## Classification of first order sesquilinear forms

Dmitri Vassiliev

University College London

14 February 2018

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Joint work with Zhirayr Avetisyan, Matteo Capoferri, Yan-Long Fang and Nikolai Saveliev.

Main results in

Z. Avetisyan, Y.-L. Fang, N. Saveliev and D. Vassiliev, *Analytic definition of spin structure*, JMP **58** (2017) 082301.

Another paper in preparation.

Linear algebra in a finite-dimensional complex vector space

Concept of self-adjoint linear operator L. Requires inner product.

Concept of Hermitian sesquilinear form S(u, v). Does not require inner product.

In the presence of an inner product there is a one-to-one correspondence between self-adjoint linear operators and sesquilinear forms:  $S(u, v) = \langle u, Lv \rangle$ .

Given an Hermitian sesquilinear form S(u, v), can define a realvalued quadratic form S(v, v). A physicist would call S(v, v) an *action*. Variation gives a system of equations for v.

## Object of study

Let *M* be a connected *m*-dimensional manifold without boundary, local coordinates  $x = (x^1, ..., x^m)$ .

Will work with *n*-columns  $u: M \to \mathbb{C}^n$  of scalar fields.

First order sesquilinear form:

$$S(u,v) := \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left[ u^* \mathbf{A}^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x^{\alpha}} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{\alpha}} \right)^* \mathbf{B}^{\alpha} v + u^* \mathbf{C} v \right] dx \,,$$

where **A** and **B** are matrix-valued vector densities, **C** is a matrix-valued density and  $dx = dx^1 \dots dx^m$ .

I reserve bold font for density-valued quantities.

## The symbol of a first order sesquilinear form

Canonical representation of a first order sesquilinear form:

$$S(u,v) = \int_{M} \left[ -\frac{i}{2} u^* \mathbf{E}^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x^{\alpha}} \right) + \frac{i}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{\alpha}} \right)^* \mathbf{E}^{\alpha} v + u^* \mathbf{F} v \right] dx \, .$$

Density-valued principal symbol  $\mathbf{S}_{prin}(x, p) := \mathbf{E}^{\alpha}(x) p_{\alpha}$ .

Density-valued subprincipal symbol  $S_{sub}(x) := F(x)$ .

Density-valued full symbol  $S_{full}(x, p) := S_{prin}(x, p) + S_{sub}(x)$ .

Full symbol uniquely determines the sesquilinear form.

Sesquilinear form is Hermitian iff its full symbol is Hermitian.

## Non-degeneracy condition

**Definition 1** We say that our Hermitian first order sesquilinear form S is *non-degenerate* if

$$\mathbf{S}_{ ext{prin}}(x,p) \neq 0, \qquad orall (x,p) \in T^*M \setminus \{0\}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Gauge transformations of sesquilinear forms

Consider a smooth matrix-function

$$R: M \to GL(n, \mathbb{C}).$$

Given a sesquilinear form S can define another sesquilinear form

$$\tilde{S}(u,v) := S(Ru,Rv).$$

The corresponding full symbol is

$$\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathrm{full}} = R^* \, \mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{full}} \, R + \frac{i}{2} \left[ R_{x^{\alpha}}^* (\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{full}})_{p_{\alpha}} R - R^* (\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{full}})_{p_{\alpha}} R_{x^{\alpha}} \right].$$

Want to solve 'inverse problem'. We are given two full symbols,  $\boldsymbol{S}_{\rm full}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\tilde{S}}_{\rm full}.$  Do they describe the same sesquilinear form?

#### Equivalence classes of symbols

**Definition 2** We say that two full symbols  $S_{\rm full}$  and  $\tilde{S}_{\rm full}$  are *GL-equivalent* if there exists a smooth matrix-function

$$R: M \to GL(n, \mathbb{C}). \tag{1}$$

such that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\text{full}} = R^* \, \mathbf{S}_{\text{full}} \, R + \frac{i}{2} \left[ R_{x^{\alpha}}^* (\mathbf{S}_{\text{full}})_{\boldsymbol{p}_{\alpha}} R - R^* (\mathbf{S}_{\text{full}})_{\boldsymbol{p}_{\alpha}} R_{x^{\alpha}} \right].$$
(2)

**Definition 3** We say that two full symbols  $S_{\rm full}$  and  $\tilde{S}_{\rm full}$  are *SL-equivalent* if there exists a smooth matrix-function

$$R: M \to SL(n, \mathbb{C}). \tag{3}$$

such that (2) is satisfied.

### Task at hand

Give explicit necessary and sufficient conditions for a pair of full symbols to be GL-equivalent or SL-equivalent.

I want to describe equivalence classes of sesquilinear forms.

Will achieve this goal for special values of m and n. Here m is the dimension of the manifold and n is the number of scalar fields.

The analysis that follows is dimension sensitive.

For definiteness will deal with SL-equivalence.

**Lemma 1** A manifold *M* admits a non-degenerate Hermitian first order sesquilinear form iff it is parallelizable.

Proof is based on the observation that  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrices form a real vector space of dimension  $n^2$ . But  $m = n^2$  is also the dimension of our manifold.

Case m = 4, n = 2: appearance of Lorentzian geometry

The determinant of the denisty-valued principal symbol is a quadratic form in momentum

$$\det \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{prin}}(x,p) = -\mathbf{g}^{lphaeta}(x) \, p_{lpha} p_{eta} \, ,$$

where  $\mathbf{g}^{\alpha\beta}(x)$  is a real symmetric 4 × 4 matrix-function with values in 2-densities.

**Lemma 2** The matrix-function  $\mathbf{g}^{\alpha\beta}(x)$  has Lorentzian signature, i.e. it has three positive eigenvalues and one negative eigenvalue.

Definition of Lorentzian density:  $\rho(x) := |\det \mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu}(x)|^{1/6}$ . This density is invariant under gauge transformations.

## Rewriting sesquilinear form in terms of half-densities

Turn scalar fields into half-densities:  $v \mapsto \sqrt{\rho}v$ . Our sequilinear form now reads

$$S(u,v) = \int_{M} \left[ -\frac{i}{2} u^* E^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x^{\alpha}} \right) + \frac{i}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{\alpha}} \right)^* E^{\alpha} v + u^* F v \right] dx \, .$$

Elements of the matrix E are vector fields and elements of the matrix F are scalar fields.

Principal symbol  $S_{prin}(x, p) := E^{\alpha}(x) p_{\alpha}$ . Invariantly defined  $2 \times 2$  Hermitian matrix-function on  $T^*M$ .

Subprincipal symbol  $S_{sub}(x) := F(x)$ . Invariantly defined Hermitian  $2 \times 2$  matrix-function on M.

Full symbol  $S_{\text{full}}(x, p) := S_{\text{prin}}(x, p) + S_{\text{sub}}(x)$ .

My definition of the metric tensor

$$\det S_{\rm prin}(x,p) = -g^{\alpha\beta}(x) \, p_{\alpha} p_{\beta} \,. \tag{4}$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Metric is Lorentzian and is invariant under gauge transformations.

The Lorentzian manifold (M, g) is said to be *time-orientable* if it admits a timelike vector field.

**Lemma 3** A parallelizable Lorentzian manifold (M, g) admits a non-degenerate Hermitian first order sesquilinear form satisfying condition (4) iff it is time-orientable.

Proof in one direction is easy: just take trace of principal symbol.

Other way round not 100% obvious: proof relies on the fact that  $\mathbb{S}^3$  is parallelizable.

## Topological charge

$$c_{ ext{top}} := -rac{i}{2}\sqrt{|\det g_{lphaeta}|} \operatorname{tr}ig((S_{ ext{prin}})_{p_1}(S_{ ext{prin}})_{p_2}(S_{ ext{prin}})_{p_3}(S_{ ext{prin}})_{p_4}ig),$$

where the subscripts  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$  and  $p_4$  indicate partial derivatives with respect to the components of momentum.

Can take only two values, +1 or -1, and describes the orientation of the principal symbol relative to our chosen orientation of local coordinates  $x = (x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4)$ .

It is invariant under gauge transformations.

## Temporal charge

$$\mathbf{c}_{ ext{tem}} := \operatorname{sgn} \operatorname{tr} S_{\operatorname{prin}}(x, q(x)),$$

where q is a prescribed timelike covector field used as a reference.

Can take only two values, +1 or -1, and describes the orientation of the principal symbol relative to our chosen time orientation.

It is invariant under gauge transformations.

#### Spin structure

**Definition 4** Consider two principal symbols,  $S_{\text{prin}}$  and  $\tilde{S}_{\text{prin}}$ , which carry the same metric, same topological charge and same temporal charge. We say that these two principal symbols are *spin-equivalent* if we have

$$ilde{S}_{
m prin} = R^* S_{
m prin} R$$

for some smooth matrix-function  $R: M \to SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ . An equivalence class of principal symbols is called *spin structure*.

**Lemma 4** For parallelizable time-orientable Lorentzian 4-manifolds the two definitions of spin structure, our analytic definition and the traditional one, are equivalent.

Proof due to Nikolai Saveliev.

How restrictive is the parallelizability assumption?

**Lemma 5** A non-compact time-orientable Lorentzian 4-manifold is parallelizable if and only if it is spin.

Proof also due to Nikolai Saveliev.

Implication: my analytic definition of spin structure may not work for Lorentzian 4-manifolds that are compact or not time-orientable.

#### Dealing with the subprincipal symbol

Subprincipal symbol transforms as

$$S_{\mathrm{sub}} \mapsto R^* S_{\mathrm{sub}} R + rac{i}{2} \left( R_{x^{lpha}}^* (S_{\mathrm{prin}})_{p_{lpha}} R - R^* (S_{\mathrm{prin}})_{p_{lpha}} R_{x^{lpha}} 
ight).$$

Problem: subprincipal symbol does not transform covariantly.

**Solution:** define *covariant* subprincipal symbol  $S_{csub}(x)$  as

$$S_{\mathrm{csub}} := S_{\mathrm{sub}} + rac{i}{16} g_{lphaeta} \{S_{\mathrm{prin}}, \mathrm{adj} \, S_{\mathrm{prin}}, S_{\mathrm{prin}} \}_{p_{lpha} p_{eta}},$$

where  $\{U, V, W\} := U_{x^{\alpha}} V W_{p_{\alpha}} - U_{p_{\alpha}} V W_{x^{\alpha}}$  is the generalised Poisson bracket on matrix-functions and adj is the operator of matrix adjugation  $U = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} =: \operatorname{adj} U$ . Electromagnetic covector potential appears out of thin air

The covariant subprincipal symbol can be uniquely represented as

$$S_{\rm csub}(x) = S_{\rm prin}(x, A(x)), \tag{5}$$

where A is a real-valued covector field which is invariant under gauge transformations.

Explanation: the matrices  $(S_{\text{prin}})_{p_{\alpha}}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , are Pauli matrices and these form a basis in the real vector space of  $2 \times 2$  Hermitian matrices. Formula (5) is simply an expansion of the matrix  $S_{\text{csub}}$  with respect to the basis of Pauli matrices.

## Main result

**Theorem 1** A pair of full symbols is *SL*-equivalent iff

- their metrics are the same,
- their electromagnetic covector potentials are the same,

- their topological charges are the same,
- their temporal charges are the same and
- they have the same spin structure.

## Bottom line, in plain English

Suppose that I am looking at a system of two linear first order PDEs for two unknown complex-valued scalar fields over a 4-manifold.

Suppose that I know that this system of PDEs admits a variational formulation.

Then Lorentzian geometry is automatically encoded within this system of PDEs.

There is no need to introduce geometric constructs a priori. They are already there.

## 3-dimensional Riemannian geometry

1. More restrictive choice of sesquilinear forms: tr  $S_{prin}(x, p) = 0$ .

2. My non-degeneracy condition is now equivalent to the more familiar ellipticity condition det  $S_{prin}(x, p) \neq 0$ .

3. A 3-manifold admits a  $2 \times 2$  first order sesquilinear form with trace-free principal symbol iff it is parallelizable.

4. A 3-manifold is parallelizable iff it is orientable.

5. My metric is automatically Riemannian: det  $S_{\text{prin}}(x, p) < 0$ .

6. More restrictive choice of gauge transformations:

$$R: M \rightarrow SU(2).$$

## Appearance of an operator

In the 3-dimensional setting we have a natural inner product on 2-columns of complex-valued half-densities:

$$\langle u,v\rangle := \int_M u^* v\,dx$$

Our gauge transformations preserve this inner product.

An Hermitian sesquilinear form S can now be identified with a self-adjoint linear operator L via the formula  $S(u, v) = \langle u, Lv \rangle$ .

**Definition 5** A massless Dirac operator is an elliptic self-adjoint  $2 \times 2$  first order linear differential operator with trace-free principal symbol and zero covariant subprincipal symbol.

## Examples from 3-dimensional Riemannian geometry

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- 1.  $\mathbb{S}^3$  has a unique spin structure.
- 2.  $\mathbb{T}^3$  has eight distinct spin structures.

## Two different spin structures on $\mathbb{T}^3$

Using cyclic coordinates  $x^{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ , of period  $2\pi$ :

$$L_{\mathrm{prin}}(x,p) = egin{pmatrix} p_3 & p_1 - ip_2 \ p_1 + ip_2 & -p_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{split} L_{\rm prin}(x,p) &= \begin{pmatrix} p_3 & e^{ix^3}(p_1 - ip_2) \\ e^{-ix^3}(p_1 + ip_2) & -p_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{i}{2}x^3} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{i}{2}x^3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_3 & p_1 - ip_2 \\ p_1 + ip_2 & -p_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{i}{2}x^3} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\frac{i}{2}x^3} \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Special unitary matrix-function in latter formula is discontinuous.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Two different massless Dirac operators on $\mathbb{T}^3$

$$L = -i \begin{pmatrix} \partial_3 & \partial_1 - i\partial_2 \\ \partial_1 + i\partial_2 & -\partial_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$L = -i \begin{pmatrix} \partial_3 & e^{ix^3}(\partial_1 - i\partial_2) \\ e^{-ix^3}(\partial_1 + i\partial_2) & -\partial_3 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2}I.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Their spectra are different.