Institute of Archaeology, UCL HUNTER-GATHERER ARCHAEOBOTANY Dorian Q Fuller 21.xi.2006

QUESTIONS to ask of Hunter-gatherers

- Seasonality and scheduling.
 - Seasonality is given by the environment: plants have seasonality
 - *Scheduling* is the strategic planning of activities by cultural groups: this involves choices, opportunities costs, division of labour
- Mobility and territoriality
- Broad spectrum versus specialized subsistence Optimal foraging and the diet breadth model
- Evidence for storage & processing technology = post-harvest intensification
 - o Technological thresholds in processing and edibility
- Egalitarian (bands) vs. transegalitarion (tribes)/ "complex hunter-gatherers"
 - Foragers vs. Collectors [Binford 1980]
 - Simple (or generalized) vs. complex [Price & Brown 1985; also Brian Hayden 1990]
 - Immediate return vs. delayed return [Woodburn 1982; 1988]
 = Storing vs. non-storing [Tesart 1982]
- Evidence for food stress and change Shift towards second tier resources (need to develop some form of resource ranking;
- Can hunter-gatherers live in tropical rainforests (without trade with agriculturalists)?
- Agricultural precursors?

[Note: these tabular summaries have been cribbed from Michelle Wollstonecroft, an IoA PhD Student]

FORAGERS	COLLECTORS
-residential mobility	-radiating mobility
-non-sedentary	-semi-permanent settlements
-opportunistic resource procurement strategies	-specialised resource procurement strategies
-simple social structure	-complex social structure
-practices don't overexploit resources	-occasionally overexploit resources
-no storage	-storage
	-surplus and accumulated wealth

Binford's	(1978)	settlement	subsistence model	
-----------	--------	------------	-------------------	--

Woodburn's (1982) immediate-return and-delayed return model					
IMMEDIATE-RETURN	DELAYED-RETURN				
-activities aimed towards present returns	-activities link past, present and future returns				
-no valued assets, people are systematically disengaged from assets, from the potential in assets for creating dependency	-people hold rights over valued assets of some sort, which either represent a yield, a return for labour applied over time or, if not, are held and managed in a way which resembles and has similar social implications to delayed yields on labour				
- simple, portable, utilitarian, easily acquired,	ASSETS:				
replaceable tools	-valuable technical facilities used in production of food gradually over a period of months or years: <i>e.g.</i> , boats, nets, artificial weirs, stockades, traps				
	-processed and stored food or materials usually in fixed dwellings.				
	-management and tending of wild resources				
	-assets in the form of rights held by men over their female kin who are then bestowed in marriage on other men				

Main plant resource types

- Nuts
 - Processing: Deshelling
 - o Sometimes: detxification
 - o (leeching, roasting, boiling)
 - o Highly seasonal
 - o Highly storable
 - o Long-lived: non-domesticable
- Tree fruits
 - o Long-lived trees: non-domesticable
- Vine fruits
 - o Processing:
 - o Minimal, sometime cooking
 - Highly seasonal
 - o Low storability, non-storable
 - o vine fruits: domesticable
 - o Non-staples (e.g. cucurbits)
- Tubers
 - Processing: Often detoxification
 - Roasting, boiling
 - Sometimes pounding & grinding
 - o Less seasonal
 - o Low storability/ non-storable
 - Some easily cultivated (corms, stolon tubers, some root tobers, rhizomes): potential staples (yams, taro, potatos, etc)
 - Some not easily cultivated (e.g. taproots, like carrots, beets, Swedes, radishes)
- Small seeds
 - Processing: Grinding, cooking
 - o Lower yield per collection time than nuts
 - o (often second tier resources)
 - Highly seasonal but reliable
 - High storability,
 - o Short-lived/annual: domesticable
 - o staples (e.g. cereals, pulses)
- Leafy greens, etc.

Approaches to Reconstructing Hunter-Gatherer Subsistence: Ethno-ecological Models and Archaeobotanical Evidence

<u>Ecological Models</u> Availability

Based on:

- Climatic reconstruction and reconstruction of broad vegetational zones (based on pollen data, wood charcoal, faunal data other proxy climate indicators; relies on uniformitarian assumptions of vegetational zones)
- Detailed study of modern vegetation model past distribution of species within coarse zones of pollen-based reconstruction
- Probable local water regime and seasonality
- \rightarrow Regional resource reconstruction
- Using above evidence in diachronic perspective to model pattern of plant migration and resource availability

Note: community structure can change during periods of disequilibrium, e.g. different migration rates for herbs and trees

 \rightarrow Relate to potential local setting: Site catchment analysis

• Autoecological studies of food-producing species, especially productivy and seasonality in different habitats, potential interannual variability [cross check with archaeobotanical evidence]

Seasonality includes: seasons of availability, seasons of harvesting, seasons of site occupation

Ethnographic Analogies and Models

Cross-cultural regularities= Models (sensu Hillman), ≈ 'Middle Range Theory' (Sensu Binford) ≈ 'relational analogy' (Sensu Hodder (1982) *The Present Past.*)

- Analogies for food types used
- Analogies for food preferences
- Analogies for methods of processing

'Ethno-ecological models' is the term often used for models that rely on both ethnographic anaologies/ models and ecological models in predicting likely prehistoric subsistence.

Archaeobotanical Evidence

- The ultimate check on the Ethno-ecological Models
- Identify food types present and relate to above models.
- Reconstruct 'missing foods' based on forms of archaeobotanical preservation, and potential resources that would not be preserved by such means.

The Problem of hunter-gatherer and non-sedetary archaeobotanical visibility



Towards Sedentism

(from Fuller, D. Q. 2006. Silence before sedentism and the advent of cash-crops: A status report on early agriculture in South Asia from plant domestication to the development of political economies (with an excursus on the problem of semantic shift among millets and rice). In Osada, T. (eds.), Proceedings of the Pre-Symposium of RIHN and 7th ESCA Harvard- Kyoto Roundtable, Research Institute for Humanity and Nature, Kyoto, pp. 175-213)

		easing labour input per	r land unit	\rightarrow			
	Increasing population density						
	Wild plant food procurement	Wild plant food production	Cultivation with systematic tillage	Agriculture: cultivation of domestic crops			
	Gathering burning tending	Replacement planting, harvesting, storage	Land clearance, tillage				
South- west Asia	Foragers using wheat/barley (e.g. Ohalo , Natufian)	management of dwindling Wild cereals (inferred) (Abu Hureyra)	Emergence of arable weed flora (assemblage change); evolution of larger grains (PPNA)	Occurence of domestic type rachis (PPNB)			
Lower Yangzi, China	Foragers using wild rice (and nuts) (Early Neolithic with ceramics: Shangshan, Kuahuqiao?)	Kuahuqiao(??), by Hemudu. Reduction of awn hairs implies sowing. Some tillage suggested by spades.	First small paddy fields separation of cultivars from wild rice fields (Majiabang period)	Domestication with intensification and new harvest methods Tillage with ploughs (Songze period)			

The spectrum from foraging to farming, modified from David Harris (1989) in Harris & Hillman (1989) and Harris 1990 *Settling Down and Breaking Ground: Rethinking the Neolithic Revolution*.

FURTHER READINGS

Binford, L. 1978. Nunamiut Ethnoarchaeology. New York: Academic Press

Binford, L. 1980. Willow smoke and dog's tails: hunter-gatherer settlement systems and archaeological site formation. *American Antiquity* 45: 1-17

Bird-David, N. 1992. Beyond the "original affluent society": a culturalist reformulation. *Current Anthropology* 33: 25-48

Harris, D. R. and G. C. Hillman (eds) 1989. Foraging and Farming. London: Unwin Hyman

Hayden, B. 1990. Nimrods, piscators, pluckers and planters: the emergence of food production. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 9: 31-69

Headland, T. N. and L. A. Reid 1989. Hunter-gatherers and their neighbours from prehistory to the present, *Current Anthropology* 30: 43-66

Headland, T. N. and R. C. Bailey 1991. Introduction: Have hunter-gatherers ever lived in the tropical rainforests independently of agriculture? *Human Ecology* 19(1): 115-122

Kelly, R. L. 1995. The Foraging Spectrum: diversity in hunter-gatherer lifeways. Smithsonian Institution Press

Kent, S. (ed) 1996. *Cultural diversity amongst Twentieth century Hunter-gatherer lifeways*. Cambridge University Press

Lee, R. B. and R. Daly (eds) 1999 The Cambridge Encyclopedia of Hunter-Gatherers. Cambridge University Press

Lee, R. B. and I. de Vore (eds) 1968. Man the Hunter. Chicago: Aldine

Mason, S. L. R. and J. G. Hather (eds) 2002 Hunter-Gatherer Archaeobotany. Perspectives from the northern Temperate zone. Institute of Archaeology, UCL

Price, T. D. and Gebauer 1985 (eds) *Prehistoric Hunter-gatherers: the emergence of cultural complexity*. Academic Press

Sahlins, M. 1972. Stone Age Ecnomics. Chicago: Aldine

Shennan, S. 2002. Memes, Genes and Human History. Thames & Hudson [chapter on Behavioral Ecology]

Tesart, A. 1982. The significance of food storage among hunter-gatherers: residence patterns, populations densities, and social inequalities. *Current Anthropology* 23: 523-537

Wandsnider, L. 1997. The roasted and the boiled: food composition and heat treatment with special emphasis on pithearth cooking. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 16: 1-48

Whitelaw, T. 1993. Order without architecture : functional, social and symbolic dimensions in hunter-gatherer settlement organisation. In *Architecture and order: approaches to social space* / edited by Michael Parker Pearson and Colin Richards. London: Routledge. [in IoA teaching collection]

Winterhalder, B. and E. A. Smith (eds) 1981. Hunter-gatherer foraging strategies: ethnographic and archaeological analyses. University of Chicago Press

Woodburn, J. 1982. Egalitarian societies, Man 17: 431-451

Woodburd, J. 1988. African hunter-gatherer social organization: Is it best understood as a product of encapsulation?. In T. Ingold, D. Riches and J. Woodburn (eds) *Hunter-Gatherers vol. 1. History, Evolution and Social Change.* Oxford: Berg. Pp. 31-64