

Lecture 18: Gauge fixing II

Jonathan Evans

24th November 2011

We want to finish our proof of Uhlenbeck's theorem. What was left was to prove was:

Proposition

Given a path of connections $\nabla_t = d + A_t$ on the trivial $U(n)$ -bundle over S^2 such that $A_0 = 0$ and $\|F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^2} \leq \zeta$ then the following subset $S \subset [0, 1]$ is open. A time $t \in [0, 1]$ is in S if there exists a L^2_2 -gauge transformation u_t such that $u_t \nabla_t = d + A'_t$ satisfies $d^ A'_t = 0$ and $\|A'_t\|_{L^2_1} < 2N \|F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^2}$ (or $A'_t = 0$).*

Recall that last time we proved a lemma

Lemma

Let $d + A$ be a connection on the trivial bundle over S^2 such that $d^ A = 0$. Then there are constants $N, \eta > 0$ such that*

$$\|A\|_{L^4} < \eta \implies \|A\|_{L^2_1} \leq N \|F_{\nabla}\|_{L^2}.$$

This lemma lets us see that the curvature bound in the Proposition is open. The problem is that we want $0 \in S$ and 0 clearly doesn't obey the strict inequality $\|A'_t\|_{L^2_1} < 2N\|F_{\nabla_t}\|_{L^2}$. But any connection matrix which is L^2_1 -close to 0 also has very small L^4 -norm (by Sobolev embedding) and hence by the lemma the strict inequality holds (in an even stronger form!). All that remains is to show that if we can solve $d^*(u_t A_t) = 0$ to get u_t for some given t then we can solve it for nearby t .

Proof.

Once again the proof follows Don and Kron. The aim is to use the implicit function theorem to show that for nearby t we can solve the equation $d^* A'_t = 0$ and that the resulting A'_t depends continuously on t . We're looking for solutions $u_t \in L^2_2$ to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d^*(u_t A_t) \\ &= d^*(u_t A_t u_t^{-1} - (du_t)u_t^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Let $t_0 \in S$. WLOG assume that $A_0 = A'_0$, $u_0 = 1$ and write $A_\delta = A_0 + b_\delta$, $u_\delta = \exp(\chi_\delta)$. □

Proof, continued:

Our equation is $H(\chi_\delta, b_\delta) = 0$ where

$$H(\chi, b) = d^*(e^\chi(B + b)e^{-\chi} - d(e^\chi)e^{-\chi}): E_\ell \times F_{\ell-1} \rightarrow E_{\ell-2}$$

is a smooth map. Here (for $\ell \geq 3$) E_ℓ denotes the space of Lie algebra-valued L_ℓ^2 -functions with integral zero and F_ℓ is the space of L_ℓ^2 Lie algebra-valued 1-forms. Why integral zero? Well d^* is the usual Euclidean codifferential on 1-forms on S^2 so its image is precisely the set of functions with integral zero. Now if we can show $d_1 H : E_\ell \times \{0\} \rightarrow E_{\ell-2}$ is surjective then the Banach space implicit function theorem tells us that for small $b \in F_{\ell-1}$ there is a small solution χ to $H(\chi, b) = 0$. This solves our existence problem for small δ . □

Proof, continued:

In fact this linearisation is

$$(d_1 H)(\chi) = d^*(\nabla_0 \chi)$$

where $\nabla_0 = d + A_0$. Suppose it's not surjective so that there exists a smooth η with $\langle d^* \nabla_0 \chi, \eta \rangle = 0$ for all χ . Then in particular (when $\chi = \eta$)

$$0 = \langle \nabla_0 \eta, d\eta \rangle = \|d\eta\|_{L^2}^2 + \langle [A_0, \eta], d\eta \rangle$$

Since η has integral zero it's in the orthogonal complement to the kernel of d acting on functions, which is elliptic so

$$\|\eta\|_{L^2_1} \leq c \|d\eta\|_{L^2}$$



Proof, continued:

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle [A_0, \eta], d\eta \rangle| &\leq c' \|d\eta\|_{L^2} \|[A_0, \eta]\| \\ &\leq c'' \|d\eta\|_{L^2} \|A_0\|_{L^4} \|\eta\|_{L^4} \\ &\leq c''' \|d\eta\|_{L^2}^2 \|A_0\|_{L^2_1} \end{aligned}$$

In total

$$\|d\eta\|_{L^2}^2 \leq c''' \|d\eta\|_{L^2}^2 \|A_0\|_{L^2_1}$$

but since η is not just zero we can divide by $\|d\eta\|_{L^2}^2$ and deduce a uniform lower bound (some combination of Sobolev constants and elliptic regularity constants for the Euclidean d^*) on the L^2_1 -norm of A_0 . But since $t_0 \in S$ we have an upper bound for this L^2_1 -norm by $2N\zeta$. We still have the liberty to change ζ (in the small direction!) which we now do to ensure a contradiction. Therefore the linearisation of H at the origin is surjective, therefore we can solve our equation by the implicit function theorem, therefore S is open. Woopydoo. □

I have been debating how to continue. I said very early on that I'd be introducing new concepts and using them straightaway and that if I went too fast you should stop me. Well get your fingers on the buzzers, because I have decided to start with G -equivariant cohomology. This is a cohomology theory for topological spaces X with a continuous action of a group G and will provide us with a nice antidote to the analytic mayhem of the last five lectures. The first obvious candidate is just the ordinary cohomology of the quotient X/G , but the quotient can be very bad (e.g. \mathbb{C}^* acting on \mathbb{C}). The crux of the matter is that different points can have different stabilisers and you should incorporate that fact into the cohomology of your space. The worst case is G acting trivially on a single point (so the stabiliser is G). The best case is a free action of G and in this case we might hope to recover the ordinary cohomology of the quotient. So let's just naively enlarge our space X to make the action free. Remember from the exercises that any topological group G admits a continuous free action on a contractible space EG . Let's just take $X \times EG$ with the diagonal G -action.

Now we have a free G -action and so our equivariant cohomology should be the ordinary cohomology of the quotient.

$$H_G^*(X) := H^*(X \times_G EG)$$

where $X \times_G EG = (X \times EG)/G$ is called the *Borel space* of the action (sometimes written X_G). Notice that if the action were already free then the projection $X \times_G EG \rightarrow X/G$ has contractible fibre EG and hence the cohomology of a free quotient X/G equals the G -equivariant cohomology of X , as desired. What about $X = \text{pt}$? We get

$$H_G^*(\text{pt}) = H^*(\text{pt} \times_G EG) = H^*(EG/G) = H^*(BG)$$

so we get the cohomology of the classifying space!

More generally one sees that the fibre of the projection $X \times_G EG \rightarrow X/G$ over $[x]$ is just EG/G_x where G_x denotes the stabiliser of x . Since EG is also a free G_x -space, this is homotopy equivalent to BG_x . Here's an example. Take $X = \mathbb{C}$, $G = U(1)$. The easiest free $U(1)$ -space is S^∞ and the quotient is $\mathbb{C}P^\infty = BU(1)$. Therefore $X_G = \mathbb{C} \times_{U(1)} S^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/U(1) \cong \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ has general fibre S^∞ but over 0 the fibre is $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$. What we have essentially done is to replace \mathbb{C} by $\mathbb{C}^{\infty+1}$ and then blow up the origin. It might help you to contemplate the finite-dimensional approximations of this where you can really see it as a blow-up you understand.