*

[3 marks; bookwork]

Proof Let f be differentiable at the point x_0 . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f'(x_0) \cdot 0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (x - x_0) = \\ &\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \left(\frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} (x - x_0) \right) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (f(x) - f(x_0)), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (f(x) - f(x_0)) = 0.$$

But we also have the trivial identity

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x_0) = f(x_0).$$

Adding up the latter two identities we get $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = f(x_0)$, which means that the function is continuous at the point x_0 . $\square$ **[5 marks; bookwork]**

(d)

Theorem 2 Let $f, g : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable at the point $x_0 \in (a, b)$ and let $g(x_0) \neq 0$. Then $\frac{f}{g}$ is differentiable at x_0 and

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)'(x_0) = \frac{f'(x_0)g(x_0) - f(x_0)g'(x_0)}{(g(x_0))^2}. \quad (1)$$

[3 marks; bookwork]

Proof Let $h \neq 0$ be any number small enough so that $x_0 + h \in (a, b)$ and $g(x_0 + h) \neq 0$; note that the latter can be achieved in view of Theorem 1 and the Inertia Principle. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{f(x_0+h)}{g(x_0+h)} - \frac{f(x_0)}{g(x_0)}}{h} &= \frac{f(x_0+h)g(x_0) - f(x_0)g(x_0+h)}{hg(x_0+h)g(x_0)} \\ &= \frac{f(x_0+h)g(x_0) - f(x_0)g(x_0) + f(x_0)g(x_0) - f(x_0)g(x_0+h)}{hg(x_0+h)g(x_0)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{f(x_0+h)-f(x_0)}{h}g(x_0) - f(x_0)\frac{g(x_0+h)-g(x_0)}{h}}{g(x_0+h)g(x_0)}, \end{aligned}$$

so by the Algebra of Limits and Theorem 1

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{f(x_0+h)}{g(x_0+h)} - \frac{f(x_0)}{g(x_0)}}{h} &= \frac{\left(\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x_0+h)-f(x_0)}{h}\right)g(x_0) - f(x_0)\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(x_0+h)-g(x_0)}{h}}{\left(\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} g(x_0+h)\right)g(x_0)} \\ &= \frac{f'(x_0)g(x_0) - f(x_0)g'(x_0)}{(g(x_0))^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which means that the function $\frac{f}{g}$ is differentiable at x_0 and its derivative is given by formula (1).

$\square$ **[5 marks; bookwork]**

(e) Using the Quotient Rule, we get

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\right)' = \frac{1' \cdot \sqrt{x} - 1 \cdot (\sqrt{x})'}{x} = -\frac{1}{x}(\sqrt{x})'.$$

But, according to (b), $(\sqrt{x})' = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}$, which gives us

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\right)' = -\frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}\right) = -\frac{1}{2x^{3/2}}.$$

[2 marks; special case of an argument done in the lectures]

Solution to question 2

(a)

Definition 1 We say that the function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ achieves a global maximum at the point $c \in [a, b]$ if $f(x) \leq f(c)$, $\forall x \in [a, b]$. The number $f(c)$ is called the maximum value of f and is denoted $\max_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$.

Definition 2 We say that the function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ achieves a global minimum at the point $d \in [a, b]$ if $f(x) \geq f(d)$, $\forall x \in [a, b]$. The number $f(d)$ is called the minimum value of f and is denoted $\min_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$.

[3 marks; bookwork]

(b)

Theorem 3 If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous then it achieves its global maximum at some $c \in [a, b]$ and its global minimum at some $d \in [a, b]$.

[3 marks; bookwork]

(c) Suppose $f'(c) > 0$. Consider the function

$$g(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(x)-f(c)}{x-c} & \text{if } x \neq c, \\ f'(c) & \text{if } x = c. \end{cases}$$

This function is continuous at the point c , so by the Inertia Principle $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$|x - c| < \delta \quad \& \quad x \in [a, b] \quad \implies \quad g(x) > 0.$$

Choose an arbitrary $\tilde{x} \in [a, b]$ such that $0 < \tilde{x} - c < \delta$. Then

$$g(\tilde{x}) = \frac{f(\tilde{x}) - f(c)}{\tilde{x} - c} > 0$$

which implies $f(\tilde{x}) > f(c)$, contradicting the definition of a global maximum.

Similarly, the assumption $f'(c) < 0$ also leads to a contradiction.

This leaves us with $f'(c) = 0$ as the only possibility. $\square$ [7 marks; bookwork]

In the following solutions to (d)(i)–(d)(ii) we denote $f(x) := \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}} + \cos x$.

(d)(i) The unique interior critical point is $\frac{\pi}{4}$ so

$$\max_{x \in [0, \pi/2]} f(x) = \max \left\{ f(0), f\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right), f\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \right\} = \max \left\{ 1, \frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}} \right\} = \frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Note that the numerical values of the above three numbers are $\{1, 1.26, 1.11\}$. Students are expected to be able to establish, without a calculator, that $\frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ is the biggest of the three. The latter statement is equivalent to the inequalities $4(\sqrt{2} - 1) < \pi < 4$ which are more or less obvious. [7 marks; unseen exercise]

(d)(ii) We have $f'(x) > 0$, $\forall x \in (-\pi/2, 0)$, so f is strictly increasing on $[-\pi/2, 0]$ and

$$\max_{x \in [-\pi/2, 0]} f(x) = f(0) = 1.$$

[3 marks; unseen exercise]

(d)(iii) The function $\frac{|x|}{\sqrt{2}} + \cos x$ is even, so

$$\max_{x \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]} \left(\frac{|x|}{\sqrt{2}} + \cos x \right) = \max_{x \in [0, \pi/2]} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}} + \cos x \right) = \frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

[2 marks; unseen exercise]

Solution to question 3

(a) Put $h(x) := f(x) - g(x)$. Then $h'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (-1, 1)$. I claim that

$$h(x) = h(0), \quad \forall x \in (-1, 1). \quad (2)$$

Case 1: $x = 0$. Obviously, (2) is true.

Case 2: $x \in (0, 1)$. Applying the Mean Value Theorem to the function h on the interval $[0, x]$ we get

$$\frac{h(x) - h(0)}{x - 0} = h'(\xi) \quad (3)$$

for some $\xi \in (0, x)$. But $h'(\xi) = 0$, so (3) implies (2).

Case 3: $x \in (-1, 0)$. Applying the Mean Value Theorem to the function h on the interval $[x, 0]$ we get

$$\frac{h(0) - h(x)}{0 - x} = h'(\xi) \quad (4)$$

for some $\xi \in (x, 0)$. But $h'(\xi) = 0$, so (4) implies (2). [8 marks; unseen exercise, but somewhat similar to a lemma proved in the lectures and an exercise from an exercise sheet]

(b) In the lectures the radius of convergence was defined as follows. Introduce the set

$$S := \left\{ r \geq 0 \mid \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \text{ converges for } x = r \text{ or } x = -r \right\}. \quad (5)$$

Obviously, $\{0\} \subset S \subset [0, +\infty)$. The *radius of convergence* of a power series is the extended real number

$$R := \sup S$$

where S is the set (5).

Another possible definition is that the radius of convergence of a power series is the extended real number R such that for $|x| < R$ the series converges absolutely and for $|x| > R$ the series diverges. I will accept this definition even though it is not *a priori* obvious that an R with these properties exists. [3 marks; bookwork]

(c) Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ be a power series with radius of convergence $R > 0$ and let $f : (-R, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

be the sum of this series. Then f is differentiable on the interval $(-R, R)$ and $f'(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n x^{n-1}$.

[4 marks; bookwork]

(d) Fix an $x \neq 0$ and apply the ratio test:

$$\left| \frac{\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{2k+3} x^{2k+3}}{\frac{(-1)^k}{2k+1} x^{2k+1}} \right| = \frac{2k+1}{2k+3} x^2 = \frac{x^2}{1 + \frac{2}{2k+1}} \rightarrow x^2$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, the power series converges absolutely for $|x| < 1$ and diverges for $|x| > 1$, so its radius of convergence is 1. [4 marks; unseen exercise]

(e) The results from (c) and (d) tell us that the function g is differentiable on $(-1, 1)$ and that its derivative is obtained by differentiating the power series for g term-by-term:

$$g'(x) = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{2k+1} x^{2k+1} \right)' = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(-1)^k}{2k+1} x^{2k+1} \right)' = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k x^{2k} = \frac{1}{1+x^2}$$

because we are looking at a geometric series. [4 marks; unseen exercise]

(f) Application of the result from (a) with $f(x) = \arctan x$ and $g(x)$ as in (e) gives

$$\arctan x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{2k+1} x^{2k+1} + c, \quad \forall x \in (-1, 1).$$

Setting $x = 0$ we conclude that $c = 0$. [2 marks; unseen exercise]

Solution to question 4

(a)

Theorem 4 Let $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on (a, b) . Then there exists a point $\xi \in (a, b)$ such that

$$(g(b) - g(a))f'(\xi) = (f(b) - f(a))g'(\xi). \quad (6)$$

[4 marks; bookwork]

(b) Fix $x \in (a, 0)$ and consider the function

$$g(t) := f(x) - f(t) - f'(t)(x-t) - \frac{f''(t)}{2!}(x-t)^2 - \frac{f'''(t)}{3!}(x-t)^3 - \dots \\ - \frac{f^{(n-1)}(t)}{(n-1)!}(x-t)^{n-1} - \frac{f^{(n)}(t)}{n!}(x-t)^n, \quad (7)$$

where t is the independent variable. Since $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $n+1$ times differentiable, $g : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable. Differentiating (7) we get

$$g'(t) = -f'(t) + [f'(t) - f''(t)(x-t)] + \left[f''(t)(x-t) - \frac{f'''(t)}{2!}(x-t)^2 \right] + \dots \\ \dots + \left[\frac{f^{(n-1)}(t)}{(n-2)!}(x-t)^{n-2} - \frac{f^{(n)}(t)}{(n-1)!}(x-t)^{n-1} \right] + \left[\frac{f^{(n)}(t)}{(n-1)!}(x-t)^{n-1} - \frac{f^{(n+1)}(t)}{n!}(x-t)^n \right] \\ = -\frac{f^{(n+1)}(t)}{n!}(x-t)^n$$

(all the terms cancelled out, apart from the last one). Applying Cauchy's Generalisation of the Mean Value Theorem to the functions $g(t)$ and $h(t) := (x-t)^{n+1}$ on the interval $[x, 0]$ (which we can because g is continuous on $[x, 0] \subset (a, b)$ and differentiable on $(x, 0) \subset (a, b)$) we get

$$\frac{g(0) - g(x)}{h(0) - h(x)} = \frac{g'(\xi)}{h'(\xi)} = \frac{-\frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{n!}(x-\xi)^n}{-(n+1)(x-\xi)^n} = \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!}$$

for some $\xi \in (x, 0)$. But

$$g(0) = R_n(x), \quad g(x) = 0, \quad h(0) = x^{n+1}, \quad h(x) = 0,$$

so the above formula can be rewritten as

$$\frac{R_n(x)}{x^{n+1}} = \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!},$$

or, equivalently, as $R_n(x) = \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!}x^{n+1}$. $\square$ **[12 marks; bookwork; however in the lectures the above argument was done only for the case $x > 0$]**

(c) Application of the result from (b) to the function $f : (-1, 99) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(x) = \ln(1+x)$ with $x = -\frac{1}{2}$ gives

$$\ln\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = -\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n2^n} + R_n\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right),$$

$$R_n\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) = (-1)^n \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n+1}}{(n+1)(1+\xi)^{n+1}} = -\frac{1}{(n+1)(2+2\xi)^{n+1}}$$

for some $\xi \in (-1/2, 0)$. But the fact that $\xi > -1/2$ implies that $2+2\xi > 1$ so

$$\left|R_n\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| = \frac{1}{(n+1)(2+2\xi)^{n+1}} < \frac{1}{(n+1)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

so

$$R_n\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

which gives the required result. **[9 marks; this question is a special case of a question from an exercise sheet; the tricky bit is that I advised students to use Cauchy's form of the remainder when expanding $\ln(1+x)$ for $x < 0$ whereas in this examination question I ask them to use Lagrange's form of the remainder; as shown above, Lagrange's form of the remainder is actually OK for $x \geq -1/2$]**

Solution to question 5

(a)(i) The *lower Darboux sum* of f with respect to the partition P is defined as

$$L(f, P) := \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(x_i - x_{i-1}),$$

where $m_i := \inf_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x)$. The *upper Darboux sum* of f with respect to the partition P is defined as

$$U(f, P) := \sum_{i=1}^n M_i(x_i - x_{i-1}),$$

where $M_i := \sup_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x)$. **[2 marks; bookwork]**

(a)(ii) The *lower Riemann integral* of f over $[a, b]$ is defined as

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx := \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]} L(f, P).$$

The upper Riemann integral of f over $[a, b]$ is defined as

$$\overline{\int}_a^b f(x) dx := \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]} U(f, P).$$

Here $\mathcal{P}[a, b]$ is the set of all partitions of the interval $[a, b]$. [2 marks; bookwork]

(a)(iii) We know that $L(f, P) \leq U(f, Q)$ for any pair of partitions P and Q . Taking the supremum over $P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$ we get

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx \leq U(f, Q). \quad (8)$$

Note that formula (8) holds for any partition Q . Taking the infimum over $Q \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$ we get

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \overline{\int}_a^b f(x) dx.$$

[2 marks; bookwork]

(a)(iv)

Definition 3 The function f is said to be Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$ if

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \overline{\int}_a^b f(x) dx.$$

In this case the common value of the lower and upper Riemann integrals is denoted by

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx$$

and is called the Riemann integral of f over $[a, b]$. The set of all Riemann integrable functions $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{R}[a, b]$.

[2 marks; bookwork]

(b)

Theorem 5 Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be bounded. Then $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$ iff $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$ such that

$$U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \varepsilon. \quad (9)$$

[3 marks; bookwork]

Proof

Part 1. Suppose $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$ such that (9) holds. Need to prove that $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$.

Let ε be an arbitrary positive number. Choose $P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$ such that (9) holds. Then

$$U(f, P) - \varepsilon < L(f, P) \leq \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \overline{\int}_a^b f(x) dx \leq U(f, P),$$

so

$$0 \leq \overline{\int}_a^b f(x) dx - \int_a^b f(x) dx < \varepsilon.$$

As $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, this implies

$$\overline{\int}_a^b f(x) dx - \int_a^b f(x) dx = 0$$

which means that $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$.

Part 2. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$. Need to prove that $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$ such that (9) holds.

Let ε be an arbitrary positive number. Choose $P', P'' \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$ such that

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < L(f, P'), \quad (10)$$

$$U(f, P'') < \int_a^b f(x) dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (11)$$

Let $P := P' \cup P''$ be a common refinement of P' and P'' . Then, by the refinement lemma,

$$L(f, P') \leq L(f, P), \quad U(f, P) \leq U(f, P'').$$

But, obviously, $L(f, P) \leq U(f, P)$, so

$$L(f, P') \leq L(f, P) \leq U(f, P) \leq U(f, P''). \quad (12)$$

Formulae (10)–(12) imply

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < L(f, P) \leq U(f, P) < \int_a^b f(x) dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

which gives (9). $\square$ [7 marks; bookwork]

(c) Let ε be an arbitrary positive number. We know (MATH1101) that continuity of the function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ implies its uniform continuity, so $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$|x - y| < \delta \quad \& \quad x, y \in [a, b] \quad \implies \quad |f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(b - a)}.$$

Choose a partition $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ with $\|P\| < \delta$. Then

$$M_i - m_i \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2(b - a)};$$

here we use the usual notation $m_i := \inf_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x)$, $M_i := \sup_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x)$. We get

$$U(f, P) - L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n (M_i - m_i)(x_i - x_{i-1}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2(b - a)} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_{i-1}) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon$$

and Riemann's Criterion for Integrability (Theorem 5) tells us that $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$. $\square$ [4 marks; bookwork]

(d) Consider the function $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as follows:

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } x < 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

This function is Riemann integrable on $[-1, 1]$ because it is monotone (increasing) but it is not continuous because $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)$ doesn't exist. [3 marks; unseen exercise]

Solution to question 6

(a)

Definition 4 A function $f : [1, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be locally Riemann integrable over $[1, +\infty)$ if $\forall a, b \in [1, +\infty)$ we have $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$. The set of all locally Riemann integrable functions over the interval $[1, +\infty)$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{R}_{loc}[1, +\infty)$.

[2 marks; bookwork; note that in the lectures this definition was given for an arbitrary interval I and not specifically for the interval $[1, +\infty)$]

(b) We say that f is integrable on $[1, +\infty)$ in the improper sense if $\lim_{b \rightarrow +\infty} \int_1^b f(x) dx$ exists.

We will denote this limit by $\int_1^{+\infty} f(x) dx$. [4 marks; bookwork; note that in the lectures this definition was given for an arbitrary interval I and not specifically for the interval $[1, +\infty)$]

(c) Note that the integrand is continuous on $[1, +\infty)$, hence locally integrable on $[1, +\infty)$. So we have to check only integrability at $+\infty$. We have

$$\int_1^b \frac{1}{x^2} dx = 1 - \frac{1}{b} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as} \quad b \rightarrow +\infty.$$

[2 marks; special case of an argument done in the lectures]

(d)

Theorem 6 Let $f, g \in \mathcal{R}_{loc}(I)$, $I = \langle c, d \rangle$, and suppose that

- $0 \leq f(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in I$;
- $\int_c^d g(x) dx$ exists.

Then $\int_c^d f(x) dx$ exists.

[3 marks; bookwork]

(e)

Theorem 7 Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{R}_{loc}(I)$ and $|f|$ is integrable over I . Then f is integrable over I .

[3 marks; bookwork]

(f) Let us prove the existence of the improper integral

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\sin x}{x^2} dx. \tag{13}$$

Note that the integrand is continuous on $[1, +\infty)$, hence locally integrable on $[1, +\infty)$. So we have to check only integrability at $+\infty$.

By the result from (e), in order to prove the existence of the integral (13) it is sufficient to prove the existence of the integral

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{|\sin x|}{x^2} dx. \tag{14}$$

Compare now the functions $\frac{|\sin x|}{x^2}$ and $\frac{1}{x^2}$ on the interval $[1, +\infty)$. We have

$$0 \leq \frac{|\sin x|}{x^2} \leq \frac{1}{x^2}$$

so, by Theorem 6, in order to prove the existence of the integral (14) it is sufficient to prove the existence of the integral $\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{1}{x^2} dx$. But this has already been done in (c). **[6 marks; unseen exercise]**

(g) Note that the integrand is continuous on $[1, +\infty)$, hence locally integrable on $[1, +\infty)$. So we have to check only integrability at $+\infty$.

Integrating by parts we get

$$\int_1^b \frac{\cos x}{x} dx = \frac{\sin b}{b} - \sin 1 + \int_1^b \frac{\sin x}{x^2} dx.$$

We have $\frac{\sin b}{b} - \sin 1 \rightarrow -\sin 1$ as $b \rightarrow +\infty$, so in order to prove the existence of the original integral we have to prove the existence of the integral $\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\sin x}{x^2} dx$. But this has already been done in (f). **[5 marks; question from an exercise sheet; however, this is the last question of the last exercise sheet and, moreover, solutions to this question were not assessed so many students may have skipped it]**